

**Board Members**

John P. DiBacco, Ph.D.  
Chair  
Frederick S. Wechsler, Ph.D., Psy.D, ABPP  
Vice-Chair  
Tamara Shreeve, MPA  
Secretary  
Paul Beljan, Psy.D. ABPdN, ABN  
Bob Bohanske, Ph.D.  
Janice K. Brundage, Ph.D.  
Joseph C. Donaldson  
Ramona N. Mellott, Ph.D.  
Rob Robichaud



**State of Arizona  
Board of Psychologist Examiners**

1400 West Washington, Suite 240  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Phone: (602) 542-8162 Fax: (602) 542-8279  
[www.psychboard.az.gov](http://www.psychboard.az.gov)

Application Review Committee

**Staff**

Dr. Cindy Olvey  
Executive Director  
Lynanne Chapman  
Deputy Director  
Heather Duracinski  
Licensing Coordinator

**MINUTES OF TELEPHONIC MEETING**

**January 6, 2015**

**7:30 a.m.**

1400 West Washington  
Suite #240  
Phoenix, AZ 85007

**1. CALL TO ORDER**

The regular session of the Arizona State Board of Psychologist Examiners Application Review Committee was called to order by Chairman Wechsler at 7:30 a.m. on January 6, 2015. No Executive Sessions were held.

**2. ROLL CALL**

**Committee Members Participating by Telephone**

Frederick S. Wechsler, Ph.D., Psy.D., ABPP (Clinical) – Chair  
Janice K. Brundage, Ph.D.

**Staff Present**

Cindy Olvey, Psy.D. – Executive Director  
Heather Duracinski – Licensing Coordinator

**Assistant Attorney General**

Jeanne Galvin, Esq.

**3. DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING APPROVAL OF PSYCHOLOGY APPLICANTS**

**Requesting Approval to sit for Examination (EPPP) & Licensure**

Alicja Stewart, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Stewart's application. Upon review of her Supervised Preinternship Experience Verification form from The Guidance Center, the Committee noted that her Professor, Eugene Moan, Ed.D., indicated that Dr. Stewart worked 40 hours per week and received 1 hour of individual supervision per week which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(E)(4)(c). At this time, Dr. Stewart is 112 hours deficient in meeting the 3,000 hour supervised experience requirement. Additionally, the Committee has specific questions for Dr. Moan regarding Dr. Stewart's preinternship supervision and requested that Dr. Moan be present at a future meeting to

address the Committee's questions/concerns. Additionally, the Committee noted that Dr. Stewart may wish to use postdoctoral experience toward licensure.

Comel Belin, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Belin's application. Upon review of her application, the Committee noted that Dr. Belin answered question #29 b, on page 7 of the application incorrectly. Question #29 b, specifically asks to specify the number of hours by category. The Committee is requesting that Dr. Belin specify the number of hours she wishes to use toward licensure. Upon review of her Supervised Preinternship Experience Verification form from the University of Arizona, the Committee noted that Dr. Belin did not answer the question on page 16 regarding whether she has provided the Board a copy of the written training plan. The Committee is requesting that Dr. Belin complete the question. Upon review of Dr. Belin's "2007-2008 School Psychology Internship Plan" for her Supervised Psychology Internship or Training Experience at the Tucson Unified School District, the Committee noted that her Internship Supervisor did not sign the internship plan. The Committee is requesting that her supervisor sign the internship plan. Upon review of her Postdoctoral Professional Psychology Experience Verification form from Juvenile Court Center, the Committee noted that her supervisor, Jose Perez, Ph.D., indicated that Dr. Belin received a total of 2,100 postdoctoral experience hours. His subsequent calculations indicate that Dr. Belin obtained 443 hours of direct client contact which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(G)(5). At this time the Committee is requesting clarification as to the number of direct client contact hours Dr. Belin obtained. Additionally, the Committee noted that Dr. Perez answered "no" to question #2 on the Postdoctoral Professional Psychology Experience Verification form and did not provide an explanation. The Committee is requesting an explanation directly from Dr. Perez.

Holly Perham, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Perham's application and subsequent submission. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Perham's application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Jamie Kobsar, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Kobsar's application and subsequent submission. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Kobsar's application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Juan Sanchez, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Sanchez's application and subsequent submission. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Sanchez's application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Kristen Caraher, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Caraher's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Caraher's application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Megan Russell, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Russell's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the

Committee to move Dr. Russell’s application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Nicole Bartholomew, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Bartholomew’s application. Upon review of her Supervised Preinternship Experience Verification forms her Training Director, Stephen Cook, Ph.D., indicated that Dr. Bartholomew worked twenty or more hours per week and received the following hours of individual supervision per week.

