2

3 4

5

67

8

9

11

10

1213

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

### BEFORE THE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGIST EXAMINERS

#### FOR THE STATE OF ARIZONA

In the Matter of

Karen Hawk, Psy.D.

Holder of License No. PSY-005195 For the Practice of Psychology in the State of Arizona,

Respondent.

Case No.: 22-09

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER FOR PROBATION, PRACTICE MONITORING, AND CONTINUING EDUCATION

On August 5, 2022, the Arizona Board of Psychologist Examiners ("Board") held an Informal Interview for Complaint No. 22-09 regarding Karen Hawk, Psy.D. ("Respondent"). Respondent appeared virtually with her legal counsel, Mandi Karvis, Esq. The Complainant appeared telephonically. After the Informal Interview, the Board voted to issue Respondent the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order for Probation, Practice Monitoring, and Continuing Education ("Order").

## **JURISDICTION**

- 1. The Board is the state agency authorized pursuant to Arizona Revised Statute ("A.R.S.") § 32-2061 *et seq.*, and the rules promulgated thereunder in the Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C." or "rules") at R4-26-101 *et seq.*, to regulate and control the licensing of psychologists in the State of Arizona.
- 2. Respondent is the holder of license number PSY-005195 for the practice of psychology in the State of Arizona.
- 3. The Board has personal and subject-matter jurisdiction over Respondent pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2061 *et seq.*, and the rules at A.A.C. R4-26-101, *et seq.*

### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

- 4. Respondent was issued her psychologist license by the Board on December 2, 2019. She has maintained a private practice in Gilbert, Arizona, called Resilience Counseling and Assessment, LLC.
- 5. Between May 2021 September 2021, Responded provided therapy services that were not court-ordered to a minor female client ("Client"), who was 15 years old at the onset of treatment. Both

parents consented to the treatment. The parents were already engaged in contentious custody proceedings at the time Client's treatment started.

- 6. Shortly after Client's therapy started with Respondent, on May 25, 2021, Mother filed a petition in family court to modify legal-decision making and parenting time.
- 7. During a September 3, 2021, family court hearing, Respondent testified regarding Client's treatment after receiving a subpoena to testify from Mother's attorney. Respondent did not request the Court to quash the subpoena nor did she obtain the parents' written consent to testify.
- 8. Approximately one hour before the September 3, 2021, hearing, Respondent filed a report with the Arizona Department of Child Safety ("DCS") regarding an incident that occurred approximately two weeks prior in which Client attempted to jump out of Father's vehicle while it was running. Respondent represents that the DCS report was made due to emotional abuse by Father and Stepmother.
- 9. Following Respondent's testimony, Father withdrew his consent for Respondent to treat Client so treatment ended immediately.
- 10. Shortly thereafter, on September 28, 2021, the Board received Father's complaint against Respondent, Complaint No. 22-09. alleged, *inter alia*, that:
  - a. Father's relationship with Client went from normal to strained once Client started therapy with Respondent;
  - b. Respondent testified as a witness for Mother, claiming that Father and his wife ("Stepmother") were emotionally abusive to Client;
  - c. Respondent failed to obtain the consent of both parents prior to sharing confidential information at the September 3, 2021 hearing;
  - d. Respondent's testimony further harmed the relationship between Father and Client;
  - e. Respondent barred Father from participating in therapy with Client but allowed Mother to continue participating;
  - f. Respondent failed to provide Father with Client's treatment plan and goals despite

