



Arizona Board of Psychologist Examiners

1740 W. Adams St., Suite 3403
Phoenix, Arizona 85007
Phone (602) 542-8163 Fax (602) 542-8279
<https://psychboard.az.gov>

**Governor
Douglas A. Ducey**

Board Members

Janice K. Brundage, Ph.D, Chair
Tamara Shreeve, MPA., Vice-Chair
Lynn L. Flowers, Ph.D. Secretary
Bob Bohanske, Ph.D., FNAP
Bryan Davey, Ph.D., BCBA-D
Diana Davis-Wilson, DBH, BCBA
Joseph Donaldson
Ramona N. Mellott, Ph.D.
Frederick S. Wechsler, Ph.D., Psy.D.

Executive Director
Jenna Jones

REGULAR SESSION MINUTES

December 8, 2017
8:30 a.m.
Executive Tower
1700 W. Washington St.
Grand Canyon Room (Basement)
Phoenix, AZ 85007

1. CALL TO ORDER

The regular session of the Arizona State Board of Psychologist Examiners was called to order by Chairman Bohanske at 8:30 a.m. on December 8, 2017.

2. ROLL CALL

Board Members Present

Bob Bohanske, Ph.D., – Chair
Lynn Flowers, Ph.D. – Vice Chair
Janice K. Brundage, Ph.D. – Secretary
Bryan Davey, Ph.D., BCBA-D
Diana Davis-Wilson, DBH, BCBA
Joseph C. Donaldson
Ramona N. Mellott, Ph.D.
Tamara Shreeve, MPA
Frederick S. Wechsler, Ph.D., Psy.D., ABPP (CL)

Board Staff

Dr. Cindy Olvey, Executive Director
Heather Broaddus, Deputy Director
Krishna Poe, Interim Licensing Coordinator

Attorney General's Office

Jeanne Galvin, Esq.

3. REMARKS/ANNOUNCEMENTS

CE Documentation – Dr. Bohanske announced that licensees could receive CE credits in Ethics for attendance at Board meetings and explained how to obtain credit.

Board Assessment Forms – Dr. Bohanske encouraged members of the audience to complete a Board meeting Assessment Survey and place them in the survey box.

Dr. Bohanske introduced Bryan Davey, Ph.D., BCBA-D and Diana Davis-Wilson, DBH, BCBA, who were recently appointed to the Board as Behavior Analysts members by Governor Ducey. Dr. Bohanske also announced that Governor Ducey reappointed Joseph Donaldson as a public member. Dr. Bohanske reviewed accomplishments of the Board for 2017.

Board Member and Staff Appreciation –Dr. Bohanske thanked Board members and staff for their dedication and hard work.

4. CALL TO THE PUBLIC

There were no requests to speak.

5. COUNSEL REPORT

An anonymous complaint, No. 16-15, was submitted to the Board office regarding Steven Gray, Ed.D. Dr. Gray filed a special action with the Superior Court challenging the Board’s authority to accept anonymous complaints. The Board was successful at the Superior Court. Dr. Gray filed an appeal and his opening brief is due at the end of December and the Board will respond accordingly. Ms. Galvin will keep the Board apprised of this matter.

A claim was filed with the Board pertaining to John DenBoer, Ph.D. The claim moved forward to a complaint. Dr. DenBoer’s attorney, Larry Cohen, filed a Complaint for Declaratory Judgment; Injunctive Relief with the Maricopa County Superior Court to obtain a ruling on the question of the Board’s jurisdiction. Board action on RFI 16-22 is stayed pending complete and final court action in the court case. Ms. Galvin stated that dispositive motions were filed and responses will be filed. Mr. Cohen has asked for a conference with the Judge before the responses are filed.

6. CONSENT AGENDA - DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION

Drs. Brundage, Davey, Davis-Wilson, Mellott and Mr. Donaldson abstained from voting on the July 3, 2017, regular session minutes. Drs. Davey, Davis-Wilson, Mellott and Wechsler abstained from voting on the September 8, 2017, regular session minutes. Dr. Bohanske recused from voting on Consent Agenda Item 6g. Ms. Shreeve made a motion, seconded by Dr. Flowers to approve the items on the Consent Agenda. The motion carried 9-0, with Dr. Bohanske recused on Item 6g.