<u>Hours Worked per Week</u>	<u>Individual Supervision per Week</u>	<u>Training Site</u>
20	< 1	Lubboch-Crosby County Community Supervision and Corrections Department
30	1	Lubboch Regional Mental Health and Mental Retardation Center

The Committee noted that Dr. Bartholomew’s number of hours of individual supervision does not meet the statutory requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(E)(4)(c). At this time, Dr. Bartholomew is 638.75 hours deficient in meeting the 3,000 hour supervised experience requirement. Additionally, the Committee noted that Dr. Bartholomew may wish to submit additional preinternship or postdoctoral experience to meet the 3,000 hour requirement.

Sue Moler, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Moler’s reapplication. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Moler’s reapplication to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Tabatha Sandman Kerr, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Sandman Kerr’s reapplication. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Sandman Kerr’s reapplication to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Brundage, to forward the applications of Holly Perham, Ph.D., Jamie Kobsar, Ph.D., Juan Sanchez, Psy.D., Kristen Caraher, Psy.D., Megan Russell, Psy.D., Sue Moler, Psy.D., and Tabatha Sandman Kerr, Psy.D., to the full Board for review and approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee and to request additional information and/or documentation from Alicja Stewart, Ph.D., Comel Belin, Ph.D., and Nicole Bartholomew, Ph.D., regarding the deficiencies discussed in their applications. The motion carried 2-0.

**Requesting Approval of Licensure by Waiver**

Catherine Martyniuk, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Martyniuk’s application. Upon review of her Supervised Preinternship Experience Verification forms the Committee noted that her Admin. Asst., Professional Training Director, Tabetha Scott, indicated that Dr. Martyniuk worked ten hours per week and received no supervision and that she worked twenty or more hours per week and received the following hours of individual supervision per week:

<u>Hours Worked per Week</u>	<u>Individual Supervision per Week</u>	<u>Training Site</u>
10	n/a	The Star Program
24	1	Isis Center
21	1	Areta Crowell BPSR Center

The Committee noted that Dr. Martyniuk’s number of hours of individual supervision does not meet the statutory requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(E)(4)(c). At this time the Committee is requesting clarification as to the number of individual face-to-face supervision hours Dr. Martyniuk received. Upon review of her Supervised Psychology Internship or Training Experience form from Catholic Charities, her Director of Clinical Services, Patricia Petterson, Ph.D., indicated that Dr. Martyniuk worked 25 hours per week for 49 weeks. Dr. Peterson’s subsequent calculations indicate that Dr. Martyniuk received 42 hours of individual face-to-face supervision which does not meet the requirement of §A.R.S. 32-2071(F)(6). At this time Dr. Martyniuk is 19.25 hours deficient in individual face-to-face supervision. The Committee is requesting additional information that she received one hour of face-to-face, individual supervision for each twenty hours of experience during her internship. Upon review of her Supervised Psychology Internship or Training Experience form from the Center for Applied Behavioral Services, the Committee noted that her Clinical Supervisor, Neil Ribner, Ph.D., indicated that Dr. Martyniuk worked 25 hours per week for 50 weeks. Dr. Ribner’s subsequent calculations indicate that Dr. Martyniuk received 44 hours of individual-face-to-face supervision which does not meet the requirement of §A.R.S. 32-2071(F)(6). At this time Dr. Martyniuk is 18.5 hours deficient in individual face-to-face supervision. The Committee is requesting additional information that she received one hour of face-to-face, individual supervision for each twenty hours of experience during her internship. Upon review of her Postdoctoral Professional Psychology Experience Verification form from United American Indian Involvement, Inc., the Committee noted that her supervisor, Camilla Row, Ph.D., indicated that Dr. Martyniuk worked 44 hours per week for 36 weeks for a total of 1,543 hours. Dr. Row’s subsequent calculations indicate that Dr. Martyniuk received 41 hours of individual face-to-face supervision which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(G)(5). At this time the Committee is requesting clarification as to the number of individual face-to-face supervision hours she received. Additionally, the Committee can only count 40 hours of work per week pursuant to A.R.S. §32-2071(H).

Ida Babakhanyan, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Babakhanyan’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Babakhanyan’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Leigh Randa, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Randa’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Randa’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Marta Patterson, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Patterson’s application. Upon review of her application, the Committee noted that she has held professional licenses in nursing in multiple jurisdictions as well as a psychology license in Missouri. The Committee is requesting that she explain why she has let all but her nursing license in Arizona lapse/expire. Additionally, the Committee noted that she did not list work history in

the psychology profession. The Committee is requesting that she provide an explanation as to whether she has experience/work history in the psychology field. Furthermore, upon review of her Postdoctoral Professional Psychology Experience Verification form from Ananda Institute Community Counseling Services Clinic, the Committee noted that her supervisor, Andrew Prokopis, Psy.D., indicated that Dr. Patterson worked 10-33 hours per week for 74 weeks and received a total of 1,510 postdoctoral experience hours. His subsequent calculations indicate that Dr. Patterson obtained 478 hours of direct client contact which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(G)(5). At this time the Committee is requesting clarification as to the number of direct client contact hours Dr. Patterson obtained and how many hours she worked per week.

