

Board Members

Frederick S. Wechsler, Ph.D., Psy.D., ABPP
Chair
Rob Robichaud, M.A. CJ, SHRM-CP, PHR
Vice-Chair
Tamara Shreeve, MPA
Secretary
Paul Beljan, Psy.D. ABPdN, ABN
Bob Bohanske, Ph.D.
Janice K. Brundage, Ph.D.
Joseph C. Donaldson
Lynn L. Flowers, Ph.D.
Ramona Mellott, Ph.D.



**State of Arizona
Board of Psychologist Examiners**

1965-2015
50 Years of Service to Arizona

1400 West Washington, Suite 240
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Phone: (602) 542-8162 Fax: (602) 542-8279
www.psychboard.az.gov

Application Review Committee

Staff

Dr. Cindy Olvey
Executive Director

Lynanne Chapman
Deputy Director

Heather Duracinski
Licensing Coordinator

Krishna Poe
Administrative Assistant

MINUTES OF TELEPHONIC MEETING

November 3, 2015

7:30 a.m.

1400 West Washington
Suite #240
Phoenix, AZ 85007

1. CALL TO ORDER

The regular session of the Arizona State Board of Psychologist Examiners Application Review Committee was called to order by Chairman Wechsler at 7:30 a.m. on November 3, 2015. No Executive Sessions were held.

2. ROLL CALL

Committee Members Participating by Telephone

Frederick S. Wechsler, Ph.D., Psy.D., ABPP (CI) – Chair
Lynn L. Flowers, Ph.D.

Staff Present

Cindy Olvey, Psy.D. – Executive Director
Heather Duracinski – Licensing Coordinator

Assistant Attorney General

Jeanne Galvin, Esq.

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

- September 29, 2015, Regular Session Minutes

Dr. Flowers made a motion, seconded by Dr. Wechsler, to approve the September 29, 2015, Regular Session Minutes as drafted. The motion carried 2-0.

4. **DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING APPROVAL OF PSYCHOLOGY APPLICANTS**

Requesting Approval to sit for Examination (EPPP) & Licensure

Emily Strang, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Strang’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Strang’s application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP.

Dr. Flowers made a motion, seconded by Dr. Wechsler, to forward the application of Emily Strang, Ph.D., to the full Board for review and approval to take the EPPP. The motion carried 2-0.

Requesting Approval to sit for Examination (EPPP) & Licensure

Adrian Fletcher, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Fletcher’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Fletcher’s application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Aleksandr Levitan, Psy.D. – Dr. Wechsler recused from reviewing Dr. Levitan’s application. Due to lack of a quorum Dr. Levitan’s application was forwarded to the full Board for substantive review.

Andrea Saathoff, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Saathoff’s reapplication. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Saathoff’s reapplication to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Ashtin Adkins, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Adkins’ application. Upon review, the Committee noted that Dr. Adkins completed question #29 of the application incorrectly. Additionally, the Committee noted that Dr. Adkins did not list her total hours on the preinternship summary sheet, otherwise the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. The Committee directed Board staff to contact Dr. Adkins and request that she make the above noted corrections. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Adkins’s application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Catherine Asber, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Asber’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Asber’s application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Glenda Eklund, Psy.D. – Dr. Wechsler recused from reviewing Dr. Eklund’s application. Due to lack of a quorum Dr. Eklund’s application was forwarded to the full Board for substantive review.

Holly Cunningham, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Cunningham’s application and subsequent submission. Upon review, The Committee determined that her preinternship experiences at Phoenix Clinic – San Bernardino County, Temecula Mental

Health and Youth and Family Resource Center – Central do not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(E)(b) and that her internship at Providence Community Services does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(F)(6). It was the consensus of the Committee to forward Dr. Cunningham’s application to the full Board for further review and a recommendation of denial.

