



Board Members

Frederick S. Wechsler, Ph.D., Psy.D., ABPP
Chair
Tamara Shreeve, MPA
Vice-Chair
Paul Beljan, Psy.D. ABPdN, ABN
Secretary
Bob Bohanske, Ph.D.
Janice K. Brundage, Ph.D.
Joseph C. Donaldson
Lynn L. Flowers, Ph.D.
Ramona Mellott, Ph.D.
Rob Robichaud, M.A. CJ, SHRM-CP, PHR

**State of Arizona
Board of Psychologist Examiners**

1965-2015
50 Years of Service to Arizona

1400 West Washington, Suite 240
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Phone: (602) 542-8162 Fax: (602) 542-8279
www.psychboard.az.gov

Staff

Dr. Cindy Olvey
Executive Director

Lynanne Chapman
Deputy Director

Heather Duracinski
Licensing Coordinator

Krishna Poe
Administrative Assistant

REGULAR SESSION MINUTES

December 11, 2015
8:30 a.m.
Executive Tower
1700 W. Washington
Third Floor Conference Room (312)
Phoenix, AZ 85007

1. CALL TO ORDER

The regular session of the Arizona State Board of Psychologist Examiners was called to order by Chairman Wechsler at 8:30 a.m. on December 11, 2015.

2. ROLL CALL

Board Members Present

Frederick S. Wechsler, Ph.D., Psy.D., ABPP – Chair
Rob Robichaud, M.A. CJ, SHRM-CP, PHR – Vice Chair
Tamara Shreeve, MPA – Secretary
Paul Beljan, Psy.D., ABPdN, ABN
Bob Bohanske, Ph.D. (8:30 a.m. – 9:04 a.m.)
Janice K. Brundage, Ph.D.
Joseph C. Donaldson
Lynn L. Flowers, Ph.D.
Ramona N. Mellott, Ph.D

Board Staff

Dr. Cindy Olvey, Executive Director
Lynanne Chapman, Deputy Director
Heather Duracinski, Licensing Coordinator

Attorney General’s Office

Elizabeth Campbell, Assistant Attorney General

3. REMARKS/ANNOUNCEMENTS

CE Documentation – Dr. Wechsler announced that licensees could receive CE credits in Ethics for attendance at Board meetings and explained how to obtain credit.

Board Assessment Forms – Dr. Wechsler encouraged members of the audience to complete a Board meeting Assessment Survey and place them in the survey box.

Acknowledgement of 50 Years since Psychology was Recognized as a Profession in Arizona

Dr. Wechsler announced that this is the last in-person Board meeting of the 50th Anniversary since psychology was recognized as a profession. Dr. Wechsler presented a plaque to Dr. Bohanske for his work on the 50th Anniversary Committee. He announced that there are pins recognizing the 50th Anniversary available for the public. These pins were paid for personally by Drs. Bohanske and Brundage. Additionally, Dr. Wechsler recognized the proclamations

from Governor Ducey proclaiming Psychology Week in Arizona and Tempe Mayor Mitchell proclaiming Psychology Day in Tempe.

Board Member and Staff Appreciation – Dr. Wechsler announced that Dr. Bohanske has been reappointed to the Board for another term and presented him with the loyalty oath. Dr. Wechsler thanked Board members and Staff for their dedication and hard work.

4. CALL TO THE PUBLIC

There were no requests to speak at this time.

5. CONSENT AGENDA - DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION

Dr. Bohankse made a motion, seconded by Mr. Donaldson, to approve the items on the Consent Agenda. Ms. Shreeve abstained from the November 6, 2015, Regular Session Minutes. Dr. Brundage recused from Tucker Peck, Ph.D., application. The motion carried 9-0.

(a) APPROVAL OF MINUTES

- November 6, 2015, Regular Session (Ms. Shreeve abstained)

(b) DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING PSYCHOLOGY APPLICATIONS

i. REQUESTING APPROVAL FOR EXAM ONLY

- Amanda McBride, Psy.D.
- Andrew Perkins, Ph.D.
- Erin Nekvasil, Ph.D.
- Holly Doolin, Psy.D.
- Nicole Burr, Psy.D.
- Rebecca Gillespie, Psy.D.

ii. REQUESTING APPROVAL FOR EXAM AND/OR LICENSURE

- Arti Sarma, Ph.D.
- Bekayla Dewar, Psy.D.
- Kyle Lowrey, Psy.D.
- Marylene Goode, Psy.D.
- Nisha Todi, Psy.D.
- Sara Gruzlewski, Psy.D.

