



STATE OF ARIZONA
BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGIST EXAMINERS
1740 W. ADAMS STREET, SUITE 3403
PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007
PH: 602-542-8162 FX: 602-926-8095
WEBSITE: www.psychboard.az.gov

DOUGLAS A. DUCEY
Governor

HEIDI HERBST PAAKKONEN, M.P.A.
Executive Director

Regular Session Meeting Minutes
Held virtually via Zoom on January 15, 2021

Board Members

Diana Davis-Wilson, DBH, BCBA – Chair
Bryan Davey, Ph.D., BCBA-D – Vice-Chair
Mathew A. Meier, Psy.D. – Secretary
Linda Caterino, Ph.D.
Aditya Dynar, Esq.
Stephen Gill, Ph.D.
Melanie Laboy, Esq.
Ramona N. Mellott, Ph.D.
Tamara Shreeve, MPA

1. CALL TO ORDER

Chairwoman Davis-Wilson called the Board's meeting to order at 8:31 a.m.

2. ROLL CALL

The following Board members participated in the virtual meeting: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson, Vice-Chairman Davey, Dr. Meier, Dr. Caterino, Mr. Dynar, Dr. Gill, Ms. Laboy*, Dr. Mellott and Ms. Shreeve.

**Ms. Laboy departed from the meeting at 8:55 a.m. and rejoined at 9:50 a.m.*

ALSO PRESENT

The following Board staff participated in the virtual meeting: Heidi Herbst Paakkonen, Executive Director; Jennifer Michaelsen, Deputy Director; Jeanne Galvin, Assistant Attorney General (AAG); Kathy Fowkes, Licensing Specialist; Krishna Poe, Programs and Projects Specialist; and, Andrea Cisneros, Minutes Administrator.

3. REMARKS/ANNOUNCEMENTS

• **Board Surveys**

Chairwoman Davis-Wilson encouraged meeting attendees to provide feedback by contacting Board staff and completing a Board Meeting Assessment Survey.

• **Board Member and Staff Appreciation**

Chairwoman Davis-Wilson acknowledged and thanked Board members and staff for their efforts in facilitating the Board's meeting.

• **Continuing education credit for Board meeting attendance**

Chairwoman Davis-Wilson announced that meeting attendees were eligible for education credit. She reported that code words would be provided throughout today's meeting that attendees are to email Board staff within one week of the meeting.

- **Recognition of Outgoing Chair, Tamara A. Shreeve, M.P.A.**

Chairwoman Davis-Wilson recognized Ms. Shreeve and thanked her for her extraordinary efforts as Board Chair for the last year. Dr. Mellott stated that Ms. Shreeve did a tremendous job leading the Board through a very challenging year. Vice-Chairman Davey stated Ms. Shreeve did an excellent job of keeping the Board members on task and steering them through some very difficult cases, and stated his appreciation for her leadership. Dr. Caterino stated her appreciation for Ms. Shreeve's service, stated that she has made this a wonderful experience for her and that she served with intelligence, compassion, grace and poise during very difficult times. Dr. Gill stated that Ms. Shreeve did a terrific job guiding the Board. Dr. Meier stated that she has served with such a reasonable, calm and supporting voice throughout his experience on the Board.

Ms. Shreeve stated her appreciation for the Board members and their kind comments, and stated that it was an honor to serve as Board Chair.

- **Tribute to the late Dr. Bob Bohanske**

The Board observed the unfortunate passing of Dr. Bohanske on January 4, 2021 and recognized his passionate and unwavering dedication to the field of psychology. Board members stated their appreciation for Dr. Bohanske's hard work and many contributions to the community on local, national and international levels. Members from the public also participated in the virtual meeting during the Board's consideration of this agenda item including Dr. Melissa Flint, Dr. Matt Turner, and Dr. Amanda Aceveda. Ms. Poe also made a statement on behalf of Board staff regarding Dr. Bohanske's support over the years, and stated that he will be greatly missed by all.

4. **CALL TO THE PUBLIC**

No individuals addressed the Board during the Call to the Public.

5. **COUNSEL UPDATE**

AAG Galvin reported that the matter involving Dr. Sadeh is currently pending in Superior Court and that the process has been slowed due to unforeseen circumstances.

