



Governor
Douglas A. Ducey

Arizona Board of Psychologist Examiners

1740 W. Adams St., Suite 3403
Phoenix, Arizona 85007
Phone (602) 542-8163 Fax (602) 926-8095
<https://psychboard.az.gov>
kathy.fowkes@psychboard.az.gov

Board Members

Bob Bohanske, Ph.D., FNAP, Chair
Lynn L. Flowers, Ph.D., Vice-Chair
Diana Davis-Wilson, DBH, BCBA, Secretary
Janice K. Brundage, Ph.D.
Bryan Davey, Ph.D., BCBA-D
Joseph Donaldson
Matthew Meier, Psy.D.
Ramona N. Mellott, Ph.D.
Tamara Shreeve, MPA

Executive Director
Jenna Jones

REGULAR SESSION MINUTES

June 7, 2019
8:30 a.m.

1740 W. Adams St.
Board Room A (1st Floor)
Phoenix, AZ 85007

1. CALL TO ORDER

The regular session of the Arizona State Board of Psychologist Examiners was called to order by Chairman Dr. Bohanske at 8:30 a.m. on June 7, 2019.

2. ROLL CALL

Board Members Present

Bob Bohanske, Ph.D., FNAP – Chair
Janice K. Brundage, Ph.D.
Lynn L. Flowers, Ph.D. – Vice-Chair
Diana Davis-Wilson, DBH, BCBA, Ph.D. – Secretary
Bryan Davey, Ph.D., BCBA-D (by phone)
Joseph C. Donaldson
Matthew A. Meier, Psy.D.
Ramona N. Mellott, Ph.D.

Staff Present

Jenna Jones, Executive Director
Jennifer Michaelson, Deputy Director
Kathy Fowkes, Licensing Specialist
Krishna Poe, Administrative Assistant

Attorney General

Sabrina Kahn, Esq.

Board Members Absent

Ms. Tamara Shreeve

3. REMARKS/ANNOUNCEMENTS

CE Documentation – Dr. Bohanske announced that licensees could receive CE credits in Ethics for attendance at Board meetings and explained how to obtain credit.

Board Assessment Forms – Dr. Bohanske encouraged members of the audience to complete a Board meeting Assessment Survey and place them in the survey box.

Board Member and Staff Appreciation – Dr. Dr. Bohanske thanked Board members and staff for their dedication and hard work.

4. CALL TO THE PUBLIC

No one requested to speak.

5. COUNSEL UPDATE

Ms. Kahn did not have an update for the Board.

6. CONSENT AGENDA - DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION

Dr. Bohanske asked if any member of the Board would like to remove an item from the Consent Agenda for independent discussion. There were none.

Dr. Brundage made a motion to approve the Consent Agenda, seconded by Mr. Donaldson. to approve the items on the Consent Agenda.

VOICE VOTE: The motion carried 8-0.

1) CONSENT AGENDA - DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION

Any item under consent may be removed for independent discussion and action by a Board member.

(a) APPROVAL OF MINUTES

May 3, 2019, Regular Session

(b) DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING PSYCHOLOGY APPLICATIONS

- i. Requesting Approval to Sit for Examination (EPPP) Only**
 - Jennifer A. Sigler, Ph.D.
 - Krystle Brown, Psy.D.
 - Michelle M.W. O'Cleireachain, Psy.D.
- ii. Requesting Approval to Sit for Examination (EPPP) & Licensure**
 - Christopher Robert Glowacki, Psy.D.
 - Cynthia Prater, Psy.D.
 - David Joslyn, Psy.D.
 - L. Camille Jarmie Harris, Ph.D.
 - Jennifer C. Mandigo, Psy.D.
 - Rodney T. Reed, Psy.D.
 - Sarah C. Norbeck, Psy.D.
 - Spenser Hughes, Ph.D.
- iii. Requesting Approval for Licensure by Waiver**
 - C. Richard Coder, Ph.D.
 - Charles J. Kennedy, Ph.D.
 - Elena Marie Rivera, Psy.D.
 - Kelsey K. Novak, Psy.D.
 - Marie C. Darden, Ph.D.
 - Maryellen Romero, Ph.D.
 - Traci Taber, Ph.D.

iv. Requesting Approval for Licensure by Credential

- Catherine Anne McCrimmon Evans, Ph.D.