iii. REQUESTING APPROVAL FOR LICENSURE BY WAIVER

- Colby Harris, Ph.D.
- Kerstin Hurley, Ph.D.
- Mariquita Mullan, Ph.D.

iv. REQUESTING APPROVAL FOR LICENSURE BY CREDENTIAL

- Cynthia Townsend, Ph.D.
- Osvelia Deeds, Ph.D.
- Sonja Raciti, Psy.D.

v. REQUESTING APPROVAL OF SUPERVISED PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE HOURS AND LICENSURE

- Afroza Ahmed, Psy.D.
- Tucker Peck, Ph.D. (Dr. Brundage recused)

(c) DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING LICENSED ASSOCIATE PSYCHOLOGIST APPLICATIONS AND APPROVAL OF POSTDOCTORAL WRITTEN TRAINING PLAN

Requesting Approval of Temporary Licensure

- Brooke DeBoy, Psy.D.

Requesting Approval of Temporary Licensure & EPPP

- Leslie Montijo, Psy.D.
- Steven Bryce Bennett, Psy.D.

(d) DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING BEHAVIOR ANALYST APPLICATIONS

- Deborah Mulder, M.A.
- Kellie Kotwicki, M.S.

(e) EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

(f) INVESTIGATIONS REPORT

(g) LICENSING REPORT

(h) DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING CORRECTIONS FOR MINUTES OF BOARD MEETING HELD AUGUST 14, 2015

(i) DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING REQUEST FOR REACTIVATION OF INACTIVE LICENSE FROM LYDIA MACKAY, MA

(j) DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING REQUEST FOR REACTIVATION OF INACTIVE LICENSE FROM MARK STEVENSON, PH.D.

(k) DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO SIT FOR THE EPPP FROM VICKIE SPITZER, PSY.D.

(l) DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO SIT FOR THE EPPP FROM MARLEE HOFFMAN, PH.D.

6. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING RECOMMENDATION BY THE BOARD'S COMPLAINT SCREENING COMMITTEE THAT THE BOARD CONSIDER OPENING A COMPLAINT AGAINST KATHLEEN BREE, PSY.D.

Dr. Beljan recused from this agenda item and exited the meeting room. Dr. Bohanske provided a summary to the Board stating that the Complaint Screening Committee reviewed and adjudicated a complaint that was submitted by Dr. Bree regarding a school psychologist. After review of the complaint, the Complaint Screening Committee expressed concern that Dr. Bree may have entered into a multiple relationship and suggested the Board consider opening an investigation.

Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Flowers, to open a Request for Investigation against Dr. Bree for possibly entering into a multiple relationship.

Dr. Bree was present and her legal counsel, Larry Cohen, was present telephonically. Dr. Bree and Mr. Cohen requested to speak, made statements and answered Board members' questions. Mr. Cohen stated that there was no dual relationship and provided clarification. Dr. Bree provided additional clarification.

Dr. Wechsler withdrew his motion and Dr. Flowers withdrew his second to the motion to open a Request for Investigation.

Following deliberation, Dr. Mellott made a motion, seconded by Mr. Robichaud, to take no action in this matter. The motion carried 8-0-1 with Dr. Beljan recused.

Dr. Bohanske left the meeting at 9:04 a.m.

4. CALL TO THE PUBLIC (cont.)

Martin Lynch addressed the Board and addressed concerns pertaining to the Board's interpretation of A.R.S. §32-2081(C).

7. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION CONCERNING PROPOSED OFFER OF SETTLEMENT SUBMITTED BY DR. MARCUS EARLE PERTAINING TO RFI 15-05

Ms. Chapman provided a summary to the Board stating that at the August 14, 2015, Board meeting, the Board forwarded RFI 15-05 to an Informal Interview. Subsequent to the Board's vote, Dr. Earle's legal counsel, Paul Giancola, submitted a proposed offer to settle this matter in lieu of moving to an Informal Interview. The Complainant, R.G., was present telephonically, requested to speak and made a statement.

Dr. Earle and his legal counsel, Paul Giancola, were present, requested to speak, made statements and answered Board members' questions. Some Board members expressed concern with the offer while other Board members expressed that the offer addresses the Board's concerns.