6. **CONSENT AGENDA - DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION**

MOTION: Vice-Chairman Davey moved for the Board to approve the items as listed under the Consent Agenda.

SECOND: Ms. Shreeve

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson, Vice-Chairman Davey, Dr. Meier, Dr. Caterino, Mr. Dynar, Dr. Gill, Ms. Laboy, Dr. Mellott and Ms. Shreeve.

VOTE: 9-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse (*one recusal noted in item number 6.C.1. as referenced herein*), 0-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

A. **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

- December 10, 2020 Regular Session Minutes

B. **EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT**

C. **DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING PSYCHOLOGIST APPLICATIONS**

Requesting Approval to Sit for Examination (EPPP) Only

- 1) Hydie L. Henson DiGiovanni, Psy.D.
- 2) Jarred Vincente Gallegos, Ph.D.

Requesting Approval to Sit for Examination (EPPP) & Licensure

- 1) Alexandria Curlee, Ph.D.
Dr. Meier was recused from this item.
- 2) Bridget Henry, Ph.D.
- 3) Karen L. Chaney, Psy.D.
- 4) Luke T. Bigler, Psy.D.
- 5) Mariela J. Soto, Psy.D.

Requesting Approval for Licensure by Waiver

- 1) Carolyn Julia Brown, Psy.D.
- 2) Danielle M. Weston, Psy.D.
- 3) Garret Blankenship, Psy.D.
- 4) Heather Tahler, Psy.D.
- 5) Jessica Anne Cowan, Psy.D.
- 6) Jolene Jacquart, Ph.D.

Requesting Approval of Temporary Licensure and to Sit for EPPP

- 1) Emily Kade, Psy.D.
- 2) Eva Maria Nicolas, Psy.D.
- 3) Samantha Lynn Jackson, Psy.D.

Requesting Approval for Licensure by Universal Recognition

- 1) Carla Counts Allan, Ph.D.
- 2) Eva L. Miller, Ph.D.
- 3) Walter Sipes, Ph.D.

D. DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING BEHAVIOR ANALYST APPLICATIONS

- 1) Amanda Marie Hinline, M.Ed.
- 2) Brianna Rios-Robles, M.A.
- 3) Cheyenne Cody-Da Rosa, M.Ed.
- 4) Claire L. Piper, M.Ed.
- 5) Courtney J. Broome, M.A.
- 6) Desirea Satterwhite, M.A.
- 7) Emilie Jean Newman, M.S.
- 8) Jennifer Jung Fuchs, M.S.
- 9) Jenna Rae Laibe, M.Ed.
- 10) Kathleen E. Panitz, M.Ed.
- 11) Lizette Williams, M.A.
- 12) Michelle Orellana, M.Ed.
- 13) Nicholas Cuellar, M.A.
- 14) Stacy DeMaranville, M.Ed.
- 15) Taylor David Thomas, M.A.

E. REQUESTING APPROVAL REGARDING BEHAVIOR ANALYST APPLICATION FROM LEAH SHAPIRO, M.S. (CBA approved on 5/1/2020 with corrections. Rec'd 12/22/2020)

THE FOLLOWING AGENDA ITEMS ARE UNTIMED AND MAY BE DISCUSSED AND DECIDED UPON AT VARIOUS TIMES THROUGHOUT THE MEETING AT THE DISCRETION OF THE CHAIR

7. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING ETHICS AND BOUNDARIES ASSESSMENT SERVICES (“EBAS”) ETHICAL ISSUES ASSESSMENT PRESENTATION

This item was considered around 9:06 a.m.

Stacey Kjeldgaard, Executive Director for EBAS, and Igor Himelfarb, Ph.D., Director of Psychometrics and Research for EBAS, participated in the virtual meeting during the Board’s consideration of this matter, made a presentation to the Board regarding the EBAS ethics assessment tool, as well as the different scoring categories and answered Board members’ questions.