## multiple requests.

- 11. On January 19, 2022, the Board's Complaint Screening Committee ("Committee") conducted the initial review of the case and voted to forward it to the Board for further review. The Committee expressed concern with several aspects of the case to include that Respondent did not obtain the parents written consent to provide testimony for their family court hearing after receiving a subpoena from Mother's attorney; Respondent appeared to send email correspondence to the parents regarding Client's confidential treatment using an unsecure email account and did not have policies and procedures in place to protect Client's confidential health information; and various issues related to Client's treatment plan to include that it was not clear if both parents signed the treatment plan or if they were made aware of the treatment goals to be discussed in therapy. The Committee directed Board staff in the interim to seek the consultation of a forensic psychologist in this case regarding standards of practice relating to the release of information and responding to subpoenas for family court matters. The Committee discussed that the selected psychologist would submit a report of their findings and provide testimony related to their findings at a future Board meeting when the case was reviewed. The consultation was completed by psychologist Robert DiCarlo, Ph.D.
- 12. On May 6, 2022, the Board conducted an initial review for Complaint No. 22-09. Respondent and her attorney appeared virtually, as did Father. Dr. DiCarlo was also present and provided a summary of his findings to the Board, noting that it was requested that he provide an opinion as to whether Respondent practiced within the standard of care. Dr. DiCarlo summarized Respondent's strengths as a clinician and her role in this matter to include that she: set clear boundaries with each parent based on her assessment of each parent; made efforts to include both parents in email communications even when one parent would exclude the other in a written correspondence; and asked for a subpoena when Mother informally requested that she testify at an upcoming court hearing. Dr. DiCarlo noted that the family Court judge found Respondent's testimony to be credible. Dr. DiCarlo discussed that the case presented many complexities and that while Respondent demonstrated some strengths in her management

of the matter, he opined that his greatest concern was Respondent's failure to consider the multiple hypotheses in determining the cause of Client's behavior. Respondent concluded that Client was suffering from emotional abuse but Dr. DiCarlo explained that psychologists have to consider all factors when formulating a patient's potential diagnosis. Additionally, Dr. DiCarlo opined that Respondent gave more weight to Mother's input and perspective when it came to Client and never admonished Mother or tried to educate Mother as she did with Father. Dr. DiCarlo also expressed concern that Respondent did not obtain written consent from both parents to testify in Court and did not have any policies or procedures regarding electronic transmission of confidential healthcare information. In response to questioning from the Board, Dr. DiCarlo opined that a psychologist can request a subpoena be quashed or could request that the Court order the testimony instead. The Board voted to forward the case to an Informal Interview based on several potential violations constituting unprofessional conduct.

- 13. On August 5, 2022, the Board conducted an Informal Interview for Complaint No. 22-09 and voted to issue Respondent this disciplinary Order. The Board found that:
  - a. Respondent had limited experience working with families involved in high conflict custody proceedings when she first began treating Client;
  - b. Respondent did not consultant with a forensic psychologist on this case as it become more challenging;
  - c. Respondent demonstrated a bias against Father and failed to consider alternative hypotheses regarding Client's behavior and the family dynamics;
  - d. Respondent did not assist Client in improving her relationship with Father and Stepmother, but rather, alienated Father further;
  - e. With respect to the Treatment Plan, it was undated and Respondent could not confirm to the Board when it was developed; the Treatment Plan was not signed by either parent; nor did Respondent consider the parents' goals for treatment;
  - f. The clinical record contained several errors;

- g. Despite repeated requests from Father, Respondent failed to promptly provide the him with the Treatment Plan and goals for treatment;
- h. Respondent did not obtain the parents' written consent before testifying in court, and the opinions she offered during her testimony were based upon insufficient information gathering and analysis;
- The report to DCS was not made in a timely manner and occurred approximately two
  weeks after the incident in question, which Respondent acknowledged was an oversight
  on her part.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 14. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to:
  - a. A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(e), gross negligence in the practice of a psychologist;
  - b. A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(h), failing or refusing to maintain and retain adequate business, financial or professional records pertaining to the psychological services provided to a client or patient;
  - c. A.R.S.§32-2061(16)(o), engaging in activities as a psychologist that are unprofessional by current standards of practice;
  - d. A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(r), failing to obtain a client's or patient's informed and written consent to release personal or otherwise confidential information to another party unless the release is otherwise authorized by law;
  - e. A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(cc), failing to make available to a client or patient or the client's or patient's designated representative, on written request, a copy of the client's or patient's record, including raw test data, psychometric testing materials and other information as provided by law;
  - f. A.R.S. §32-2061(16)(dd), violating an ethical standard adopted by the Board as it

pertains to sections 4.01 (Maintaining Confidentiality), 4.02 (Discussing the Limits of Confidentiality), 4.05 (Disclosures), and 6.01 (Documentation of Professional and Scientific Work and Maintenance of Records) of the American Psychological Association Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct.