(c) DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING BEHAVIOR ANALYST APPLICATIONS

- Amie Roden, M.Ed.
- Courtney Lohrenz, M.S.
- Gina Neikirk, Ph.D.
- Hilary Wallis Ramirez, M.Ed.
- Jennifer Ann Monteleone, M.A.
- Kaisa Lynn Weathers, M.Ed.
- Kelsey Crosby, M.Ed.
- Lisa Renee Lackey, M.A.
- Mariah Pope, M.Ed.
- Natalie Tucker, M.Ed.

(d) DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO SIT FOR THE EPPP FROM MARIA BLEWSTER, PSY.D.

(e) DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING REQUEST FOR REACTIVATION OF INACTIVE LICENSE FROM JEANMARIE KEIM, PH.D.

(f) REQUEST FOR INACTIVE STATUS FROM JUDY COPELAND, PH.D.

(g) DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING REQUEST FOR REACTIVATION OF INACTIVE LICENSE FROM HEATHER ABRAHIMI, PSY.D.

(h) DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO SIT FOR THE EPPP FROM ANGIE GRAHAM, PSY.D.

(i) DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO SIT FOR THE EPPP FROM BROOKE DEBOY, PSY.D.

TIMED ITEM – 8:45 a.m.

7) DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION RELATING TO INITIAL CASE REVIEW OF THE FOLLOWING COMPLAINT

a) Complaint No. 18-34 Scott Padilla, Psy.D.

Dr. Padilla was present. Dr. Brundage reviewed the complaint. The complainant alleged that his ex-wife had an affair with Dr. Padilla, including excessive texting, email and

lengthy phone calls. The complainant included copies of his ex-wife's journal entries. The ex-wife and Dr. Padilla deny that a sexual relationship occurred. Dr. Padilla made a statement to the Board and answered the Board's questions. Dr. Padilla acknowledged that he recognizes he crossed boundaries, but it was unintentional and intended to help the client. He denied having copies of the text messages between the two.

Dr. Brundage made a motion to move the matter to an informal interview with possible violations of A.R.S. 32-2061 (16)(f), (o), and (dd). After further discussion, Dr. Flowers agreed to second the motion with a friendly amendment of possible violations of 16 (o) and (dd). Dr. Brundage accepted the amended motion.

ROLL CALL VOTE: The motion carried 8-0.

TIMED ITEM – 10:00 a.m.

**8) INFORMAL INTERVIEW – RUTH TENRREIRO, PSY.D. – Complaint No. 18-31
DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION RELATING TO
ALLEGATIONS OF UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT, PROPOSED FINDINGS OF
FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, POSSIBLE DISCIPLINE AND/OR OFFER OF A
CONSENT AGREEMENT OR REFERRAL TO A FORMAL HEARING (Mr.
Donaldson)**

Dr. Tenreiro was present with attorney Charles Hover, Esq. and was sworn in by Dr. Bohanske. Dr. Flowers recused from the matter. Mr. Donaldson provided a summary of the matter regarding allegations from the Board of Behavioral Health Examiners that Dr. Tenreiro did not maintain adequate records for supervision. Dr. Tenreiro answered the Board's questions and explained what she had learned as a result of this investigation. The complainant was not present.

Dr. Mellott made a motion that the Board issue a non-disciplinary Letter of Concern for A.R.S. §32-2081 (16)(k) and a non-disciplinary order for Continuing Education in the amount of 20 hours in the area of supervision related to the Board of Behavior Health Examiners, seconded by Mr. Donaldson. According to Mr. Hover, she completed 15 hours of CE. After further consideration and discussion, Dr. Mellott withdrew the portion of the motion requiring additional CE with Mr. Donaldson's agreement.

VOICE VOTE: The motion carried 7-0-1 with one recusal.

TIMED ITEM – 11:00 a.m.

