



STATE OF ARIZONA
 BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGIST EXAMINERS
 1740 WEST ADAMS STREET, SUITE 3403
 PHOENIX, AZ 85007
 PH: 602.542.8162 FX: 602.926.8095
 WEBSITE: www.psychboard.az.gov

DOUGLAS A. DUCEY
 Governor

HEIDI HERBST PAAKKONEN, M.P.A.
 Executive Director

Application Review Committee

MINUTES OF VIRTUAL MEETING

May 27, 2022 - 7:30 a.m.

Hosted by Zoom

1. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order by Committee Chair Dr. Meier at 7:31 a.m.

2. ROLL CALL

Members Present

Matt Meier, Psy.D..
 Linda Caterino, Ph.D., ABPP

A quorum of the Committee was confirmed.

3. April 29, 2022 Draft Minutes

The minutes were tabled. No vote was taken.

4.	DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON PSYCHOLOGY APPLICATIONS		
	A.	Requesting Approval to Sit for EPPP & Licensure (A.R.S. §§ 32-2071, 2071.01 & 2072)	
		1)	Monica Gibson, Psy.D.
			Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval to sit for the EPPP (Part 1 & Part 2) and licensure upon a passing score.
		2)	Quentin Brown, Ph.D.
			Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application and additional materials. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the

		requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval to sit for the EPPP (Part 1 & Part 2) and licensure upon a passing score.
	3)	Tim Scott Hotchkiss, Psy.D.
		Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the reapplication to the Board for approval to sit for the EPPP (Part 1 & Part 2) and licensure upon a passing score.
	4)	Bryan Gaviria-Jimenez, Psy.D.
		Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the reapplication. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the reapplication to the Board for approval to sit for the EPPP (Part 1 & Part 2) and licensure upon a passing score.
	5)	Jennifer Kraska, Psy.D.
		Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval to sit for the EPPP (Part 1 & Part 2) and licensure upon a passing score.
	6)	Veronica Poore, Psy.D.
		Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval to sit for the EPPP (Part 2) and licensure upon a passing score.
	7)	Jose Bezerra, Ph.D.
		<p>Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application and additional materials. Upon review of the core program requirements form, the Committee noted that under the Assessment content area, a psychopathology course was listed. The Committee noted that psychopathology is not an Assessment course. Additionally, the Committee noted that under the Treatment Modalities content area, psychopharmacology was listed, which is not a Treatment Modalities course.</p> <p>Upon review of the internship verification, the Committee noted that the total number of direct hours listed on page 2 (2,080) is the same amount as the total overall hours listed on page 1 (2,080). This appears to be a miscalculation. Additionally, the Committee noted that the written training plan indicates only one supervisor for the internship, which does not meet the requirements in A.R.S. § 32-2071(F)(2), which states:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>The training program provides at least two psychologists on staff as supervisors, at least one of whom is licensed or certified to practice psychology at the independent level by a licensing jurisdiction of the United States or Canada in which the program exists and at least one of whom is directly available to the trainee in case of emergency.</i></p> <p>The Committee made a second formal additional information request to resolve the above concerns.</p>

	8)	Michael Medrano, Ph.D.
		Dr. Meier recused from reviewing this reapplication. The reapplication was forwarded to the Board for substantive review.
		<p>MOTION: Dr. Meier moved to recommend the applications of Dr. Monica Gibson, Dr. Quentin Brown, Dr. Tim Scott Hotchkiss, Dr. Bryan Gaviria-Jimenez, Dr. Jennifer Kraska, and Dr. Veronica Poore, to the Board for approval to sit for the EPPP as noted above and licensure upon a passing score. Dr. Caterino seconded.</p> <p>VOICE VOTE: The motion passed unanimously.</p>
	B.	Requesting Approval for Licensure by Waiver (A.R.S. §§ 32-2071, 2071.01 & 2072)
	1)	Kali Lantrip, Ph.D.
		<p>Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules.</p> <p>MOTION: Dr. Caterino moved to forward the application of Dr. Kali Lantrip to the Board for approval for licensure. Dr. Meier seconded.</p> <p>VOICE VOTE: The motion passed unanimously.</p>
	C.	Requesting Approval for Licensure by Credential (§§ 32-2071.01 & 2072)
	1)	Nicole de la Luz, Psy.D.
		<p>Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval for licensure.</p> <p>MOTION: Dr. Caterino moved to forward the application of Dr. Nicole de la Luz to the Board for approval for licensure. Dr. Meier seconded.</p> <p>VOICE VOTE: The motion passed unanimously.</p>
	D.	Requesting Approval for Licensure by Universal Recognition (A.R.S. § 32-4302)
	1)	Angela C. Fischer, Psy.D.
		<p>Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval for licensure</p> <p>MOTION: Dr. Caterino moved to forward the application of Dr. Angela Fischer to the Board for approval for licensure. Dr. Meier seconded.</p> <p>VOICE VOTE: The motion passed unanimously.</p>

4. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON CERTIFIED SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGIST REFERENCES FOR APPLICATIONS AND ADDING ALL SUPERVISION HOURS TO APPLICATION

The Committee discussed allowing certified school psychologists to serve as references. Dr. Caterino expressed concern that references could be accepted from certified school psychologists. Ms. Paakkonen informed the Committee that this matter was previously discussed by the Board in 2018. At that time, the Board recognized the rule language that states references must be licensed or certified psychologists to include certified school psychologists. Ms. Paakkonen noted that this matter could be forwarded to the Legislative Committee for a larger discussion. The Committee concurred.

The Committee discussed the possibility of adding a field to the internship and postdoctoral supervised experience verification forms for group supervision so that all three types of verifications are consistent regarding supervision hours. Ms. Fowkes provided a summary of the subsections of A.R.S. § 32-2071 that speak to supervision requirements. The Committee acknowledged that the internship and postdoctoral requirements in A.R.S. § 32-2071(F) and (G) indicate a minimum of one (1) hour of individual supervision for each twenty (20) hours of experience and do not indicate a requirement for additional supervision including group supervision, unlike the preinternship requirements in subsection (E). The Committee considered adding the request for any group supervision to the forms anyway for consistency. Ms. Galvin stated the application must reflect what the requirements are in statute and rule. Additional requirements cannot be added via the application. No changes to the verification forms in the application were made.

5. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON CALL FOR COMMENTS: ASPPB RESOURCES FOR THE REGULATION OF PRACTICUM EXPERIENCE FOR LICENSURE REQUIREMENTS

Ms. Paakkonen explained that ASPPB reached out to member jurisdictions to inform them that its publications review committee has been working on updating ASPPB guidelines on practicum experience for licensure. They are sharing the draft with member boards and requesting public comments. This has been placed on the ARC agenda to find out if ARC wants to formulate some comments to recommend to the Board. Dr. Meier expressed a lack of interest since Arizona already has statutes and rules for practicums. The Committee noted that this resource does not have an impact for Arizona.

6. NEW AGENDA ITEMS FOR FUTURE MEETINGS

No new agenda items were noted.

7. ADJOURNMENT

Dr. Caterino moved to adjourn the meeting. Dr. Meier seconded. Following a unanimous voice vote, the meeting was adjourned at 8:13 am.