(a) APPROVAL OF MINTUES

- July 3, 2017, Regular Session (Mr. Donaldson, Drs. Brundage, Davey and Davis-Wilson and Mellott abstained; Rule of Impossibility invoked)
- September 3, 2017, Regular Session (Drs. Davey, Davis-Wilson, Mellott and Wechsler abstained)

(b) EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS REPORT

(c) INVESTIGATIONS REPORT

(d) LICENSING REPORT

(e) DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING PSYCHOLOGY APPLICATIONS

i. REQUESTING APPROVAL TO SIT FOR THE EPPP ONLY

- Nicole Burt, Ph.D.

ii. REQUESTING APPROVAL TO SIT FOR THE EPPP AND LICENSURE

- Chad Mosher, Ph.D.
- Karie Gaska, Ph.D.

- Kelsey Walsh, Ph.D.
- Robert Beattey, Ph.D.
- Sonya Willis, Psy.D.

iii. REQUESTING APPROVAL OF LICENSURE BY WAIVER

- Linda Pettit, Ed.D.

iv. REQUESTING APPROVAL FOR LICENSURE BY CREDENTIAL

- Kristen Harrell, Psy.D.

(f) DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING BEHAVIOR ANALYST APPLICATIONS

- Amada Tro, M.S.
- Andrew Wade, M.A.
- Charlotte Katheder, M.S.
- Chasity Sezate, M.S.
- Christina Ricciardelli, M.A.
- Eloisa Arandia, M.S.
- Kimberli Santa Maria, M.A.
- Marah DiCapua, M.A.T.
- Valeria Armendariz, M.S.

(g) DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO SIT FOR THE EPPP FROM RIELLY BOYD, PH.D.

(h) DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO SIT FOR THE EPPP FROM ERNESTO REZA, PSY.D.

(i) DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING REQUEST FOR REACTIVATION OF INACTIVE LICENSE FROM PATRICK QUIGLEY, PH.D.

(j) DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING REQUEST FOR REINSTATEMENT OF EXPIRED LICENSE FROM JENNY KIM, M.ED.

7. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERTATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION RELATING TO INITIAL CASE REVIEW OF THE FOLLOWING INVESTIGATIONS

Luz Mogrovejo, Ph.D., Complaint No. 17-16

Dr. Flowers recused from reviewing this agenda item and exited the meeting room. Dr. Brundage provided a summary to the Board stating that this complaint was reviewed at the Complaint Screening Committee meeting and was forwarded to the Board for further review. It is alleged that Dr. Mogrovejo utilized inappropriate tests, her report was inaccurate and misdiagnosed the Complainant's Son. It was further alleged that Dr. Mogrovejo's inaccurate report had negative effects on the Son's treatment plan.

Dr. Mogrovejo and her legal counsel, J. Arthur Eaves, were present, requested to speak, made statements and answered Board members' questions. Dr. Mogrovejo discussed the appropriateness of the tests she administered, modifications to those tests, inaccuracies in the reports as well as the implications of the inaccuracies. Board members' and Dr. Mogrovejo discussed her decision to recommend extensive outpatient treatment for Son. The effect on test validity when tests are modified was also discussed.

The Complainant, M.M., was present, requested to speak, made a statement and answered Board member questions. M.M. provided a summary of the timeline of the evaluation of Son. M.M. stated that Dr. Mogrovejo had predetermined Son's treatment plan prior to conducting the evaluation.

The Board deliberated and expressed concerns with Dr. Mogrovejo's report, modifications of the tests Dr. Mogrovejo administered, inaccuracies in the report, and that she did not consult in this case. After deliberation, Dr. Brundage made a motion, seconded by Dr. Wechsler, to move Complaint No. 17-16 to an Informal Interview for possible violations of A.R.S. §32-2061(16)(o) for possibly providing services that are unnecessary or unsafe or otherwise engaging in activities as a psychologist that are unprofessional by current standards of practice; A.R.S. §32-2061(16)(dd) for possibly violating an ethical standard adopted by the Board as it pertains to the American Psychological Association Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct 9.01, Basis for Assessment and 9.02, Use of Assessments. The motion carried 9-0.

8. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING SECOND REQUEST FOR CONTINUANCE FROM LAUREN DAWSON, PH.D. FOR COMPLAINT NO. 16-52, AND FIRST REQUEST FOR CONTINUANCE FOR COMPLAINTS NO. 17-11 AND 17-15

Ms. Broaddus provided a summary stating that Complaint Numbers 16-52, 17-11 and 17-15 are on the Board's agenda for an initial review. The Board office received a request from Dr. Dawson's legal counsel, J. Arthur Eaves, requesting a continuance to a future Board meeting. All three complaints have similar allegations and it would benefit the Board to hear all three complaints at the same time. Because Board staff does not have the authority to grant a second continuance of Complaint No. 16-52, the request is before the Board for review.