Iva Houston, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Houston’s application. Upon review of her Supervised Preinternship Experience Verification form from the Isis Crisis Center, the Committee noted that her Director of Professional Training, Steven Bucky, Ph.D., indicated that Dr. Houston worked 24 hours per week and received 1 hour of individual supervision per week which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(E)(4)(c). At this time the Committee is requesting clarification as to the number of individual supervision hours Dr. Houston obtained. Upon review of her Supervised Psychology Internship or Training Experience Verification form from Bayview Hospital, the Committee noted that her Chief of Psychology, John Nachison, Ph.D., indicated that Dr. Houston received a total of 1,163 internship experience hours. His subsequent calculations indicate that Dr. Houston received 49 hours of individual, face-to-face supervision, which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(F)(6). At this time Dr. Houston is 11 hours deficient in meeting the individual face-to-face supervision requirement. The Committee is requesting clarification as to the number of individual face-to-face supervision hours she received. Upon review of her Supervised Psychology Internship or Training Experience Verification form from the Heritage Clinic, the Committee noted that her supervisor, Taeko Uchino DiCarlo, Psy.D., indicated that Dr. Houston received a total of 1,100.4 internship experience hours. His subsequent calculations indicate that Dr. Houston obtained 263 hours of direct client contact hours, which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(F)(5). At this time Dr. Houston is 12.1 hours deficient in meeting the direct client contact requirement. The Committee is requesting clarification as to the number of direct client contact hours she obtained. Additionally, the Committee noted that her internship with Bayview Hospital was from August 2009 - August 2010 and her internship with the Heritage Clinic was from August 2011 - June 2012 which does not meet the requirement of §32-2071(F)(10). At this time, the Committee is requesting clarification as to whether her internship experiences were completed within twenty-four consecutive months.

Jami Voss, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Voss’ application. Upon review of her Supervised Preinternship Experience Verification forms from her Associate Director, Training Director, Suzanne H. Lease, the following was noted:

<u>Hours Worked per Week</u>	<u>Total Hours of Individual Supervision</u>	<u>Total Number of Supervised Hours</u>	<u>Hours of Individual Supervision Per Week</u>	<u>Training Site</u>
10-12	26	381	1	The Shelter
6-8	7	94	1	MU Career Center
10-12	2.5	92	0.5	Exchange Club
12-15	25	404	1	Rhodes College Student Counseling Center
10-12	24	331	1	Family Counseling Center
12-15	7	128	1	Transformation Center
12-15	35	364	1	University of Memphis Counseling Center

The Committee calculated that the number of hours Dr. Voss worked per week is not consistent with what Suzanne H. Lease reported. At this time the Committee is requesting clarification regarding the discrepancy in the hours Dr. Voss worked per week.

Jueta McCutchan, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. McCutchan’s application. Upon review of her Supervised Preinternship Experience Verification forms from her Director of Training, Dr. Heather Lyons, the following was noted:

<u>Hours Worked per Week</u>	<u>Total Hours of Individual Supervision</u>	<u>Total Number of Supervised Hours</u>	<u>Hours of Individual Supervision Per Week</u>	<u>Training Site</u>
20	55	561	1	Loyola Clinical Centers
16	36	552	2	Chesapeake Treatment Center
20	99	521	2.5	Child Guidance Center

The Committee calculated that the number of hours Dr. McCutchan worked per week is not consistent with what Dr. Lyons reported. At this time the Committee is requesting clarification regarding the discrepancy in the hours she worked per week.

Kyle Lowrey, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Lowrey’s application and subsequent submission. After review of his explanation and supervision logs that he submitted pertaining to his postdoctoral experience, it is unclear to the Committee whether he is four hours deficient in meeting the individual face-to-face supervision requirement. At this time, the Committee is requesting clarification as to the number of individual face-to-face supervision hours he received. Additionally, the Committee would like Dr. Lowrey to highlight the section(s) of the supervision logs he submitted that show he received the required 92 hours of individual face-to-face supervision and the appropriate amount of supervision each week.