At 9:28 a.m., Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Ms. Shreeve, to go into Executive Session to receive confidential legal advice from the Board's attorney. Dr. Wechsler called the question regarding the motion to go into Executive Session. The motion carried 8-0. Open session reconvened at 10:02 a.m.

Mr. Giancola stated that he had conversations with the Board's attorney regarding the proposed offer. Additionally, he stated that they are willing to work with the Board's attorney to modify the offer. Board members expressed uncertainty with the proposed offer. Following deliberation, Ms. Shreeve made a motion, seconded by Dr. Brundage, to reject the proposed offer and move to the Informal Interview. The motion carried 8-0.

8. INFORMAL INTERVIEW – MARCUS EARLE, PH.D. RFI 15-05 DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION RELATING TO ALLEGATIONS OF UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT, PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, POSSIBLE DISCIPLINE AND/OR OFFER OF A CONSENT AGREEMENT OR REFERRAL TO A FORMAL HEARING

Dr. Wechsler reviewed Informal Interview procedures. Dr. Earle and his legal counsel, Paul Giancola, were present. Board members and Board staff introduced themselves. Dr. Wechsler swore in Dr. Earle and Dr. Earle confirmed that he reviewed his records in this case.

Dr. Earle requested to speak and made a statement to the Board and provided additional information. Dr. Wechsler provided a summary of the allegations to the Board stating that the Board referred this matter to an Informal Interview for possible violation of A.R.S. §32-2061(15)(o) for possibly falling below the current standards of care for not having an emergency plan in place which may have caused harm to the Complainant.

Board members asked Dr. Earle questions pertaining to the intake process at his facility, the emergency plan for patients participating in the program, the role of the Complainants spouse, to which Dr. Earle responded.

The Complainant was present telephonically and was sworn in by Dr. Wechsler. The Complainant requested to speak and made a statement. Dr. Earle addressed the Complainant's allegation pertaining to the case manager and clarified additional information.

The Board deliberated and determined that there was no statute violation in this matter.

Dr. Mellott left the meeting at 11:24 a.m.

Mr. Robichaud made a motion, seconded by Mr. Donaldson, to dismiss RFI 15-05 pertaining to Marcus Earle, Ph.D. The motion carried 7-0.

9. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION CONCERNING REQUEST BY STEVEN G. FOX, PH.D. TO MODIFY THE TERM, DURATION, LENGTH AND/OR SCOPE OF SUPERVISION/MONITORING PURSUANT TO THE BOARD ORDER REGARDING RFI 14-06

Ms. Chapman provided a summary to the Board stating that Dr. Fox is currently under a Board Order for supervision and practice monitoring. The Board Order states that Dr. Fox may petition to appear before the Board with his Supervisor/Practice Monitor after six months to request modification of the terms of the Board Order pertaining to supervision and practice monitoring.

Dr. Fox, his legal counsel, Terrence Cushing, and his Supervisor/Practice Monitor, Dr. Felix Salomon, were present. Dr. Salomon provided a summary to the Board detailing the supervision Dr. Fox received, identified the issues that were addressed, and discussed Dr. Fox's progress. Dr. Salomon stated that he believes that Dr. Fox has met the supervision goals and recommended that supervision be terminated. Dr. Salomon responded to Board members' questions.

Following deliberation, Dr. Brundage made a motion, seconded by Dr. Flowers, to remove the supervision/practice monitoring requirement and allow Dr. Fox to amend the Board Order to terminate probation early. The motion carried 7-0.

Dr. Mellott rejoined the meeting at 1:00 p.m.

10. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION PERTAINING TO LEGISLATIVE UPDATE AND WHETHER THE BOARD WISHES TO DEVELOP LEGISLATION FOR THE 2016 LEGISLATIVE SESSION INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE PSYCHOLOGY INTERJURISDICTIONAL COMPACT (PSYPACT)

Stuart Goodman from Goodman Schwartz Public Affairs was present and provided an update to the Board. Mr. Goodman discussed possible legislation pertaining to the Psychology Interjurisdictional Compact also known as PSYPACT. After deliberation, Dr. Brundage made a motion, seconded by Mr. Donaldson, to authorize Mr. Goodman to move forward with legislation pertaining to the Compact at the appropriate time. The motion carried 8-0.

Mr. Goodman provided additional information regarding the State's budget as well as possible legislative bills that may be introduced. Board members thanked Mr. Goodman for the update.

