1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA STATE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGIST EXAMINERS	
2		
3		
4 5	IN THE MATTER OF:	Case No. 22-13
6	Linda Russek, Psy.D.	FINDINGS OF FACT,
7	Holder of License No. 3524 For the Practice of Psychologist Examiners In the State of Arizona.	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND CONSENT AGREEMENT
9	On July 8, 2022, the Arizona State Board of Psychologist Examiners ("Board")	
10	met in open session to discuss the above-referenced matter. Linda Russek, Psy.D.	
11	("Respondent") was present with her attorney, Anne E. McClellan. After discussion,	
12	consideration, and deliberation, the Board voted to enter into a Consent Agreement in	
13	lieu of commencing an Informal Interview	V.
14	In the interest of prompt and judicious settlement of the above-captioned matter	
15	before the Board and consistent with public interest, statutory requirements and	
16	responsibilities of the Board, and pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1092.07(F)(5), Respondent,	
17	holder of License No. PSY-003524 for the practice of psychology in the State of Arizona,	
18	and the Board agree to enter into this Consent Agreement as the final disposition of this	
19	matter.	
20	<u>JURISDICTION</u>	
21	1. The Board is authorized to regulate the practice of psychology in Arizona	
22	pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2061, et seq., and the rules promulgated thereunder, found in	
23	Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C." or "rules") at R4-26-101, et seq., to regulate and	
24	control the licensing of psychologists in the State of Arizona.	
25	2. Respondent is the holder of	f license number PSY-003524 for the practice of
26	psychology in the State of Arizona.	

3. The Board has personal and subject matter jurisdiction over Respondent pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2601, *et seq.*, and the rules at A.A.C. R4-267-101, *et seq.*

RECITALS

Respondent understands and agrees that:

- 4. The Board and Respondent enter into this Consent Agreement and Order to promptly and judiciously resolve this matter, consistent with the public interest and the statutory requirements of the Board.
- 5. Respondent has the right to consult with an attorney prior to entering into this Consent Agreement.
- 6. Respondent has a right to a public hearing concerning this case. She further acknowledges that at such a formal hearing she could present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. Respondent irrevocably waives her right to such a hearing.
- 7. Respondent irrevocably waives any right to rehearing or review or to any judicial review or any other appeal of this matter.
- 8. By entering into this Consent Agreement, Respondent is not admitting the truth or accuracy of any of the statements that are alleged against her. However, she acknowledges that it is the Board's position that if this matter were to proceed to a formal hearing, it is the Board's position that it could establish sufficient evidence to support a conclusion that certain of Respondent's conduct constituted unprofessional conduct. Respondent therefore has agreed to enter into this Consent Agreement as an economical and practical means of resolving the issues raised in this matter.
- 9. The Consent Agreement shall be subject to the approval by the Board and shall be effective only when signed by the Executive Director. In the event that the Board does not approve this Consent Agreement, it is withdrawn and shall be of no evidentiary value and shall not be relied upon nor introduced in any action by any party,

- except that the parties agree that should the Board reject this Consent Agreement and this case proceeds to hearing, Respondent shall assert no claim that the Board was prejudiced by its review and discussion of this document or any records relating thereto.
 - 10. The Consent Agreement, once approved by the Board, evidenced by the signature of the Executive Director or her designee and signed by the Respondent, shall constitute a public record that may be disseminated as a formal action of the Board and reported to the National Practitioner Data Bank.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 11. Respondent is a licensed psychologist in the State of Arizona, license number PSY-003524. She has been licensed in Arizona since 2002.
- 12. In December 2021, the Complainant ("AY") submitted a complaint against Respondent alleging she terminated the professional relationship due to personal financial interests, engaged in business with AY through cryptocurrency, failed to maintain appropriate boundaries with AY, and had a multiple relationship (friendship) with AY.
- 13. From April 15, 2019 until December 19, 2020, Respondent provided individual therapy to Complainant ("AY"). Respondent's diagnosis of AY included Generalized Anxiety Disorder and PTSD (chronic).
- 14. Respondent included discussions about cryptocurrency in AY's therapy sessions as a method of connecting with AY given AY's preoccupation with that topic.
- 15. During the time Respondent was providing therapy to AY, Respondent personally invested in cryptocurrency and communicated with AY regarding cryptocurrency outside of therapy sessions. Respondent did not make any investments with AY (cryptocurrency or other) or engage in business with AY related to cryptocurrency or any other business. However, Respondent utilized the technical assistance of AY's ex-spouse related to Respondent's cryptocurrency accounts. AY's ex-

spouse was never Respondent's client, but during all relevant times, the ex-spouse lived with and provided financial support to AY.