M. David McGady, Jr., Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. McGady’s application. Upon review of his Supervised Preinternship Experience Verification form from his Director of Clinical Training, Dr. Eric Johnson, the following was noted:

<u>Hours Worked per Week</u>	<u>Total Hours of Individual Supervision</u>	<u>Total Number of Supervised Hours</u>	<u>Hours of Individual Supervision Per Week</u>	<u>Training Site</u>
20	38	801.25	1	Desert Heights Academy

The Committee calculated that the number of hours Dr. McGady worked per week is not consistent with what Dr. Johnson reported. At this time the Committee is requesting clarification regarding the discrepancy in the hours he worked per week. Additionally, upon review of his Summary of Pre-Internship Supervised Professional Experiences spreadsheet and his Supervised Preinternship Experience Verification form from The Amy Houston Academy, the Committee noted that his Director of Clinical Training, Dr. Eric Johnson, indicated that Dr. McGady worked 20 hours per week and received 0.5-1 hour of individual supervision per week which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(E)(4)(c). At this time the Committee is requesting clarification as to the number of individual face-to-face supervision hours he received.

Michael Pinnell, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Pinnell’s reapplication. Upon review of his reference letter from Neil Stafford, Psy.D., the

Committee noted the approximate dates of his relationship with Dr. Stafford was July 2008 – July 2010 which does not meet the requirement of A.A.C. R4-26-203(A)(27). Additionally, upon review of his reference letter from Stacy Anderson Taouil, Psy.D., the Committee noted that Dr. Taouil is not a licensed psychologist which does not meet the requirement of A.A.C. R4-26-203(A)(27). At this time, the Committee is requesting that Dr. Pinnell provide two references that meet the requirement of A.A.C. R4-26-203(A)(27).

Miguel Iracheta, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Iracheta's application and subsequent submission. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Iracheta's application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Nagisa Kondo, Psy.D. – Dr. Wechsler recused from reviewing Dr. Kondo's application. Due to lack of a quorum Dr. Kondo's application was forwarded to the full Board for substantive review. Dr. Flowers requested that Board staff contact Dr. Kondo and inquire about the dates of her internship experience.

Nisha Todi, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Todi's application and subsequent submission. The Committee reviewed her and her supervisor's, Dr. Hwang, explanation regarding her Postdoctoral Professional Psychology Experience Verification form from Arizona State University Counseling Services. At this time, the Committee is requesting that Dr. Todi submit documentation (supervision logs) of the 1,000 postdoctoral hours she intends to use toward licensure.

Ricardo Mendivil, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Mendivil's application. Upon review of his reference letter from Amy Wasserbauer, Ph.D., the Committee noted the approximate dates of his relationship with Dr. Wasserbauer was August 2008 – September 2011 which does not meet the requirement of A.A.C. R4-26-203(A)(27). At this time, the Committee is requesting a more recent reference. If a more recent reference is not available, the Committee is requesting an explanation be provided.

Thomas McCaffrey, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. McCaffrey's application. Upon review of his application, the Committee noted that he did not complete question #35 C. & D. sections (a)(b) & (c) and the name and address of the supervisor. The Committee is requesting that Dr. McCaffrey complete the above noted sections. Upon review of his reference letter from Catherine McNeilly, Psy.D., the Committee noted that Dr. McNeilly is not a licensed psychologist which does not meet the requirement of A.A.C. R4-26-203(A)(27). At this time, the Committee is requesting that Dr. McCaffrey provide a reference of a licensed psychologist that meets the requirement of A.A.C. R4-26-203(A)(27).

Tiffany Long, Ph.D. – Dr. Wechsler recused from reviewing Dr. Long's application. Dr. Flowers requested that Board staff contact Dr. Long and request additional information regarding her residency and internship training plan. Due to lack of a quorum Dr. Long's application will be forwarded to the full Board for substantive review once the additional information is received.