Dr. Dawson's legal counsel, J. Arthur Eaves, was present, requested to speak and made a statement. Mr. Eaves stated that Dr. Dawson does not reside in Arizona at this time and was unable to be present at today's meeting. Mr. Eaves stated that it would benefit the Board to have Dr. Dawson present when the Complaints are reviewed. He requested that the Complaints be continued to a future Board meeting. Board members expressed concern with the timeliness of the Complaints. After deliberation, Ms. Shreeve made a motion, seconded by Dr. Brundage, to deny the continuance requests.

9. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION RELATING TO INITIAL CASE REVIEWS OF THE FOLLOWING INVESTIGATIONS

Lauren Dawson, Ph.D., Complaint Numbers 16-52, 17-11 and 17-15

Board members discussed reviewing the Complaints in a timely manner and Dr. Dawson's absence. Dr. Dawson's legal counsel, J. Arthur Eaves, was present and made a statement to the Board. Mr. Eaves stated that the Board, if it chooses, can move the Complaints to an Informal Interview as he will waive Dr. Dawson's right to the Board's initial review. Mr. Eaves indicated that all the Complaints can be reviewed at an Informal Interview and he will assure Dr. Dawson's presence.

After deliberation, Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Ms. Shreeve, to move Complaint No.'s 16-52, 17-11 and 17-15 to an Informal Interview for possible violations of A.R.S. §32-2061(16)(e) for possible gross negligence in the practice of a psychologist; A.R.S. §32-2061(o) for possibly providing services that are unnecessary or unsafe or otherwise engaging in activities as a psychologist that are unprofessional by current standards of practice; and A.R.S. §32-2061(16)(dd) for possibly violating an ethical code adopted by the Board as it pertains to the American Psychological Association Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct 9.01, Basis for Assessment; 9.02, Use of Assessments; and 9.06, Interpreting Assessment Results. The motion carried 9-0.

10. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING APPLICATION FOR LICENSURE AS A PSYCHOLOGIST SUBMITTED BY JON NOORDELOOS, PH.D. AND POSSIBLE DENIAL OF THE SAME

Dr. Wechsler provided a summary to the Board stating that the Application Review Committee forwarded this application to the Board for further review of his internship and possible denial of his application. Dr. Wechsler explained that Dr. Noordeloos' internship did not provide two psychologists as supervisors which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(F). After deliberation, Dr. Mellott made a motion, seconded by Dr. Wechsler, to allow Dr. Noordeloos to withdraw his application within 14 days. If Dr. Noordeloos does not withdraw his application it will be denied. The motion carried 9-0 on a roll call vote.

11. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING A REQUEST FOR MEDICAL INACTIVE STATUS FROM HEATHER BRISTER, PH.D.

Dr. Olvey provided a summary to the Board stating that on November 21, 2017, the Board office received a phone call from Dr. Brister disclosing that she was being treated for a medical condition. Subsequently, the Board office received Dr. Brister's 2017 psychologist renewal application requesting medical inactive status.

Dr. Brister was present, requested to speak and made a statement to the Board. After deliberation, Dr. Brundage made a motion, seconded by Dr. Wechsler, to grant Dr. Brister's medical inactive status. The motion carried 9-0. It was the consensus of the Board that the Board's Chair, Executive Director and Assistant Attorney General would recommend conditions for reactivation.

12. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING PROPOSED CONSENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE BOARD AND DEBRA GOLDFINE, PH.D. AND DR. GOLDFINE'S REQUEST FOR CORRECTIONS AND CHANGES

Ms. Broaddus provided a summary stating that on October 6, 2017, the Board offered Dr. Goldfine a Consent Agreement and Order for Probation, Supervision, Continuing Education and Rehabilitation as a stipulation for licensure as a psychologist. On November 22, 2017, the Board office received correspondence from Dr. Goldfine requesting corrections and changes to the Consent Agreement and Order for Probation, Supervision, Continuing Education and Rehabilitation.

Dr. Goldfine was present, made a statement and answered Board members' questions. Board members and Dr. Goldfine discussed her whether she intends to see clients once licensed and discussed supervision of students. Board members provided Dr. Goldfine with clarification on the stipulations of the Consent Agreement. Additionally, Board members provided Dr. Goldfine guidance on the required ethics course.

13. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION RELATING TO PROPOSED LEGISLATION TO ADD ACCREDITATION BY THE PSYCHOLOGICAL CLINICAL SCIENCE ACCREDITATION SYSTEM (PCSAS) FOR PSYCHOLOGY LICENSURE

Dr. Olvey provided a summary stating that Arizona State University (ASU) and the University of Arizona are considering legislation that would include PCSAS as an accreditation organization accepted by the Board as meeting education requirements for licensure. Professor and Director of Clinical Training at ASU's Department of Psychology, William Corbin, was present and made a presentation to the Board regarding PCSAS. Dr. Corbin stated that there are 35 doctoral programs accredited by PCSAS. He indicated that the Veterans Administration accepts PCSAS accredited programs for internship. The intent of the program is to train students to be clinical scientists. Board members stated that it is encouraging that there is an accrediting agency for research scientists. Board members expressed concerns including that, once PCSAS accredited programs eliminate accreditation by the American Psychology Association, those programs may not meet licensing requirements that prepare student to provide psychological services.

Additionally, Board members expressed concern that students may have difficulty finding internships that meet statutory requirements.

14. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING ACTIVE LICENSE RENEWAL RECEIVED FROM BETH A. HICKS, PSY.D.

Ms. Poe provided a summary to the Board stating that on Dr. Hicks reported two misdemeanors, one from 2005 and one from 2017, on her November 2017, license renewal application. Dr. Hicks did not report the misdemeanor from 2005 on her June 2015 application for licensure as a psychologist. In addition, she did not report either misdemeanor on her April 2017, psychologist license renewal.

Dr. Hicks was present, requested to speak and made a statement to the Board. Dr. Hicks discussed the two misdemeanors and stated that it was not her intent to mislead the Board by not answering the questions on the applications correctly. Following deliberation, Dr. Bohanske made a motion, seconded by Dr. Brundage, to approve Dr. Hicks' November 2017, psychologist license renewal. The motion carried 9-0.

15. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING APPLICATION FOR LICENSURE AS A PSYCHOLOGIST SUBMITTED BY BETHANY BATES, PSY.D.

Dr. Wechsler recused from reviewing this agenda item. Dr. Bohanske provided a summary stating that, due to lack of a quorum, the Application Review Committee forwarded Dr. Bates' application to the Board for substantive review. The Board proceeded with a substantive review and determined that the materials submitted meet the requirements of statute and rule. Dr. Bohanske made a motion, seconded by Mr. Donaldson, to approve Dr. Bates' licensure application as a psychologist. The motion carried 8-0-1 with Dr. Wechsler recused.

16. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING APPLICATION FOR LICENSURE AS A PSYCHOLOGIST SUBMITTED BY MAYLIN GRIFFITHS, PH.D.

Dr. Wechsler recused from reviewing this agenda item. Dr. Bohanske provided a summary stating that, due to lack of a quorum, the Application Review Committee forwarded Dr. Griffiths' application for licensure as a psychologist to the Board for substantive review. The Board proceeded with a substantive review and determined that the materials submitted meet the requirements of statute and rule. Dr. Bohanske made a motion, seconded by Ms. Shreeve, to approve Dr. Griffiths' application. The motion carried 8-0-1 with Dr. Wechsler recused.

17. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING APPLICATION FOR LICENSURE AS A PSYCHOLOGIST SUBMITTED BY RACHEL SCHWARTZ, PSY.D.

Dr. Wechsler recused from reviewing this agenda item. Dr. Bohanske provided a summary stating that, due to lack of a quorum, the Application Review Committee forwarded Dr. Schwartz's application to the Board for substantive review. The Board proceeded with a substantive review. The Board noted that Dr. Schwartz applied previously and her application was denied. The Board noted that there was extensive legal and medical history with her prior application. It was the consensus of the Board to table her application to a future meeting, to request her presence at the meeting and request that she provide current letters from her healthcare providers. Additionally, the Board directed Board staff to request a more recent reference for Dr. Schwartz's application file.

18. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING APPLICATION FOR LICENSURE AS A PSYCHOLOGIST SUBMITTED BY PARISA SHOJA, PSY.D.

Dr. Bohanske recused from reviewing this item and Dr. Flowers chaired. Dr. Wechsler provided a summary stating that, due to lack of a quorum at the Application Review Committee Meeting, Dr. Shoja's application for licensure as a psychologist was forwarded to the Board for substantive review. The Board proceeded with a substantive review and determined that the materials submitted meet the requirements of statute and rule. Dr. Mellott made a motion, seconded by Ms. Shreeve to approve Dr. Shoja's application. The motion carried 8-0-1 with Dr. Bohanske recused.

19. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING APPLICATION FOR TEMPORARY LICENSE AS A PSYCHOLOGIST, REQUEST FOR APPROVAL TO SIT FOR THE EPPP, AND APPROVAL OF POSTDOCTORAL WRITTEN TRAINING PLAN SUBMITTED BY CENTINA HERNANDEZ, PSY.D.

Dr. Bohanske recused from reviewing this item and Dr. Flowers chaired. The Board proceeded with a substantive review and determined that the materials submitted meet the requirements of statute and rule. Dr. Brundage made a motion, seconded by Ms. Shreeve to approve Dr. Hernandez's application. The motion carried 8-0-1 with Dr. Bohanske recused.

20. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING APPLICATION FOR LICENSURE AS A PSYCHOLOGIST SUBMITTED BY KEITH REINHARDT, PSY.D.

The Board proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Reinhardt's application. Upon review, the Board determined that the materials submitted meet the requirements of statute and rule. Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Bohanske, to approve Dr. Reinhardt's application. The motion carried 9-0.

21. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING DIRECT CONTACT HOURS REQUIREMENT FOR SUPERVISED POSTDOCTORAL EXPERIENCE

Dr. Olvey provided a summary stating that the Application Review Committee requested that this matter be forwarded to the Board. Dr. Olvey stated that A.R.S. §32-2071(G)(5) includes the following:

“ . . .at least forty per cent of the supervisee's time shall be in direct contact with clients or patients.”

Dr. Olvey stated that the Application Review Committee would like the Board to clarify the interpretation of direct contact hour requirements for supervised postdoctoral experience pursuant to A.R.S. §32-2071(G)(5). For example, if an applicant accrues 1,500 hours of postdoctoral experience, 600 hours of direct client contact must be completed to reach the 40 percent requirement. Some applicants may exceed the number of required postdoctoral hours that may be applied for licensure (1,500) and have accrued over 600 hours of direct client contact; however, the 600 hours of direct client contact may be less than 40 percent of the total number of postdoctoral hours. In that instance, the Committee requests clarification of whether those applicants meet the statutory requirement. Following deliberation, the Board determined that an applicant with more than the required postdoctoral hours meets statutory requirements if the total number of direct client contact hours are at least 40 percent of the number of hours to be used by the applicant for licensure. The Board directed Board staff to draft a substantive policy statement to be reviewed and approved at a future Board meeting.

22. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING APPLICATION FOR LICENSURE AS A PSYCHOLOGIST SUBMITTED BY KRISTEN BECKER, PSY.D.

Dr. Wechsler provided a summary to the Board stating that the Application Review Committee forwarded Dr. Becker's application to the Board for further review of her postdoctoral hours. The Board proceeded with a substantive review of her application and determined that the materials submitted meet the requirement of statute and rule. Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Bohanske, to approve Dr. Becker's application. The motion carried 9-0.

23. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING UPDATES TO BOARD RECORD RETENTION AND DISPOSITION SCHEDULE

Dr. Olvey provided a summary to the Board stating that the Board's current retention schedule needs to be updated. Dr. Olvey stated that the Board is asked to review and approve updates to the retention and disposition schedules. Dr. Olvey stated that items added to the retention schedule include continuing education audit materials, claims and cancelled licenses. It was the consensus of the Board to accept the revisions.

24. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION PERTAINING TO FUTURE CHANGES IN BEHAVIOR ANALYST CERTIFICATION BOARD REQUIREMENTS

Dr. Davis-Wilson provided a summary to the Board stating that behavior analysts are required to renew their certification with the Behavior Analyst Certification Board (BACB) every five years. She provided additional information to include:

- As of 2022 behavior analysts will be required to have 2,000 hours of supervised work experience or independent fieldwork or 1,500 hours of intensive supervised hours in order to become certified with the BACB.
- Effective 2022, the BACB will require that a supervisor be certified or licensed for one year prior to providing supervision.

25. NEW AGENDA ITEMS FOR FUTURE MEETINGS

There were no new items suggested for future meetings.

26. ADJOURN

There being no further business to come before the Board, Dr. Brundage made a motion, seconded by Mr. Donaldson, to adjourn the meeting at 2:07 p.m. The motion carried 9-0.

Respectfully submitted,

Lynn L. Flowers, Ph.D.
Secretary