- 16. During the time Respondent was providing therapy to AY, she attended three or four shooting lessons with AY outside of formal therapy sessions. Respondent represents she did so in an effort to further Respondent's treatment goals, and she also represents she had a discussion with AY to ensure she understood the risks of Respondent engaging in this activity outside of formal therapy. It is the Board's position that Respondent should have documented the discussion with AY regarding the risks of Respondent attending the lessons with her, should have included the lessons as part of AY's documented treatment plan if they were in fact part of her treatment plan, and should have maintained clearer boundaries with AY including advising AY to find another person to attend the lessons.
- 17. On December 19, 2020, AY ended therapy with Respondent as documented in Respondent's discharge note for AY. Respondent represents there was a discussion with AY about her ending therapy and that AY agreed with therapy ending. AY alleges she did not understand the professional therapy relationship had ended.
- 18. Approximately five (5) months after AY ended therapy with Respondent, AY attended a dinner at Respondent's home for the purpose of discussing cryptocurrency with Respondent's friends. Respondent acknowledges she should have refrained from inviting AY given their past professional therapy relationship. She did not document having a discussion with AY regarding the risks of attending a dinner at her former therapist's home.
- 19. Approximately six (6) months after AY ended therapy with Respondent, AY called Respondent after being involved in a road rage incident. Respondent asserts she recommended during the call that AY seek professional help from another

professional for potential PTSD related to the event, which AY later did with her naturopathic physician. It is the Board's position that Respondent should have documented the phone call in a note, including documenting that she had recommended AY seek professional help from another professional.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

20. On April 19, 2022, the Board's Complaint Screening Committee ("Committee") reviewed AY's complaint and voted to refer the matter to the full Board for further review. The Committee expressed concern with regard to several aspects of this case including that Respondent appeared to engage in a dual relationship with A.Y. during the course of therapy, and then engaged in a friendship shortly after therapy ended. The Committee expressed concern that boundaries were crossed when Respondent utilized the assistance of AY's ex-husband at AY's suggestion to provide Respondent technical assistance when she decided to invest Cryptocurrency; she attended shooting lessons with AY; and invited AY to her home for dinner after Respondent alleges therapy ended months earlier. The Committee further expressed concern that AY's clinical record does not make any reference to those activities. Furthermore, the Committee expressed concern that some of the conversations that took place between Respondent and AY after sessions ended may be considered therapeutic in nature and confusing to AY. Finally, the Committee expressed concern regarding whether Respondent's answers to the Committee's questions. Therefore, the Committee referred the matter to the full Board for consideration. During the Board's investigation of AY's complaint, Respondent voluntarily completed (without Board order) more than 65 hours of Continuing Education, including but not limited to courses in the areas of ethics, multiple relationships, boundaries, and documentation.

21. During the Board's investigation of AY's complaint, Respondent also voluntarily retained (without Board order) an outside licensed psychologist to provide

consultation services, including reviewing the complaint and AY's records and meeting with Respondent to discuss the ethical implications of the allegations asserted against Respondent in this matter. Respondent met with the licensed psychologist consultant four times, for a total of eight (8) hours of consultation that included discussion of multiple relationships and appropriate client boundaries, the need to utilize an ethical decision-making model when faced with ethical dilemmas, documentation and record-keeping, and changes to improve Respondent's practice. Based on his review of this matter and his multiple consultation meetings with Respondent, the licensed psychologist consultant provided a written report and verbal statement to the Board opining that Respondent does not pose a threat to the public and is a dedicated, altruistic, earnest and well-intentioned psychologist who truly cares for her patients and that, in his opinion, her conduct related to AY does not rise to the level of clinical incompetence or negligent misconduct that would pose a threat to the public. The licensed psychologist consultant recommended that the Board order practice monitoring for a specified period of time and allow Respondent to continue with her practice of psychology.

22. On July 8, 2022, the Board met in open session to consider this matter. It expressed concerns that Respondent had engaged in a multiple relationship and failed to maintain appropriate boundaries with AY, failed to ensure AY understood the professional therapy relationship had ended, and failed to ensure proper documentation related to Respondent's discussions and activities with AY relating to the shooting lessons, dinner, and phone call regarding the road rage accident. The Board voted to offer Respondent a Consent Agreement, authorizing the Board's attorney to negotiate the specific terms with Respondent's attorney, and if the Consent Agreement was not accepted, to proceed to an Informal Interview.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

- 23. The specific conduct and circumstances described in the Findings of Fact in this Consent Agreement, if true, constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(h) failing or refusing to maintain and retain adequate business, financial or professional records pertaining to the psychologist services provided to a client or patient.
- 24. The specific conduct and circumstances described in the Findings of Fact in this Consent Agreement, if true, constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(dd) violating an ethical standard adopted by the Board as it pertains to section 3.05 (Multiple Relationships) of the American Psychological Association's Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct.

ORDER

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2081(S), the Board has determined that Respondent's conduct in this matter warrants disciplinary action and a specified term of practice monitoring is necessary to ensure Respondent's continued ability to safely engage in the practice of psychology.