Tucker Peck, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Peck's application and subsequent submission. Upon review, the Committee determined that his preinternship experience at Marana Health Center does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(E)(4)(d). The Committee determined that Dr. Peck is 957 hours deficient in meeting the 3,000 hour supervised work experience requirement. It was the consensus of the Committee to

forward Dr. Peck's application to the full Board to take the EPPP and to allow him to submit postdoctoral experience to meet the 3,000 hour supervised work experience requirement.

Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Flowers, to forward the applications of Adrian Fletcher, Psy.D., Andrea Saathoff, Ph.D., Catherine Asber, Psy.D., and Miquel Iracheta, Ph.D., to the full Board for review and approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee and forward the application of Ashtin Adkins, Psy.D., to the full Board for review and approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee once she corrects the questions noted in her application, to issue additional information and documentation request letters to Iva Houston, Ph.D., Jami Voss, Ph.D., Jueta McCutchan, Psy.D., M. David McGady, Jr., Psy.D., Michael Pinnell, Psy.D., Ricardo Mendivil, Psy.D., and Thomas Mccaffrey, Psy.D., regarding the deficiencies discussed in their applications, to issue a second additional information request letter to Kyle Lowrey, Psy.D., and Nisha Todi, Psy.D., regarding the deficiencies discussed in their applications, to forward the application of Tucker Peck, Ph.D., to the full Board to allow him to sit for the EPPP while he completes postdoctoral experience, to forward the application of Holly Cunningham, Psy.D., to the full Board for further review and possible denial for the deficiencies discussed in her application and to forward the applications of Aleksandr Levitan, Psy.D., Glenda Eklund, Psy.D., Nagisa Kondo, Psy.D., and Tiffany Long, Psy.D. to the full Board due to lack of a quorum. The motion carried 2-0.

At 8:53 a.m. the Committee recessed. The Committee reconvened at 4:00 p.m..

Requesting Approval of Licensure by Waiver

Brian Kehoe, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Kehoe's application. Upon review of his Postdoctoral Professional Psychology Experience Verification form from North Shore Mental Health, Inc., the Committee noted that he and his supervisor, Dan Kehoe, Ph.D., share the same last name. The Committee noted that "Kehoe" is not a common last name. At this time the Committee is inquiring whether Dr. Kehoe and his supervisor, Dan Kehoe, Ph.D., are in any way related.

Cynthia Boyd, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Boyd's application and subsequent submission. Upon review of the additional information she and her supervisor, Dr. Tilden, submitted regarding her internship at the California Department of Corrections Parole Outpatient Clinic, the Committee noted that the internship evaluation form states that the evaluation period was from May 19, 2001 – June 29, 2001. The Committee noted that the signatures on the form are not dated. Additionally, the Committee noted that her individual face-to-face supervision and direct client contact hours for the Spring and Fall sessions vary greatly. Furthermore, the Committee noted that Dr. Tilden reported that Dr. Boyd received 1,134 internship hours. His subsequent calculations indicate that Dr. Boyd received 150 hours of individual face-to-face supervision and obtained 1,020 hours of direct client contact. The Committee noted that if Dr. Boyd received 150 hours of individual face-to-face supervision and obtained 1,020 hours of direct client contact she would have received a total of 1,170 internship hours which exceeds the 1,134 internship hours that Dr. Tilden reported. At this time, the Committee is requesting that Dr. Boyd and Dr. Tilden submit an explanation regarding the discrepancies noted above as well as documentation of her supervision (supervision logs) as proof of the hours she obtained. Upon review of her Supervised Psychology Internship or Training Experience Verification form from Sharp Rehabilitation Center, the Committee noted that her supervisor, Barbara Schrock, Ph.D., indicated that Dr. Boyd's internship was accredited by the American Psychological Association Committee on Accreditation. At this time, the Committee is requesting official documentation/verification that her internship was accredited by the American Psychological Association Committee on Accreditation.