During the Board’s discussion regarding the presentation, Chairwoman Davis-Wilson observed that the services offered by EBAS would apply to matters where the Board finds that disciplinary action and further education is warranted, but completion of a simple CE course in ethics or boundaries is not enough to ensure the individual can apply what they learned into their practice. Dr. Mellott stated that this would be an appropriate tool to utilize in disciplinary matters for individuals to demonstrate some knowledge in an assessment. Dr. Gill stated his concerns as to whether the services offered by EBAS are accommodating to individuals with learning impairments. Dr. Himelfarb stated that EBAS has worked with individuals who require different accommodations based on several specific disabilities and assured the Board that there are several valid scenarios of assessment that could be explored and warranted from a psychometric standpoint. Chairwoman Davis-Wilson instructed staff to place this matter on future agendas for the Board’s continued discussion and to stay in communication with EBAS and report back to the Board new developments relative to psychologist-specific assessment content. Chairwoman Davis-Wilson thanked the presenters for participating in today’s proceedings.

8. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING JURISPRUDENCE LEARNING TOOL PROPOSAL

This item was considered around 9:54 a.m.

Matt Turner, Ph.D., participated in the virtual meeting during the Board’s consideration of this matter, presented to the Board on the proposed jurisprudence learning tool and answered Board members’ questions. The Board discussed requiring applicants for initial licensure to complete a jurisprudence examination versus requiring licensees to obtain jurisprudence CE for license renewal.

Dr. Meier stated that the proposal appeared to be more geared toward the jurisprudence exam for initial licensure and spoke against requiring it for license renewals. He stated that not a lot of psychometric processes need to go into the license renewal CE component that the Board needs to consider. Dr. Turner offered his assistance with developing a more simplistic model for the Board’s consideration based on today’s discussion and concerns raised by the Board members. Chairwoman Davis-Wilson spoke in support of an interactive CE component with a self-assessment tool for license renewals, and stated that consideration of a jurisprudence exam for initial licensure is a separate topic for the Board to discuss and continue exploring. The Board members observed that the purpose of this educational tool is to ensure licensees are reviewing and staying up to date on the statutes and rules relative to their field of practice in a non-punitive manner. The Board also discussed the costs associated with the development of this education piece. Dr. Turner stated that he will return and rework the proposal with the assistance of the Executive Director, and Chairwoman Davis-Wilson thanked him for his presentation.

Mr. Dynar departed from the meeting at 10:56 a.m. and the Board recessed from 10:58 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.

9. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING DRAFT BILL ASSIGNED TO FOLDER 700 WITH TITLE PSYCHOLOGY BOARD; LICENSURE; FINGERPRINTING

This item was considered around 11:16 a.m.

Executive Director Herbst Paakkonen reported that the bill has not yet been named and that she would continue to monitor its progress. The Board discussed section T regarding independent psychological examination pursuant to a court order. Ms. Shreeve questioned whether this section limited individuals from filing complaints to the Board directly. Executive Director Paakkonen clarified that the entirety of the subsections under section T encompassed both scenarios.

Dr. Gill noted that the bill did not reference universal recognition licensure pathway. Executive Director Paakkonen reported that the statutes relating to universal recognition do not fall under the Board's chapter and addresses all professional licenses under title 32. The Board discussed the section pertaining to independent medical examinations and Ms. Shreeve reiterated her concerns that she believed the language limited individuals from filing complaints directly to the Board. AAG Galvin stated the Board should consider discussing the language in this section and how it interacts with the Board's claims process to clarify when a complaint falls under either process. She proposed that the Board remove references to psychological evaluations and court orders so that those matters continue to fall under the Board's current claims process.

MOTION: Ms. Shreeve moved for the Board to direct the Executive Director to work with legislative counsel to amend the language to reflect the Board's concerns regarding IMEs only and not court ordered evaluations.

SECOND: Dr. Meier

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson, Vice-Chairman Davey, Dr. Meier, Dr. Caterino, Dr. Gill, Ms. Laboy, Dr. Mellott and Ms. Shreeve. The following Board member was absent: Mr. Dynar.

VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse, 1-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

Chairwoman Davis-Wilson thanked the Executive Director for her hard work and efforts on preparing the bill.

10. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING PROPOSED LEGISLATION INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, HB2064, HB2084, HB2103, HB2128, AND SB1063

This item was considered around 11:29 a.m.

