

Board Members

Bob Bohanske, Ph.D.
Chair
Lynn L. Flowers, Ph.D.
Vice-Chair
Janice K. Brundage, Ph.D.
Secretary
Paul Beljan, Psy.D. ABPdN, ABN
Joseph C. Donaldson
Ramona Mellott, Ph.D.
Rob Robichaud, M.A. CJ, SHRM-CP, PHR.
Tamara Shreeve, MPA
Frederick S. Wechsler, Ph.D., Psy.D., ABPP



**State of Arizona
Board of Psychologist Examiners**

1400 West Washington, Suite 240
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Phone: (602) 542-8162 Fax: (602) 542-8279
<https://psychboard.az.gov>

Application Review Committee

Staff

Dr. Cindy Olvey
Executive Director

Lynanne Chapman
Deputy Director

Heather Broaddus
Licensing Coordinator

Krishna Poe
Administrative Assistant

**MINUTES OF TELEPHONIC MEETING
December 6, 2016
7:30 a.m.**

1400 West Washington
Suite #240
Phoenix, AZ 85007

1. CALL TO ORDER

The regular session of the Arizona State Board of Psychologist Examiners Application Review Committee was called to order by Madam Chair Mellott at 7:32 a.m. on December 6, 2016. No Executive Sessions were held.

2. ROLL CALL

Committee Members Participating by Telephone

Ramona N. Mellott, Ph.D. – Chair
Bob Bohanske, Ph.D.

Staff Present

Dr. Cindy Olvey – Executive Director
Heather Broaddus – Licensing Coordinator

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

- November 1, 2016, Regular Session Minutes

Dr. Bohanske made a motion, seconded by Dr. Mellott, to approve the November 1, 2016, Regular Session Minutes. The motion carried 2-0.

4. DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING APPROVAL OF PSYCHOLOGY APPLICANTS

Requesting Approval to sit for Examination (EPPP) & Licensure

Christina Krieg, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Krieg's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move

Dr. Krieg's application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the prorated license fee.

David Hardley, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Hardley's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Hardley's application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the prorated license fee.

Ernesto Reza, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Reza's reapplication. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Reza's reapplication to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the prorated license fee.

Ester Stevens, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Stevens' application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Stevens' application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the prorated license fee.

Jessica Younger, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Younger's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Younger's application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the prorated license fee.

Josephine Shaar, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Shaar's application. Upon review, it was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Shaar's application to the full Board for further review of her preinternship experiences.

Katherine Sanchez, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Sanchez's application. Upon review of her Supervised Preinternship Experience Verification form from Denver Children's Home the Committee noted that her Faculty Supervisor, Robyn Hess, Ph.D., indicated that Dr. Sanchez received a total of 1,050 supervised hours. Her subsequent calculations indicate that Dr. Sanchez received 84 total hours of supervision which does not meet the requirement of §32-2071(E)(d). The Committee noted that Dr. Sanchez has 2,000 internship hours that can be counted toward licensure. At this time she remains 1,000 hours deficient in meeting the 3,000 hour supervised work experience requirement (A.R.S. §32-2071(D)). She may wish to submit postdoctoral experience to meet the 3,000 hour supervised work experience requirement. Additionally, she may wish to request to sit for the EPPP only while completing her postdoctoral experience or she may wish to apply for temporary licensure while completing her postdoctoral experience.

Kristin Schwartz, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Schwartz's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Schwartz's application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the prorated license fee.

Laurie Cessna-Fisher, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Cessna-Fisher's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were

complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Cessna-Fisher's application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the prorated license fee.

Robert Beridah, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Beridah's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Beridah's application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the prorated license fee.

Sarah Pulaski, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Pulaski's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Pulaski's application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the prorated license fee.

Sonya Willis, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Willis' reapplication. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Willis' reapplication to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the prorated license fee.