David Usndek, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Usndek’s application. Upon review of his reference letter from Claudia Mosier, Psy.D., the Committee noted the approximate dates of his relationship with Dr. Mosier was 1999 – 2000 which does not meet the requirement of A.A.C. R4-26-203(A)(27). At this time, the Committee is requesting a more recent reference. If a more recent reference is not available the Committee is requesting an explanation. Upon review of his Supervised Preinternship Experience Verification forms from his Director, Dina Glaser, Psy.D., the following was noted:

<u>Hours Worked per Week</u>	<u>Total Hours of Individual Supervision</u>	<u>Total Number of Supervised Hours</u>	<u>Hours of Individual Supervision Per Week</u>	<u>Training Site</u>
17	48	720	1	City of Chicago Dept. of Public Health
24	153	1056	2	Pride Institute

The Committee calculated that the number of hours he worked per week is not consistent with what Dr. Glaser reported. At this time the Committee is requesting clarification regarding the discrepancy in the hours Dr. Usndek worked per week. Additionally, the Committee noted that he did not total his hours on the “Summary of Pre-Internship Supervised Professional Experiences” spreadsheet. The Committee is requesting that he complete the totals on the spreadsheet. Furthermore, the Committee noted that he submitted his “Final Therapy Practicum Evaluation Form” for the Pride Program, his “Final Assessment Practicum Evaluation Form” for the City of Chicago Department of Public Health and his “1997-1999 Bulletin” as his written training plan(s) which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(E)(2)(5). At this time the Committee is requesting that he provide the written training plan(s) that meets the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(E)(2)(5) for the Pride Program and for the City of Chicago Department of Public Health. Upon review of his Supervised Psychology Internship or Training Experience Verification form from the City of Chicago Department of Public Health, the Committee noted that his supervisor, Marilyn Marks-Frey, Ph.D., did not provide the total number of his direct client contact hours. The Committee is requesting that Dr. Marks-Frey provide the total number of Dr. Usndek’s direct client contact hours. Additionally, the Committee noted that the letter provided by Dr. Marks-Frey does not meet the requirement of a written training plan pursuant to A.R.S. §32-2071(F)(9). At this time the Committee is requesting that a written training plan for his internship at the City of Chicago Department of Public Health be submitted that meets the requirements of A.R.S. §32-2071(F)(9). Upon review of his Postdoctoral Professional Psychology Experience Verification form from Pillars Community, the Committee noted that his supervisor, Lauren Williams, Psy.D., indicated that he worked 40 hours per week for 110 weeks for a total of 4,400 hours. Dr. Williams’ subsequent calculations indicate that Dr. Usndek received 110+ hours of individual face-to-face supervision which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(G)(5). At this time the Committee is requesting clarification as to the number of individual face-to-face supervision hours he received. Additionally, the Committee noted that his postdoctoral written training plan indicates that he worked 35 hours per week. The Committee noted that Dr. Williams indicated that Dr. Usndek worked 40 hours per week. At this time the Committee is requesting clarification regarding the discrepancy in the amount of hours he worked per week reported by Dr. Williams and what is stated in his written training plan.

Duane Lakin, Ph.D. –Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Lakin’s application. Upon review of his application the Committee noted that on question #32 of the

application he indicated that one of his areas of professional competence is in clinical psychology. The Committee noted that he was trained in school psychology and has experience in industrial/organizational psychology. The Committee is requesting clarification as to his education and experience in clinical psychology. Additionally, the Committee noted that he is applying by waiver and that he is not currently licensed as a psychologist nor has he been licensed as a psychologist for approximately 13 years. The Committee expressed concern that Dr. Lakin may not be current in the field of psychology. The Committee is requesting that he provide an explanation as to how he is current in the field of psychology. Upon review of his reference letter from Donald Grayson, Ph.D., the Committee noted that Dr. Grayson is retired and not currently licensed which does not meet the requirement of A.A.C. R4-26-203(A)(27). Additionally, upon review of his reference letter from Karen Sellers Gates, the Committee noted that Ms. Gates is not a licensed psychologist which does not meet the requirement of A.A.C. R4-26-203(A)(27). At this time, the Committee is requesting that Dr. Lakin provide two references that meet the requirement of A.A.C. R4-26-203(A)(27). Due to the above noted concerns it was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Lakin's application to a future meeting of the Board for further review.