Executive Director Herbst Paakkonen reported that the Legislative Session began on January 11, 2021, and that there are currently only a small number of bills that warrant the Board's attention. She summarized that the list of bills referenced in this item are of interest to this Board including to proposals to waive licensing fees for active military veterans and their spouses and a study group to look at Arizona's nursing provider shortage. The Executive Director informed the Board that she would continue to monitor the progress of these bills and report back to the Board regarding any new developments or changes.

Dr. Gill states his concerns regarding HB2067 and questioned what would warrant a "second chance." AAG Galvin stated that she would research this issue and report back to the Board. Chairwoman Davis-Wilson emphasized the importance for the Board to closely monitor bills that could potentially impact this Board's policies and procedures in light of what has transpired since the inception of universal recognition. Chairwoman Davis-Wilson thanked the Executive Director for her efforts and time on this topic.

11. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING PROPOSAL FOR “RAMP” CONFIDENTIAL REHABILITATION AND MONITORING PROGRAM

This item was considered around 11:35 a.m.

Executive Director Herbst Paakkonen reported on the development of the proposed program called “RAMP”, a confidential rehabilitation and monitoring program. She explained that she worked with the Deputy Director to research the programs utilized by other boards and elected to proceed with this in-house managed model given the Board’s ability to be more flexible and accommodating to the needs of licensees to find the monitoring resources, and because it is a more affordable model. Executive Director Herbst Paakkonen provided the Board with a sample interim license suspension Consent Agreement to be used during the course of these investigations, and a sample confidential Stipulated Rehabilitation Agreement implementing RAMP. She explained that the program was named RAMP to reflect its intent to give individuals a lift up from where they are in terms of their impairment and ultimately reach a state where their impairment does not affect their ability to practice with skill and safety.

Ms. Shreeve spoke in favor of the proposed program and its name. AAG Galvin noted that staff relied on A.R.S. § 32-2086 as it applies to psychologists, and pointed out that the Board also had A.R.S. § 32-3223 of the general health care provisions that applies to all health care boards which she stated gives the Board the authority to establish a similar program for the Behavior Analysts as well. Dr. Gill stated that if the Board were to proceed with these services, he proposed that the Board ensure that the evaluators and practice monitors be clear about their role and clear about the type of feedback the Board expects to receive. Executive Director Herbst Paakkonen recalled recent Consent Agreements that outlined the responsibilities of the practice monitor with specific measurable objectives, and stated that the Board could take a similar approach for the confidential program.

The Board observed that individuals would qualify for the confidential program if a self-report is made and discussed what constitutes a self-report. The Board also considered rewording the proposed language to clarify that prior disciplinary action shall be considered if related to the current allegations. The Board discussed whether a first-time violation of the confidential agreement should result in placement on the non-confidential monitoring track. Dr. Meier spoke in favor of allowing individuals who continue to put forth effort towards their recovery to remain in the confidential program and stated that he did not want to see someone punished or kicked out of the program because they are struggling in the process. Ms. Shreeve emphasized the importance of acknowledging the public in addition to the licensees when considering what constitutes a first strike. Dr. Meier commented that the program’s intent is to support licensees and focus on helping them in their recovery; this will lead to more individuals self-identifying and seeking assistance resulting in a higher degree of public safety. The Board considered placing an FAQ on its website introducing the rollout of RAMP to inform licensees of its availability to encourage those that need help to come forward.

Chairwoman Davis-Wilson spoke in support of the Board exploring the development of a similar program for Behavior Analysts. The Board returned this matter and instructed the Executive Director to work with the AAG to make the revisions according to today’s discussion and to develop the FAQs and report back to the Board at its February 2021 meeting. Chairwoman Davis-Wilson also requested that this be presented to the Committee on Behavior Analysts as well to obtain stakeholder input.

12. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION RELATING TO POSSIBLE UNLICENSED ACTIVITIES OF JOHN DENBOER PH.D., AND POSSIBLE ISSUANCE OF A CEASE AND DESIST ORDER OR CONSIDERATION OF OTHER AVAILABLE LEGAL REMEDIES

This item was considered around 12:17 p.m.