William Wood, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Wood's application. Upon review of his Supervised Preinternship Experience Verification form from W. Gary Cannon Psychological Service Center, the Committee noted that his Director of Clinical Training, Raymond Greer, Ph.D., indicated that Dr. Wood received a total of 575 supervised hours. His subsequent calculations indicate that Dr. Wood received 26 total hours of individual supervision which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(4)(c). At this time Dr. Wood's remains 2.75 hours deficient in meeting the individual supervision requirement. Upon review of his Supervised Preinternship Experience Verification form from Kings Canyon Juvenile Center, the Committee noted that his Director of Clinical Training, Raymond Greer, Ph.D., indicated that Dr. Wood received a total of 554 supervised hours. His subsequent calculations indicate that Dr. Wood received 24 total hours of individual supervision which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(4)(c). At this time Dr. Wood remains 3.7 hours deficient in meeting the individual supervision requirement.

Dr. Mellott made a motion, seconded by Dr. Bohanske, to forward the applications of Christina Krieg, Ph.D., David Hardley, Psy.D., Ernesto Reza, Psy.D., Ester Stevens, Psy.D., Jessica Younger, Psy.D., Kristin Schwartz, Psy.D., Laurie Cessna-Fisher, Psy.D., Robert Beridah, Ph.D., Sarah Pulaski, Psy.D. and Sonya Willis, Psy.D., to the full Board for review and approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the prorated license fee, to issue additional information request letters to Katherine Sanchez, Ph.D. and William Wood, Ph.D. regarding the deficiencies discussed in their applications and to move the application of Josephine Shaar, Ph.D. to the full Board for further review of her preinternship experiences. The motion carried 2-0.

Requesting Approval of Licensure by Waiver

Jina Yoon, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Yoon's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Yoon's application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Spencer Beck, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Beck’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Beck’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Stephanie Straeter, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Straeter’s application. Upon review of her Supervised Psychology Internship or Training Experience Verification form from Home Start Inc., the Committee noted that her Supervisor, Michael Wrobel, Psy.D., indicated that Dr. Straeter worked 22 hours each week from June 4, 2001 – March 2004. Pursuant to A.R.S. §32-2071(F)(10) the Committee noted that it cannot accept all of her internship hours as they were not completed within 24 consecutive months. The Committee is requesting that she submit her internship experience hours that were completed within 24 consecutive months, or her first 1,500 internship hours. The Committee requested that her supervisor complete a new verification form and submit it to the Board office.

Tod Roy, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Roy’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Roy’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Yolanda Hobbs, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Hobb’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the educational institution, The Professional School of Psychological Studies, in which she received her doctorate degree was not regionally accredited at the time of her graduation which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(A). It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Hobb’s application to the full Board with a recommendation to deny her application as her educational institution was not regionally accredited.

Dr. Mellott made a motion, seconded by Dr. Bohanske, to forward the applications of Jina Yoon, Ph.D., Spencer Beck, Psy.D., and Tod Roy, Ph.D., to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon payment of the pro-rated licensure fee, to issue an additional information request letter to Stephanie Straeter, Ph.D., regarding the deficiencies discussed in her application and to move the application of Yolanda Hobbs, Ph.D. to the full Board with a recommendation of denial. The motion carried 2-0.

Requesting Approval of Licensure by Credential

Mark Hovee, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Hovee’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Hovee’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Rebecca Loftis, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Loftis’ application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Loftis’ application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Dr. Bohanske made a motion, seconded by Dr. Mellott, to forward the applications of Rebecca Loftis, Psy.D., and Mark Hovee, Psy.D., to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon payment of the prorated license fee. The motion carried 2-0.

Requesting Approval of Supervised Work Experience

Angela Barteau, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Barteau’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Barteau’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon a passing score and receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Panagiotis Panagakis, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Panagakis’ application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Panagakis’ application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon a passing score and receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Dr. Bohanske made a motion, seconded by Dr. Mellott, to forward the application of Angela Barteau, Ph.D., and Panagiotis Panagakis, Psy.D., to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon payment of the pro-rated licensure fee. The motion carried 2-0.

5. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD PERTAINING TO APPROVAL OF TEMPORARY LICENSE APPLICANTS AND APPROVAL OF POSTDOCTORAL WRITTEN TRAINING PLAN

Requesting Approval for Temporary Licensure & EPPP

Francia Day, Psy.D. – Dr. Bohanske recused from reviewing Dr. Day’s application. Due to lack of a quorum, Dr. Day’s application is forwarded to the Board for review.

Jessica Sneed, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Sneed’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Sneed’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee and for approval to sit for the EPPP.

Parisa Shoja, Psy.D. – Dr. Bohanske recused from reviewing Dr. Shoja’s application. Due to lack of a quorum, Dr. Shoja’s application is forwarded to the Board for review.

Rielly Boyd, Ph.D. – Drs. Mellott and Bohanske recused from reviewing Dr. Boyd’s application. Due to lack of a quorum, Dr. Boyd’s application is forwarded to the Board for review.

Dr. Mellott made a motion, seconded by Dr. Bohanske, to forward the application of Jessica Sneed, Ph.D., to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon payment of the pro-rated licensure fee and for approval to sit for the EPPP. Due to lack of a quorum, the applications of Francia Day, Psy.D., Parisa Shoja, Psy.D., and Rielly Boyd, Ph.D. are forwarded to the Board for review. The motion carried 2-0.

6. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD PERTAINING TO APPROVAL OF BEHAVIOR ANALYST APPLICANTS

Requesting Approval of Licensure by Experience

Amber Reckner, M.Ed. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Reckner’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Ms. Reckner’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Destini Kulik, M.A. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Kulik’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Ms. Kulik’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Kandra Wiseman, M.A. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Wiseman’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Ms. Wiseman’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Karen Peterson, M.A. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Peterson’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Ms. Peterson’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Luis Morales, M.Ed. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Mr. Morales’ application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Mr. Morales’ application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Megan Tolway, M.Ed. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Tolway’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Ms. Tolway’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Stephanie Shaffer, M.S. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Shaffer’s application and subsequent submission. Upon review, it was the consensus of the Committee to move Ms. Shaffer’s application to the full Board for further review of her supervised work experience.

Tera Deal, M.Ed. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Deal’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Ms. Deal’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Dr. Bohankse made a motion, seconded by Dr. Mellott, to forward the applications of Amber Reckner, M.Ed., Destini Kulik, M.A., Kandra Wiseman, M.A., Karen Peterson, M.A., Luis Morales, M.Ed., Megan Tolway, M.Ed., and Tera Deal, M.Ed., to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt

of the pro-rated licensure fee and to move the application of Stephanie Shaffer, M.S., to the full Board for further review of her supervised work experience. The motion carried 2-0.

7. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING WHEN A NEW/CURRENT PICTURE IS REQUIRED OF AN APPLICANT WHO SUBMITS A REAPPLICATION AND POSSIBLE RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD

Ms. Broaddus provided a summary stating that the Board office has received multiple inquiries from individuals submitting a reapplication as to whether a new picture is required. Ms. Broaddus elaborated stating that a new picture of the applicant has been required for every reapplication and that the timeframe for individuals submitting a reapplication can be anywhere from six months to two years depending on how quickly they sit for the EPPP and whether extensions to sit for the EPPP have been granted. Additionally, there is no statute or rule that indicates a new picture is required with a reapplication. The Committee deliberated and determined that a picture is required with every reapplication regardless of the timeframe in which the reapplication is submitted.

8. NEW AGENDA ITEMS FOR FUTURE MEETINGS

There were no items for future meetings.

9. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Committee, Dr. Bohanske made motion, seconded by Dr. Mellott, to adjourn the meeting at 8:38 a.m. The motion carried 2-0.

Ramona N. Mellott, Ph.D.
Application Review Committee Chair