11. INFORMATION PROVIDED REGARDING TELEPHONIC ATTENDANCE BY THE PUBLIC AT BOARD AND COMMITTEE MEETINGS

Dr. Olvey provided a summary stating that individuals who are complainants or who are listed on the agenda have been allowed to participate in Board meetings by telephone. However, an increasing number of individuals who are not complaints or named on the agenda have been requesting to join meetings by phone. Dr. Olvey clarified that the Open Meeting Law requires a meeting location for the public to attend the Board's meetings; however, the law does not require that agencies provide telephone access. Dr. Olvey addressed cost concerns and stated that only individuals who are named on the agenda or complainants may join the meeting telephonically, but only if phone access is available.

12. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION RELATING TO DR. ANTHONY LUICK'S PETITION FOR REHEARING FILED IN CASE NO. 13F-1228-SYA

Dr. Wechsler recused from this matter and exited the meeting room. Mr. Robichaud chaired this item and provided information to the Board regarding the requirements to grant a rehearing pursuant to Arizona Administrative Code (A.A.C.) R4-26-308. Assistant Attorney General, Christopher Munns, was present representing the Board and Assistant Attorney General, Elizabeth Campbell, was present representing the State.

Dr. Luick was present telephonically and addressed the Board. Dr. Luick elaborated on the difference between a dual relationship and boundary crossing. Ms. Campbell objected stating that this is the time for the Board to consider whether or not a rehearing is warranted pursuant to A.A.C. R4-26-308. Dr. Luick stated that he is unaware of the requirements of A.A.C. R4-26-308. Mr. Robichaud articulated the requirements of A.A.C. R4-26-308 to Dr. Luick for consideration. Dr. Luick continued to elaborate on the complaint, boundary crossing and ethics. Dr. Luick did not identify a requirement of R4-26-308 to warrant his request for a rehearing.

Ms. Campbell addressed the Board to which Dr. Luick objected, stating that his due process has been violated. Ms. Campbell stated that the Board is not required to allow a party to speak indefinitely in a request for rehearing. Ms. Campbell stated that Dr. Luick did not identify one of the grounds for rehearing pursuant to A.A.C. R4-26-308 and recommended that the Board deny Dr. Luick's request.

Mr. Robichaud stated that the Board is to determine whether Dr. Luick's request for a rehearing is warranted. Mr. Robichaud made a motion, seconded by Dr. Flowers, to deny Dr. Luick's request for a rehearing and reaffirm the Board's Order for a Decree of Censure. The motion carried 7-0-1 with Dr. Wechsler recused.

13. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION RELATING TO INITIAL CASE REVIEWS OF THE FOLLOWING INVESTIGATIONS

RFI 15-29 - Thomas Fulks, Psy.D.

Dr. Wechsler recused from this matter and exited the meeting room. Dr. Brundage provided a summary to the Board stating that Dr. Fulks' employer reported to the Board that Dr. Fulks' engaged in inappropriate behavior and was disciplined by the employer. Dr. Brundage stated that Dr. Fulks' employer has acted appropriately in this matter. The Complaint Screening Committee forwarded this matter to the full Board for possible violation of A.R.S. §32-2061(15)(dd) for possibly violating an ethical standard adopted by the Board, as it pertains to the 2002 American Psychological Association Ethical Principles and Code of Conduct, Ethical Standard 3.02, Sexual Harassment.

Dr. Fulks and his supervisor, John St. Clair, Ph.D., were present, requested to speak, made statements and answered Board members' questions.

The Board deliberated and determined that this matter does not fall under sexual harassment. Following deliberation, Dr. Mellott made a motion, seconded by Dr. Brundage to dismiss this matter as there are no statute violations. The motion carried 7-0-1 with Dr. Wechsler recused.

14. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING APPLICATION FOR LICENSE AS A PSYCHOLOGIST AND POSSIBLE DENIAL OF THE SAME

Holly Cunningham, Psy.D.

Dr. Wechsler provided a summary to the Board stating that the Application Review Committee forwarded Dr. Cunningham's application to the full Board with a recommendation of denial as her supervised work experiences do not meet statutory requirements. Following deliberation, Dr. Brundage made a motion, seconded by Dr. Beljan, to deny Dr. Cunningham's application for licensure with the option for her to withdraw within seven business days in lieu of denial as her preinternship experiences at the Phoenix Clinic – San Bernardino County and Temecula Mental Health and Youth and Family Resource Center – Central do not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(E)(4)(b), her internship experience at Providence Community Services does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(F)(6) and her postdoctoral experience at CPES does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(G)(5). The motion carried 8-0.

