



STATE OF ARIZONA  
BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGIST EXAMINERS  
1740 WEST ADAMS STREET, SUITE 3403  
PHOENIX, AZ 85007  
PH: 602.542.8162 FX: 602.542.8279  
WEBSITE: [WWW.PSYCHBOARD.AZ.GOV](http://WWW.PSYCHBOARD.AZ.GOV)

DOUGLAS A. DUCEY  
Governor

HEIDI HERBST PAAKKONEN  
Executive Director

## Complaint Screening Committee REGULAR SESSION MINUTES

February 23, 2022

*Held via Zoom*

### 1. CALL TO ORDER

The regular session of the Arizona Board of Psychologist Examiners Complaint Screening Committee was called to order by Madame Chair Shreeve at 8:32 a.m. on February 23, 2022. One executive session was held.

### 2. ROLL CALL

#### Committee Members Present

Tamara Shreeve, MPA – Chair  
Matthew A. Meier, Psy.D.  
Diana Davis-Wilson, DBH, BCBA

#### Attorney General's Office

Jeanne Galvin, Assistant Attorney General

#### Staff Present

Heidi Herbst Paakkonen, Executive Director  
Jennifer Michaelsen, Deputy Director

### 3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

- December 6, 2021 Regular and Executive Session Minutes

Dr. Davis-Wilson recused from this item. Dr. Meier made a motion, seconded by Ms. Shreeve, to approve the minutes as drafted. The motion carried unanimously (2-0), by a voice vote.

- January 19, 2022 Regular and Executive Session Minutes

Ms. Shreeve recused from this item. Dr. Meier made a motion, seconded by Dr. Davis-Wilson, to approve the minutes as drafted. The motion carried unanimously (2-0), by a voice vote.

#### 4. CASE DISCUSSION/DECISION

##### **a. Jeri Gentry, Psy.D., Complaint No. 22-07**

Dr. Davis-Wilson summarized the case, including salient points of the investigation and pertinent records. The Complainant was present, made a statement, and answered questions from the Committee. Dr. Gentry and her attorney, Bretton Barber, Esq., participated, made a statement and answered questions from the Committee.

*At 9:00 a.m., Dr. Meier made a motion, seconded by Davis-Wilson, to go into Executive Session to receive confidential legal advice. Open session reconvened at 9:11 a.m.*

Upon reconvening in open session, Dr. Gentry answered an additional question posed by the Committee.

The Committee expressed concern that Dr. Gentry's security clearance for the prison that she worked at through Centurion Health was revoked by the Arizona Department of Corrections based on their finding that Dr. Gentry engaged in inappropriate conduct with an inmate. Furthermore, information received from the Arizona Department of Corrections indicated that a different inmate was in possession of several photographs of Dr. Gentry, and there was no clear explanation for how the inmate would have obtained such photographs. The Committee also expressed concern related to Dr. Gentry's previous employment in California with Sharper Future and the circumstances surrounding her reason for leaving this employment.

After deliberation, Ms. Shreeve made a motion, seconded by Dr. Meier, to forward Complaint No. 22-07 to the Board for further review regarding potential violations of A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(f), sexual intimacies or sexual intercourse with a current client or patient or a supervisee or with a former client or patient within two years after the cessation or termination of treatment; A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(g), engaging or offering to engage as a psychologist in activities not congruent with the psychologist's professional education, training and experience; A.R.S. § 32-206(16)(o), providing services that are unnecessary or unsafe or otherwise engaging in activities as a psychologist that are unprofessional by current standards of practice; A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(y), exploiting a client or patient, student or a supervisee; and A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(dd), violating an ethical standard adopted by the Board as it pertains to sections 3.05 (Multiple Relationships) and 6.01 (Sexual Intimacies with Current Therapy Clients/Patients). The motion carried unanimously (3-0), by a voice vote.

The Committee directed Dr. Gentry's attorney in the interim to review the non-disclosure agreement that Dr. Gentry entered into with her previous employer in California to determine if it can be released to the Board.

##### **b. Lori Wieters, Ph.D., Complaint No. T-22-03**

Dr. Meier summarized the case, including salient points of the investigation and pertinent records, noting that the Board opened a complaint against Dr. Wieters for further investigation at its meeting on December 10, 2021. The Board did so citing a possible violation of A.R.S. § 32-2084 after receiving correspondence from an anonymous individual who expressed concern that Dr. Wieters was advertising that she was an organizational and industrial psychologist despite not holding a license. Dr. Wieters was present, made a statement, and answered questions from the Committee.

After deliberation, Dr. Meier made a motion, seconded by Dr. Davis-Wilson to dismiss this matter, as there are no violations of rule or statute. The motion carried unanimously (3-0), by a voice vote.

## **5. ADJOURN**

There being no further business to come before the Committee, Dr. Davis-Wilson made a motion, seconded by Dr. Meier, to adjourn the meeting. The motion carried (3-0) and the meeting was adjourned at 9:53 a.m.