Shawna Leppert, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Leppert’s application. Upon review of her Postdoctoral Professional Psychology Experience Verification form from Napa State Hospital, the Committee noted that her supervisor, Steven Hubert, Ph.D., indicated that Dr. Leppert worked 40 hours per week for 40.5 weeks for a total of 1,620 hours. His subsequent calculations indicate that Dr. Leppert received 41 hours of individual face-to-face supervision which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(G)(5). At this time the Committee is requesting clarification as to the number of individual face-to-face supervision hours Dr. Leppert received.

Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Brundage, to forward the applications of Ida Babakhanyan Ph.D., and Leigh Randa, Ph.D., to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon payment of the pro-rated licensure fee and to request additional information and/or documentation from Catherine Martyniuk, Psy.D., Marta Patterson, Ph.D., and Shawna Leppert, Psy.D., regarding the deficiencies discussed in their applications. The motion carried 2-0.

#### **Requesting Approval of Licensure by Credential**

Andrea Gould, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Gould’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Gould’s application to the full Board for approval of licensure upon payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Brundage, to forward the application of Andrea Gould, Ph.D., to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon payment of the pro-rated licensure fee. The motion carried 2-0.

#### **4. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD PERTAINING TO APPROVAL OF BEHAVIOR ANALYST APPLICANTS**

#### **Requesting Approval of Licensure by Experience**

Courtney Peters, M.A. - Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Peters’ application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Ms. Peters’ application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Hanna Roen, M.A.S. - Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Roen's application. Upon review of her Supervised Work Experience or Independent Fieldwork Verification form from Minnesota Early Autism Project, the Committee noted that her Clinical Director, Lisa Barsness, answered "no" to question #3 c. At this time, the Committee is requesting clarification as to whether Ms. Roen's work experience included overseeing the implementation of behavior analysis programs for clients.

Jamie Christman, M.Ed. - Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Christman's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that Ms. Christman did not fully complete the supervised work experience section (question #26) of the application. The Committee directed Board staff to contact Ms. Christman and if Ms. Christman provided the additional information her application would be forwarded to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Jonathan Bowen, M.A. - Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Mr. Bowen's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Mr. Bowen's application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Kayla Rosenberger, M.Ed. - Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Rosenberger's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Ms. Rosenberger's application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Megan Wilcott, M.S. - Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Wilcott's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Ms. Wilcott's application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Thomas Szabo, Ph.D. - Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Szabo's application and subsequent submission. Upon review of his application and supplemental materials, the Committee is requesting clarification as to the capacity of his supervised experience under W. Larry Williams, Ph.D., such as was it part of a practicum experience during his doctoral program at the University of Nevada. If this experience was a part of his doctoral program at the University of Nevada, the Committee is requesting that he obtain records from the University of Nevada regarding his supervised experience. At this time, the Committee cannot accept Dr. Szabo's experience that was completed after November 2011 due to the fact that Dr. Williams is not licensed as a behavior analyst in Nevada which does not meet statutory requirement. The Committee is requesting that Dr. Szabo provide evidence of 1,500 hours of supervised work experience or independent fieldwork that meets the requirement of §32-2091.03.

TJ Glahn, Ph.D. - Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Glahn's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Glahn's application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Brundage, to forward the applications of Courtney Peters, M.A., Jonathan Bowen, M.A., Kayla Rosenberger, M.Ed., Megan Wilcott, M.S., and TJ Glahn, Ph.D., to

the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee, directed Board staff to contact Jamie Christman, M.Ed., regarding her incomplete application and if Ms. Christman completes the requested information to forward her application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee and to request additional information and/or documentation from Hanna Roen, M.A.S., and Thomas Szabo, Ph.D., regarding the deficiencies discussed in their applications. The motion carried 2-0.

#### **5. NEW AGENDA ITEMS FOR FUTURE MEETINGS**

It was the consensus of the Committee to hold the next meeting of the Application Review Committee on January 30, 2015, at 7:30 a.m.

#### **6. ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business to come before the Committee, the meeting adjourned at 9:27 a.m.

---

**Frederick S. Wechsler, Ph.D., Psy.D., ABPP Clinical)**  
**Application Review Committee Chair**