Attorney Jeff Hunter participated in the virtual meeting during the Board’s consideration of his matter on behalf of Dr. DenBoer.

Deputy Director Michaelsen summarized that at its December 10, 2020 meeting, the Board accepted Dr. DenBoer's voluntary surrender of his license, which became effective that same day. One week later, Board staff was contacted by a licensed psychologist asking to verify Dr. DenBoer's license status as he had recently applied for a psychology position at her Phoenix practice. The psychologist reported that Dr. DenBoer's application was received on December 13, 2020 for the position of a part time psychologist to conduct psychological evaluations. The psychologist further reported that Dr. DenBoer proceeded to participate in a virtual interview for the position and that he did not mention anything relating to his surrendered license or the complaints. Board staff thereafter researched Dr. DenBoer's online presence and observed that two of Dr. DenBoer's social media profiles referred to him as a clinical neuropsychologist in violation of A.R.S. § 32-2084. Board staff subsequently corresponded with Dr. DenBoer via email, wherein he indicated that the interviewing psychologist never told him the position required his presence in the office, that the position he applied for did not involve seeing patients, and that he has taken down all websites that are within his control. He also indicated in a more recent email correspondence that he no longer has access to the social media profiles that continue to display the designation of clinical neuropsychologist.

Mr. Hunter reported that after the Board accepted the Consent Agreement for voluntary surrender, his legal representation of Dr. DenBoer ceased. He stated that Dr. DenBoer has been working on removing anything in his social media profiles that may reflect he is a licensed psychologist, and that he has discussed with him the difficulties he has had with those sources in accessing his accounts in order to make the edits. Ms. Shreeve questioned Mr. Hunter regarding the report that Dr. DenBoer was applying for a psychology position in Arizona. Mr. Hunter stated that his discussions with Dr. DenBoer have related only to the social media issues and trying to get those resolved, and that he was primarily handling the social media issue. Dr. Meier questioned whether Dr. DenBoer is licensed in another state, and Mr. Hunter reported that Dr. DenBoer is not licensed in any state and that he has not applied for licensure in any state. Dr. Caterino questioned why Dr. DenBoer would apply for a position that is psychological in nature and involved psychological tasks. Mr. Hunter stated that he was not aware that the Board would be discussing concerns relating to the job interview during today's proceedings and stated that he did not recall receiving the AAG's prior correspondence. AAG Galvin pointed out that the agenda was clear that the purpose of today's discussion was to discuss possible unlicensed activities. Mr. Hunter reported that he planned to issue correspondences to the various sites to get the information taken down as early as next week.

MOTION: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson moved for the Board to enter into Executive Session to obtain legal advice pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.03(A)(3).

SECOND: Dr. Meier

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson, Vice-Chairman Davey, Dr. Meier, Dr. Caterino, Dr. Gill, Ms. Laboy, Dr. Mellott and Ms. Shreeve. The following Board member was absent: Mr. Dynar.

VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse, 1-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

The Board entered into Executive Session at 12:31 p.m.

The Board returned to Open Session at 12:45 p.m.

No legal action was taken by the Board during Executive Session.

MOTION: Ms. Shreeve moved for the Board to continue this matter to the Board's February 2021 meeting and instructed staff to request Dr. DenBoer provide copies of the correspondences between him and the organization to which he applied for a licensed psychology position as well as the letters from Mr. Hunt regarding the social media profiles, and to subpoena Dr. DenBoer to appear before the Board.

SECOND: Dr. Meier

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson, Vice-Chairman Davey, Dr. Meier, Dr. Caterino, Dr. Gill, Ms. Laboy, Dr. Mellott and Ms. Shreeve. The following Board member was absent: Mr. Dynar.

VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse, 1-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

13. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING LICENSURE AND REGULATORY ISSUES RELATIVE TO COVID-19

This item was considered around 12:50 p.m.

Executive Director Herbst Paakkonen reported that the staff recently received inquiries from licensees questioning whether they qualify for the COVID vaccine, and that staff has been referring those individuals to the appropriate entities. She also reported that the Agency received an email survey from the Department of Administration regarding the potential for hoteling office space in an effort to reduce rent and occupancy costs.