Based on the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- 25. <u>CONTINUING EDUCATION</u>: Respondent is required to complete 12 hours of continuing education in the areas of ethics, boundaries, multiple relationships and documentation. Respondent may not use those 12 hours towards renewal of her license. The Board will accept, as compliance with this Order, 12 of the 65 hours of continuing education Respondent completed during the Board's investigation of this matter, so long as she has not submitted those hours towards renewal of her license.
- **26. PROBATION**: Respondent's licenses as a psychologist is placed on probation for a minimum period of six (6) months from the effective date of this Consent

Agreement and Order. The effective date of this Consent Agreement and Order is the dates it is signed by the Board's Executive Director, or her designee, on behalf of the Board.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27. **PRACTICE MONITOR**: Respondent shall work under a Board-approved Practice Monitor for a period of six (6) months to meet with the Practice Monitor (in person or virtually via videoconferencing) once per month for a minimum of two hours per session. The Practice Monitor shall review with Respondent the following areas of practice, as applicable: appropriate boundaries and appropriate documentation, to include review of current patient files. The Practice Monitor shall submit to the Board a written report every three months that includes topics covered, results of monitoring sessions, and any modifications made to Respondent's practice. Prior to the conclusion of the probationary period, the Practice Monitor is to complete a final written report to the Board summarizing their interaction with Respondent, topics discussed, areas of progress, results of monitoring meetings, a recommendation whether to terminate Respondent's probation, and overall impressions. The final report shall be submitted to the Board within twenty (20) days before the scheduled Board meeting at which the Board will consider Respondent's written request to terminate probation. Respondent shall obtain the Board's prior approval of the professional she selects to serve as her Practice Monitor, and Respondent shall present this Consent Agreement and Order to the Board-approved Practice Monitor prior to the start of the first monitoring meeting. The first meeting between the Respondent and Board-approved Practice Monitor shall occur within twenty (20) days of the effective date of this Order.

28. TERMINATION OF PROBATION: At the end of the six (6) months or after she has served under a Practice Monitor for six (6) months, whichever is later, Respondent may petition the Board, in writing, and request termination from probation

and monitoring. If the Board determines that Respondent has not complied with the requirements of this Consent Agreement and Order, the Board may either (a) continue probation, including the Practice Monitor, or (b) institute proceedings for noncompliance with this Consent Agreement and Order, which may result in the suspension, revocation, or other disciplinary and/or remedial action.

- 29. <u>CONTINUED APPLICATION OF TERMS</u>: If, between the effective date of this Consent Agreement and the termination of Respondent's probation by the Board, Respondent fails to renew her license while under this Consent Agreement and subsequently applies for a license, the remaining terms of this Consent Agreement, including probation and monitoring, shall be imposed if the application for licensure is granted.
- **30. EFFECTIVE DATE**: Respondent understands that the foregoing Consent Agreement shall not become effective unless and until adopted by the Board and executed on behalf of the Board. Any modification to this original document is ineffective and void unless mutually approved by the parties in writing.
- 31. <u>CONSIDERATION IN FUTURE ACTIONS</u>: Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement, or any part thereof, may be considered in any future disciplinary action against her.
- 32. FINAL RESOLUTION: This Consent Agreement constitutes a final resolution of this disciplinary matter but does not constitute a dismissal or resolution of any other matters that may be currently pending before the Board, if any, and does not constitute any waiver, express or implied, of the Board's statutory authority or jurisdiction regarding any other pending or future investigations, actions, or proceedings. Further, this Consent Agreement does not preclude any other agency, subdivision, or officer of this State from instituting other civil or criminal proceedings with respect to the

conduct that is the subject of this Consent Agreement. However, the purpose of this Consent Agreement is expressly limited to resolving this matter before the Board, and it is not intended to serve as evidence in any other legal matter.

- 33. <u>TIME</u>: Time is of the essence with regard to this Consent Agreement.
- 34. <u>COSTS</u>: The Respondent shall be responsible for all costs incurred as a result of her compliance with this Consent Agreement.
- 35. NON-COMPLIANCE: If Respondent fails to comply with the terms of this Consent Agreement, the Board may properly institute proceedings for noncompliance, which may result in suspension, revocation, or other disciplinary and/or remedial actions. Violation of this Consent Agreement is a violation of A.R.S. § 32-2061(15) violation a formal board order, consent agreement, term of probation or stipulated agreement.
- 36. <u>PUBLIC RECORD</u>: This Consent Agreement is a public record that may be publicly disseminated as a formal action of the Board and reported to the National Practitioner Data Bank.

Dated this 12 day of August , 2022.

3

6

By Kudi Idoubst Paastonen

Heidi Herbst Paakkonen Executive Director

Arizona Board of Psychologist Examiners

Linda Russek, Psy.D.

Respondent

1	ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed electronically this 12 day of August , 2022 with:
2	, 2022 With
3	Arizona Board of Psychologist Examiners 1740 W. Adams St., Ste. 3403
4	Phoenix, AZ 85007
5	COPIES of the foregoing mailed electronically and by Regular and
6	Certified Mail # 9489009000276379774609 this 12 day of August , 2022 to:
7	Linda Russek
8	Address of Record Respondent
9	Respondent
10	COPY of the foregoing mailed electronically and by Regular Mail this 12 day of August, 2022 to:
11	
12	Anne E. McClellan, Esq. Jennings, Strouss & Salmon, P.L.C.
13	One East Washington Street, Suite 1900
14	Phoenix, Arizona 85004 amcclellan@jsslaw.com
15	Attorney for Respondent
16	By Jennifer Michaelsen
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	