Iva Houston, Ph.D.

Dr. Wechsler provided a summary to the Board stating that the Application Review Committee forwarded Dr. Houston's application to the full Board with a recommendation of denial as her supervised work experiences do not meet statutory requirements. Following deliberation, Ms. Shreeve made a motion, seconded by Dr. Brundage, to deny Dr. Houston's application for licensure with the option for her to withdraw within seven days in lieu of denial as her preinternship experience at the Isis Crisis Center does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(E)(c), her internship experience at Bayview Hospital From November 2010 to November 2011 does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(F) and her internship experiences were not completed within 24 consecutive months which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(F)(10). The motion carried 8-0.

Shiva Sobhanian, Psy.D.

Dr. Wechsler provided a summary to the Board stating that the Application Review Committee forwarded Dr. Sobhanian's application to the full Board for further review as her degree in clinical forensic psychology may not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(A). Additionally, he stated that the Application Review Committee has concerns regarding her internship experience.

Dr. Sobhanian and her legal counsel, Faren Akins, were present, requested to speak, made statements and answered Board members questions. Dr. Sobhanian stated that her degree was clinical in nature but that the electives were forensic in nature.

After deliberation, the Board determined that Dr. Sobhanian's internship meets statutory requirement and asked Dr. Sobhanian to provide additional information pertaining to her degree in clinical forensic psychology to include:

- Information submitted directly to the Board office from the Dean of the California School of Forensic Studies, Eric Hickey, Ph.D., regarding how her coursework meets the statutory requirements and how they pertain to health care delivery
- Submit the relevant pages of the course catalog that pertains to her degree and courses taken
- Submit the syllabi for courses taken

Mr. Robichaud made a motion, seconded by Dr. Brundage, to table this item to allow Dr. Sobhanian to provide the additional information requested by the Board. The motion carried 8-0.

15. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION CONCERNING PROPOSED CONSENT AGREEMENT FOR SANDRA BRIM, PH.D. (RFI 14-33)

Dr. Brundage, Mr. Donaldson and Dr. Mellott recused from this matter and exited the meeting room. Ms. Chapman provided a summary to the Board stating that the Board voted to forward this matter to an Informal Interview. Subsequently, the Board office received a proposed Consent Agreement from Dr. Brim's legal counsel, Faren Akins, in lieu of an Informal Interview. At its October 7, 2015, meeting, the Board reviewed the proposed Consent Agreement and expressed concerns. The Board voted to allow Mr. Akins, and the Board's Assistant Attorney General to renegotiate the terms of the proposed Consent Agreement. The renegotiated Consent Agreement is before the Board for review. Ms. Chapman identified issues in the proposed Consent Agreement for which guidance is requested from the Board.

Dr. Brim was present telephonically and Mr. Akins was present. Mr. Akins stated that the proposed Consent Agreement was drafted to address the Board's prior concerns in this matter. Board members reviewed the proposed Consent Agreement and discussed frequency of meetings between Dr. Brim and the Practice Monitor. If the Practice Monitor was located out of the Flagstaff area, Board members discussed in-person meetings every other week with meetings via real-time video conferencing on alternate weeks. However, if the Practice Monitor is located within a 50 mile radius, as requested by Dr. Brim, in-person meetings would occur every week. Board members proposed that, in addition to the 20 hours of continuing education Dr. Brim has already completed, she complete an additional 6 hours of continuing education in appropriate boundaries. Board members reviewed the self-awareness protocol in the proposed Consent Agreement and determined to retain this provision, but also include self-awareness as an issue to be addressed by the Practice Monitor with progress in this area to be addressed within the Practice Monitor's quarterly reports to the Board. Board members stated that a re-evaluation of fitness for duty is required before Dr. Brim is released from the terms of the Consent Agreement. Mr. Akins asked the Board if, following six months meeting with the Practice Monitor, Dr. Brim and her Practice Monitor may request modification of terms related to the frequency of Practice Monitoring rather than eight months as previously specified by the Board. The Board responded that the Board's Assistant Attorney General and Mr. Akins may discuss and negotiate this term on behalf of the Board.

16. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION CONCERNING PROPOSED CONSENT AGREEMENT FOR LESLIE DONNELLY ED.D. (RFI 15-15)

Ms. Chapman provided a summary to the Board stating that the Board voted to forward this matter to an Informal Interview. Subsequently, the Board office received a proposed Consent Agreement from Dr. Donnelly's legal counsel, Faren Akins, in lieu of an Informal Interview. At its October 7, 2015, meeting, the Board reviewed the proposed Consent Agreement and expressed some concerns. The Board voted to allow Mr. Akins, and the Board's Assistant Attorney General to renegotiate the terms of the proposed Consent Agreement. A revised Consent Agreement is before the Board for review.

Dr. Donnelly was present telephonically and Mr. Akins was present. Mr. Akins stated that the proposed Consent Agreement was drafted to address the Board's prior concerns in this matter. Board members reviewed the proposed Consent Agreement. After deliberation, Dr. Brundage made a motion, seconded by Ms. Shreeve, to accept the proposed Consent Agreement. The motion carried 8-0.

17. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING APPLICATION FOR LICENSURE AS A PSYCHOLOGIST

Dr. Wechsler recused from this agenda item and exited the meeting room. Mr. Robichaud chaired this agenda item.

C. Daniel Spezzacatena, Psy.D.

Dr. Flowers provided a summary to the Board stating that the Application Review Committee forwarded this application to the full Board due to lack of a quorum. Board members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Spezzacatena's application. Upon review, the Board noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. Ms. Shreeve made a motion, seconded by Dr. Beljan, to approve Dr. Spezzacatena to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee. The motion carried 7-0-1 with Dr. Wechsler recused.

M. David McGady, Jr., Psy.D.

Dr. Flowers provided a summary to the Board stating that the Application Review Committee forwarded this application to the full Board due to lack of a quorum. Upon review of his Supervised Preinternship Experience Verification form from Desert Heights Academy and subsequent supervision logs, the Board determined that he obtained 410 preinternship hours that meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(E)(4)(c). Additionally, upon review of his Supervised Preinternship Experience Verification form from Amy Houston Academy and subsequent supervision logs, the Board determined that he obtained 322.5 preinternship hours that meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(E)(4)(c). The Board noted that he completed a 2,052.5 hour internship. At this time Dr. McGady is 215 hours deficient in meeting the 3,000 hour supervised work experience requirement (A.R.S. §32-2071(D)). Dr. Flowers made a motion, seconded by Dr. Beljan, to allow Dr. McGady to sit for the EPPP and submit additional postdoctoral hours to meet the 3,000 hour supervised work experience requirement. The motion carried 7-0-1 with Dr. Wechsler recused.

Tiffany Long, Ph.D.

Dr. Flowers provided a summary to the Board stating that the Application Review Committee forwarded this application to the full Board due to lack of a quorum. Board members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Long's application. Upon review, the Board noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. Dr. Mellott made a motion, seconded by Mr. Donaldson, to approve Dr. Long to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee. The motion carried 7-0-1 with Dr. Wechsler recused.

18. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING RULES UPDATE INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, APPROVAL OF FINALIZE PSYCHOLOGY RULES BY THE GOVERNOR'S REGULATORY REVIEW COUNSEL, IMPLEMENTATION OF SAME, AND DRAFTING OF RULES FOR STATUTORY CHANGES MADE IN 2014

Dr. Mellott provided a summary to the Board stating that the rules have been approved and will become effective January 30, 2016. Dr. Mellott provided information on the new continuing education requirements and information on changes in the renewal cycle. Dr. Mellott stated that the Rules Committee will continue to meet to work on revising rules for both psychologists and behavior analysts.

19. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION RELATING TO INITIAL CASE REVIEWS OF THE FOLLOWING INVESTIGATIONS

RFI T-15-33, Suzi Schor

This item is tabled to a future meeting of the Board.

20. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION CONCERNING APPROVAL OF LETTER OF AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE BOARD AND THE ASSOCIATION OF STATE AND PROVINCIAL PSYCHOLOGY BOARDS REGARDING THE PSYCHOLOGY LICENSURE UNIFORM SYSTEM (PLUS)

This item is tabled to a future meeting of the Board.

21. NEW AGENDA ITEMS FOR FUTURE MEETINGS

There were no items for future meetings.

22. ADJOURN

There being no further business to come before the Board, Dr. Brundage made a motion, seconded by Mr. Robichaud, to adjourn the meeting at 5:02 p.m. The motion carried 8-0.

Respectfully submitted,

Paul Beljan, Psy.D., ABPdN, ABN
Secretary