Dr. Meier questioned whether the processing timelines have been impacted since the state of emergency became effective. Ms. Fowkes reported that application processing times were significantly impacted when staff attempted to work remotely, and that the applications are now processed exclusively in the office to work through the backlog. Dr. Meier proposed adding a disclaimer to the Board's website that indicates the process is taking longer due to COVID. Chairwoman Davis-Wilson recognized and thanked Ms. Fowkes for identifying where a process could be improved and taking the necessary steps to do so.

The Board discussed whether the state of emergency had been extended past January 31st and the Executive Director reported that she would look into it.

14. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING LIFE COACHING AS A PUBLIC PROTECTION REGULATORY ISSUE

This item was considered around 1:04 p.m.

Executive Director Herbst Paakkonen summarized that the Board discussed this issue at its November 2020 meeting and acknowledged that there were some cases and complaints that came before the Board which raised questions and concerns regarding life coaching and how it could be regulated if performed by someone not formerly or currently practicing as a psychologist. At that time, the Board instructed staff to research this topic and report back to the Board. The Executive Director reported that she contacted her counterparts in other states and that she received few responses with no useful information. AAG Galvin confirmed the Executive Director's report on this matter and stated that a legislative action would be required for the Board to address their concerns relative to life coaching.

Dr. Gill questioned whether ASPPB has addressed this topic, and whether the Board should consider asking for their feedback on this issue. Chairwoman Davis-Wilson questioned whether the Board should seek comment from the professional association. The Board noted that anyone can obtain life coach certification online for a fee. Dr. Mellott recalled a group of Behavior Analysts who pursued their ability to obtain licensure in Arizona resulting in the legislative changes to do so, and she stated that the Board has not heard of the same occurring for the ability to regulate life coaching in Arizona with the exception of this Board questioning these practices. Dr. Meier spoke against licensing life coaches and stated that there are defined legal practices that only psychologists can do with the exception of certain Behavior Analyst duties. He stated that this topic needs to be a collaborated effort between the two regulatory boards that cover mental health providers.

Dr. Mellott departed from the meeting at 1:15 p.m.

Chairwoman Davis-Wilson noted that the Behavior Analyst ethics code includes language that addresses licensees practicing in a capacity not related to their license, which requires an identifying disclaimer. She proposed the Board consider this language at a future meeting and potentially incorporating similar language into the psychology rules. Executive Director Herbst Paakkonen thanked Dr. Flint from the professional association for sharing an article relating to life coaching being a component of the practice of psychology and stated that she would forward the information for the Board's review. Dr. Flint addressed the Board and stated that the points are well taken, that AzPA has not specifically addressed this topic as of yet, and that she was interested in bringing it to their attention.

15. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING BEHAVIOR ANALYST APPLICATION FOR LICENSURE FROM KRISTEN TERRELL, M.ED.

This item was considered around 9:52 p.m.

Chairwoman Davis-Wilson was recused from this item.

Vice-Chairman Davey summarized that the applicant is seeking Behavioral Analyst licensure. The Board noted that the applicant passed her BACB exam in August of 2020 and holds a teaching certification, and that the application is complete.

MOTION: Vice-Chairman Davey moved for the Board to approve the application.

SECOND: Mr. Dynar

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Vice-Chairman Davey, Dr. Meier, Dr. Caterino, Mr. Dynar, Dr. Gill, Ms. Laboy, Dr. Mellott and Ms. Shreeve. The following Board member was recused: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson.

VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 1-recuse, 0-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

16. NEW AGENDA ITEMS FOR FUTURE MEETINGS

This item was considered around 1:25 p.m.

Dr. Meier asked the Board to agendize a discussion topic related to diversion CE as well as updating the ethics code.

17. ADJOURNMENT

MOTION: Ms. Shreeve moved for adjournment.

SECOND: Dr. Meier

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson, Vice-Chairman Davey, Dr. Meier, Dr. Caterino, Mr. Dynar, Dr. Gill, Ms. Laboy, Dr. Mellott and Ms. Shreeve. The following Board members were absent: Mr. Dynar and Dr. Mellott.

VOTE: 7-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse, 2-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

The Board's meeting adjourned at 1:28 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,



Matt Meier, Psy.D.

Secretary