Kerstin Hurley, Ph.D. –Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Hurley's application. Upon review of her Supervised Psychology Internship or Training Experience Verification form from Alvarado Parkway Institute Behavioral Health System, the Committee noted that her Assistant Director, Kent Layton, Ph.D., indicated that Dr. Hurley received a total of 2,234.75 internship experience hours. His subsequent calculations indicate that Dr. Hurley obtained 110 hours of individual, face-to-face supervision, which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(F)(6). At this time Dr. Hurley is two hours deficient in meeting individual face-to-face requirement. The Committee is requesting clarification as to the number of individual face-to-face supervision hours she obtained.

Kristy Klein, Ph.D. –Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Klein's application and subsequent submission. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Klein's application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Leslie O'Neill, Ph.D. –Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. O'Neill's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. O'Neill's application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

R. Kevin Goeke, Ph.D. –Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Goeke's application. Upon review of his application, the Committee noted that his degree in Education from Ohio State University may not fulfill the requirements of A.R.S. § 32-2071(A). At this time the Committee is requesting clarification as to how his degree in education meets the statutory requirement. Upon review of his Core Program Requirements, the Committee noted the following may not meet the core program requirements (A.R.S. §32-2071(4)) of Scientific and Professional Ethics and Standards in Psychology, Cognitive-Affective Basis of Behavior, The Social Basis of Behavior and Treatment Modalities:

- Scientific and Professional Ethics and Standards in Psychology:
 - *Planned Field Experience – included professional Ethics and Standards regarding practice of psychology (Educ 884.4)*

- Cognitive-Affective Basis of Behavior:
 - *Psychology of Creativity (Psych 600)*
- The Social Basis of Behavior:
 - *Social Ecology: Implementations for Counseling (Psych 881)*
 - *Human Motivation (Psych 623)*
- Treatment Modalities:
 - *Counseling Psychology: An Introduction (Psych 540)*
 - *Psychology of Counseling (Psych 882.01)*

The Committee is requesting that he provide an explanation of how the above noted courses meet the statutory requirement. Additionally, the Committee noted that he indicated that his course in ethics, *Planned Field Experience – included professional Ethics and Standards regarding practice of psychology (Educ 884.34)*, was 15 quarter hours. The Committee is requesting clarification as to whether he received 15 quarter hours for the above noted course. Furthermore, pursuant to A.R.S. §32-2071(A)(4) and Arizona Administrative Code R4-26-202(C), Dr. Goeke has the option to provide evidence that his Comprehensive Exam included ethics to meet the statutory requirement in lieu of providing his syllabi.

Shiva Sobhanian, Psy.D. –Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Sobhanian’s application. Upon review of her application, the Committee noted that her degree in Clinical Forensic Psychology from Alliant International University may not fulfill the requirements of A.R.S. § 32-2071(A). At this time the Committee is requesting clarification as to how her degree in Clinical Forensic Psychology meets the statutory requirement. Upon review of her Supervised Psychology Internship or Training Experience form from Alvarado Parkway Institute Behavioral Health System, her Director, Andrew Noorollah, Ph.D., indicated that Dr. Sobhanian worked 40-44 hours per week for 52 weeks. His subsequent calculations indicate that Dr. Sobhanian received 96 hours of individual-face-to-face supervision which does not meet the requirement of §A.R.S. 32-2071(F)(6). At this time Dr. Sobhanian is 8 hours deficient in individual face-to-face supervision. The Committee is requesting additional information that she received one hour of face-to-face, individual supervision for each twenty hours of experience during her internship. Additionally, the Committee noted that it can only accept 40 hours of work per week pursuant to A.R.S. §32-2071(H). Upon review of her Postdoctoral Professional Psychology Experience Verification form from Alvarado Parkway Institute, the Committee noted that her supervisor, Kimberly Corwin, Ph.D., indicated that Dr. Sobhanian received a total of 987 postdoctoral experience hours. Her subsequent calculations indicate that Dr. Sobhanian received 26 hours of individual face-to-face supervision which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(G)(5). At this time Dr. Sobhanian is 26 hours deficient in individual face-to-face supervision. The Committee is requesting clarification as to the number of individual face-to-face supervision hours she received. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward Dr. Sobhanian’s application to the full Board for further review with a recommendation of denial.

Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Flowers, to forward the applications of Kristy Klein, Ph.D., and Leslie O’Neill, Ph.D., to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon payment of the pro-rated licensure fee, to issue additional information request letters to Brian Kehoe, Ph.D., David Usndek, Psy.D., Kerstin Hurley, Ph.D., and R. Kevin Goeke, Ph.D., regarding the deficiencies discussed in their applications, to issue a third additional information request letter to Cynthia Boyd, Ph.D.,

regarding the deficiencies discussed in her application, to forward the application of Duane Lakin, Ph.D., to a future meeting of the Board for further review of the deficiencies discussed in his application, and to forward the application of Shiva Sobhanian, Psy.D., to the full Board for the deficiencies discussed in her application with a recommendation of denial. The motion carried 2-0.

Requesting Approval of Licensure by Credential

C. Girvani Leerer, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Leerer’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Leerer’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Dr. Wechlser made a motion, seconded by Dr. Flowers, to forward the application of C. Girvani Leerer, Ph.D., to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon payment of the pro-rated licensure fee. The motion carried 2-0.

Requesting Approval of Supervised Professional Experience Hours & Licensure

Mallory Brown, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Brown’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Brown’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Flowers, to forward the application of Mallory Brown, Ph.D., to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon payment of the pro-rated licensure fee. The motion carried 2-0.

5. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD PERTAINING TO APPROVAL OF LICENSED ASSOCIATE PSYCHOLOGIST APPLICANTS AND APPROVAL OF POSTDOCTORAL WRITTEN TRAINING PLAN

Requesting Approval For Temporary Licensure and EPPP

Jeremiah Isbell, Psy.D. – Dr. Wechsler recused from reviewing Dr. Isbell’s application. Due to lack of a quorum Dr. Isbell’s application was forwarded to the full Board for substantive review.

6. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD PERTAINING TO APPROVAL OF BEHAVIOR ANALYST APPLICANTS

Requesting Approval of Licensure by Experience

Jill Haglund, M.A. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Haglund’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Ms. Haglund’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Mat Luehring, M.A. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Mr. Luehring’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Mr. Luehring’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Flowers, to forward the applications of Jill Haglund, M.A., and Mat Luehring, M.A., to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee. The motion carried 2-0.

7. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION PERTAINING TO POSSIBLE REVISION OF QUESTION #29 a. & b. OF THE PSYCHOLOGIST APPLICATION FOR EXAM AND/OR LICENSURE AND TO THE POSTDOCTORAL PROFESSIONAL PSYCHOLOGY EXPERIENCE VERIFICATION FORM

Committee members reviewed the changes to question #29 a. & b. of the Psychologist Application for Exam and/or Licensure and to the postdoctoral professional psychology experience verification form. After deliberation, Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Flowers, to approve the revisions to question #29 a. & b. of the psychologist application for exam and/or licensure and to the postdoctoral professional psychology experience verification form. The motion carried 2-0.

8. NEW AGENDA ITEMS FOR FUTURE MEETINGS

There were no new items for future meetings.

9. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Committee, Dr. Flowers made motion, seconded by Dr. Wechsler, to adjourn the meeting at 5:10 p.m. The motion carried 2-0.

Frederick S. Wechsler, Ph.D., Psy.D., ABPP (CI)
Application Review Committee Chair