**9) INFORMAL INTERVIEW MARK MAGIER, PSY.D. – Complaint No. 18-33
DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION RELATING TO
ALLEGATIONS OF UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT, PROPOSED FINDINGS OF
FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, POSSIBLE DISCIPLINE AND/OR OFFER OF A
CONSENT AGREEMENT OR REFERRAL TO A FORMAL HEARING (Dr.
Brundage)**

Dr. Magier was present with attorney Charles Hover, Esq. and was sworn in by Dr. Bohanske. The complainant was not present. Mr. Hover offered opening remarks. He stated his client was not disputing the allegations and requested that the Board consider amending the active Consent Agreement issued in March 2019 to include this case by expanding the monitoring and adding continuing education. Dr. Brundage provided a summary of the complaint for this court-ordered intensive psychotherapy. Allegations included violations of A.R.S. 32-2061 (16) (h), (o) and (cc). Dr. Magier answered the Board's questions. The Board asked about the status of the practice monitor. Dr. Magier reported that he had arranged a monitor, but learned a few days ago that the monitor was no longer able to provide monitoring, and requested the Board's input to arrange a new monitor. The Board discussed the case. Concerns were expressed regarding Dr. Magier's treatment of the patient, his failure to be aware of and comply with the court's requirements, lack of clarity regarding his role and failure to communicate with court to clarify his questions, failure to follow up on the client's attempts to contact him, poor record keeping, inconsistent responses to the Board, and failure to comply with the terms of the prior Consent Agreement. The Board expressed concern regarding Dr. Magier's responses to them.

Mr. Donaldson moved to enter Executive Session for legal advice. The matter was seconded by Dr. Davis-Wilson. The motion carried unanimously on a voice vote. The Board entered Executive Session and returned to Open Session at 12:14 p.m.

After further consideration and discussion, Dr. Brundage moved to offer an Interim Consent Agreement which would require Dr. Magier to undergo a full evaluation within 35 days. The Executive Director will need to approve the provider and the report is to be submitted prior to the August meeting. In addition, Dr. Magier will need to get a practice monitor established immediately under the terms of the prior Consent Agreement. Mr. Donaldson seconded the motion.

ROLL CALL VOTE: The motion carried unanimously, 8-0.

Lunch break 12:20 p.m. The Board returned to Open Session at 1:25 p.m.

10) DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING RENEWAL APPLICATION SUBMITTED BY REBECCA GILLESPIE, PSY.D., LICENSE NO. PSY-004753 – Ms. Jones

Ms. Jones reviewed Dr. Gillespie's renewal application materials and provided a summary.

Dr. Mellott moved to approve the renewal and Dr. Meier seconded.

VOICE VOTE: The motion carried 8-0.

11) DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING REQUEST FROM DEBRA GOLDFINE, Ph.D., REGARDING MODIFICATION OF THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO CONSENT AGREEMENT IN CASE NO. 16-15 AND CLARIFICATION REGARDING TERMS OF CONSENT AGREEMENT

Dr. Goldfine was present by phone. Ms. Jones reviewed the matter. The proposed addendum to the Consent Agreement discussed at a previous meeting was submitted to Dr. Goldfine but she had several questions. Dr. Goldfine answered the Board's questions. She stated she worked and was monitored for three months and has been seeking employment since then, but

has been unable to find employment with an encumbered license. Dr. Goldfine reported she will be taking the ethics course at Arizona State University.

Dr. Brundage moved to enter Executive Session for the purpose of obtaining legal advice. Dr. Meier seconded. The Board entered Executive Session at 2:17 pm and returned to Open Session at 2:29 pm.

The Board discussed with Dr. Goldfine amendments that would drop the supervision to two (2) hours per 40 hours of work. Dr. Goldfine offered to continue drug testing once a month for the duration of the probation.

Dr. Mellott moved to withdraw the previously proposed amendment to the Consent Agreement. Dr. Bohanske seconded.

VOICE VOTE: The motion carried 8-0.

Dr. Mellott moved for a new amendment to the Consent Agreement amendment to require 2 hours of monitoring per 40 hours worked and no written requirement for drug testing. Dr. Brundage seconded.

ROLL CALL VOTE: 7- 0-1-1, with one abstention (Dr. Davey) and one absence.

12) DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING A REQUEST FROM CONNIE PYBURN, PH.D. TO TERMINATE PROBATION FOR CASES 16-40 AND 17-29. Ms. Jones

Ms. Jones provided a summary of the matter and Dr. Pyburn's actions to complete the requirements under the Order. Dr. Pyburn requested that the probationary term be terminated. Dr. Redivo submitted a letter supporting termination of the Order. Dr. Mellott moved to terminate the probation. Dr. Davis-Wilson seconded.

VOICE VOTE: The motion carried 8-0.

13) DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING REQUEST FROM MICAELA REECE-WILLIAMS, PSY.D., HOLDER OF TEMPORARY LICENSE NO. PSY-T-000015, FOR APPROVAL OF POSTDOCTORAL TRAINING PLAN MODIFICATION. –Dr. Meier

Dr. Meier reviewed Dr. Reece-Williams' postdoctoral training plan modification request.

Dr. Meier moved to approve the training plan modification. Dr. Brundage seconded.

VOICE VOTE: The motion carried 8-0.

14) DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING WORDING ON POTENTIAL TRANSCRIPTS FROM PROGRAMS THAT HAVE ACCEPTED ARGOSY STUDENTS AS TRANSFERS REGARDING RESIDENCY

A.R.S. § 32-2071(A)(5) and (K) address issues that may directly affect those Argosy students involved in the abrupt closure of the school when those applicants seek Arizona licensure. Section K outlines the residency requirements and A(5) addresses needing to attend the

graduating university 2 of 3 years, which is waived if they graduate from an APA accredited doctoral program. Dr. Bohanske reported that some of the schools who are willing to accept the Argosy students are not willing to make notations on the transcripts regarding the prior residency programs completed at Argosy. The School Psychology doctoral program students have had similar issues.

Dr. McCarthy was present and addressed the Board. National Louis will be taking some Argosy students and would like clarification if the verbiage, “residency verified”, would be accepted by this Board for approval regarding the recognition of residencies completed at Argosy. He asked the Board to work with PPSE and the governor’s office to assist the Argosy students in the licensure process. He also asked the Board to consider broadening the accepted documents regarding the residency requirement to include a letter from a school’s registrar’s office regarding verification of the residency requirement. Dr. McCarthy asked the Board to issue a letter stating that the Board will accept the verbiage, “residency verified at prior program” or “residency verified”.

Dr. Bohanske moved that the Board accept official transcripts from doctoral programs submitted for psychologist applications that state, “residency verified at prior program” or “residency verified” as meeting the requirements in A.R.S. § 32-2071(K). Dr. Brundage seconded.

VOICE VOTE: The motion carried 8-0.

The Board directed staff to inform the provost at National Louis University of the Board’s decision.

15) DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING LEGISLATIVE UPDATE INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, SB1086, SB1096, SB1135, HB2031, HB2118, HB2146, HB2182, HB2231, HB2463, SB1232, HB2569, HB2579, SB1156 – Ms. Jones

Ms. Jones provided a report to the Board regarding the Bills that were passed into law. Dr. Brundage thanked Ms. Jones and the legislative committee. Reciprocity licensure (HB2569) will require a new application. The law will go into effect at the end of August so there is time to complete preparations for the new applications. Ms. Jones reported we are waiting for AG opinion regarding interpretation of the law. If there are rules that will need to be written, the Board will need to write them, but it is unknown if Rules will be permitted by the Governor’s office.

16) DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND ACTION ON PROPOSED FIVE YEAR RULE REPORT FOR THE GOVERNOR’S REGULATORY REVIEW COUNCIL.

Ms. Jones provided a summary of the matter and asked that the Board consider approval of the report so it may be submitted to GRRC. Dr. Mellot moved to approve the report and Dr. Brundage seconded.

VOICE VOTE: The motion carried unanimously, 8-0.

17) DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING STATUS UPDATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH RECOMMENDATIONS CONTAINED IN THE SUNSET AUDIT REPORT– Ms. Jones

Ms. Jones provided a summary of the progress and explained the spreadsheet she has created to track compliance with the 15 recommendations made by the Auditor General's office.

18) DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING REQUEST TO TERMINATE PROBATION FOR CASE NO. 17-36 FOR LYNN NUNEMACHER, Psy.D. – Ms. Jones.

Ms. Jones provided a summary of this matter and the actions taken by Dr. Nunemacher to comply with terms of the probationary order. Dr. Brundage moved to terminate the probationary term for Dr. Nunemacher and Dr. Flowers seconded.

VOICE VOTE: The motion carried unanimously, 8-0

19) DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING POSSIBLE CHANGES TO THE FOLLOWING RULES:
A. R4-26-203 (A)(8) Should the Board require reference forms as part of the application?
B. R4-26-111 (1) Should the level of supervision that can be conducted via telepractice be changed?
C. R4-26-202 (E) The statute ARS 32-2017 (4) provides the core program requirements, this rule states the Board shall count a course or comprehensive exam only once to satisfy a requirement.

Ms. Jones provided a summary of the questions that were raised by the Rules Committee. They included three questions as listed on the agenda.

A. Did the Board want to continue requiring reference forms as part of the applications? Ms. Jones reported that her research indicated other states were split at approximately 50/50 on this requirement. Several members indicated they would like to keep the reference requirement while others indicated they no longer felt a need to obtain references as they were always favorable and did not provide any additional information in the licensing process. Staff was directed to ask ASPPB if the PLUS requires references. The Board can reconsider this once that information is determined. Dr. Mellott stated the applications already includes references from the various training supervisors and that the additional references are redundant.

B. Should the amount of supervision conducted via telepractice be changed? Currently 50% can be telepractice. Dr. Mellott suggested that it not be changed, stating face to face contact is important in supervision, it is critical in the development of early psychologists. Dr. Brundage asked about video conferencing and the current generation's use of screen and lack of distinction with in-person. There was a significant amount of discussion that the future is moving towards more online and telemed and that it is important to keep up with the changing technology. Dr. Davis-Wilson discussed that the supervision for BAs can be 100% tele-supervised, but some may not be buying HIPAA compliant software. Telemedicine is an important tool in providing care to rural communities and when it is difficult to get supervisors in rural areas.

Mr. Donaldson moved to increase the amount of remote supervision allowed for psychologists in a supervised experience program to 75%. Dr. Bohanske seconded.

The Board discussed the motion. Dr. Davis-Wilson pointed out that remote supervision cuts down on a lot of travel and saves time.

ROLL CALL VOTE: Dr. Mellott, no; Flowers, no; Brundage, no. 5, yes.
The motion carried 5-3.

C. Count course more than once for Core Program Requirements. Ethics requirement tends to be the most problematic. The way the APA standards have changed, they are asking for more integrative coursework, rather than 3 credit hours in three separate hours for each course. Her university has already combined courses in compliance with APA standards. It was the consensus of the Board to consider this question further at a future meeting.

20) DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING PSYCHOLOGIST APPLICATION FOR LICENSURE FROM GREGORY LOGID, PH.D.

Dr. Mellott provided a summary of the application and the committee's concerns with the modified training plan and verification that Dr. Logid submitted in support of his application. Dr. Logid was present, made statements to the Board, and answered the Board's questions. The Board suggested that Dr. Logid not do this in the future and to bank his credentials with one of the various organizations. After further discussion and consideration, Dr. Meier moved to approve the application, seconded by Dr. Mellott.

VOICE VOTE: Motion carried unanimously, 8-0.

21) DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND COMPENSATION.

Dr. Bohanske asked staff to step out and made a motion to move into Executive Session to discuss personnel matters. Dr. Brundage seconded. Motion carried unanimously on a voice vote.

The Board returned to open session at 3:30 p.m.

Dr. Brundage moved to approve the Executive Director's compensation package as discussed in Executive Session, Dr. Meier seconded.

VOICE VOTE: Motion carried unanimously, 8-0.

22) NEW AGENDA ITEMS FOR FUTURE MEETINGS

Mr. Donaldson requested that both of Dr. Magier's cases be listed on an upcoming agenda so the Board can discuss both. It was also noted that the Board should discuss the upcoming CE audit cycle.

22) ADJOURN

Dr. Brundage moved for adjournment, Dr. Meier seconded.

VOICE VOTE: Motion carried unanimously. The meeting adjourned at 3:32 pm.