## **ORDER**

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2081(K), the Board has determined that the Respondent's conduct in Complaint No. 22-09 warrants disciplinary action. Based on the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- 15. **PROBATION:** Respondent's license as a psychologist is placed on probation for a minimum period of twelve (12) months beginning on the effective date of this Order. The effective date of this Order is thirty-five (35) days from the date that it is mailed to Respondent unless there are intervening legal proceedings.
- 16. **PRACTICE MONITOR:** While on probation, Respondent shall work with a Practice Monitor **pre-approved** by the Board's Executive Director or designee and who will provide professional guidance and input to Respondent with respect to forensic psychology, working with children and families, complex family dynamics, understanding HIPAA compliance, appropriate treatment planning, maintaining objectivity, recordkeeping, and any other topic deemed pertinent by the Practice Monitor. The Practice Monitor shall submit to the Executive Director a detailed monitoring program outlining the monitoring goals and objectives and how achievement of them will be measured, and the activities Respondent will be required to complete. The written monitoring program shall be provided to the Executive Director within thirty (30) days of contracting with Respondent to serve as Practice Monitor. Additionally, The Practice Monitor shall review all applicable meeting audio and/or minutes for Complaint no. 22-09, which will be provided by Board staff, in advance of submitting the written monitoring plan.
- 17. Respondent shall submit the name of the psychologist who shall serve as the Practice Monitor to the Board's Executive Director for approval within ten (10) business days of the effective date

6

9

8

1213

11

15

14

16 17

18 19

2122

20

2324

25

26

of this Order. Within twenty (20) business days of the effective date of this Order, Respondent shall enter into the Practice Monitor agreement. The Practice Monitor shall have no familial or business relationship with Respondent.

- 18. Respondent shall meet with the Practice Monitor at least twice per month for a minimum of two hours each session during the probationary period. The Practice Monitor shall review a minimum of five of Respondent's recent patient records each quarter and discuss with Respondent the Monitor's findings, conclusions, and recommendations for improvement. The Practice Monitor shall also discuss Respondent's general business/clinical practices and recordkeeping. The Practice Monitor shall submit to the Board quarterly written reports of the meetings, to include topics covered and any modifications made to Respondent's practice. The Practice Monitor shall provide written reports to the Board within 30 days after the end of each quarter. The first quarter shall begin on the date of the first session between the Respondent and the Practice Monitor. Before the conclusion of the probationary period, the Practice Monitor is to complete a final written report to the Board summarizing their interaction with Respondent, topics discussed, areas of progress, matters of remaining concern, and overall impressions. The final report shall be submitted to the Board at least 30 days prior to the Board meeting at which the Board will consider Respondent's written request to terminate probation as set forth in paragraph 21. Respondent shall present this Order to the Board-approved Practice Monitor before the first meeting. The first meeting between Respondent and the Practice Monitor shall occur within 45 days of the effective date of this Order.
- 19. If, during the probationary period, the Practice Monitor is unable or unwilling to continue to act as Respondent's Practice Monitor, within ten (10) business days of the Practice Monitor's termination of the practice monitor relationship, Respondent shall contact the Executive Director in writing and present another potential Practice Monitor for approval. Respondent shall notify the Board of her new Practice Monitor within twenty (20) business days of receiving approval. The sessions between Respondent and the Practice Monitor that occurred prior to the effective date of this Order shall not count toward those sessions required under this Order.

- 20. **CONTINUING EDUCATION:** Prior to the end of the term of probation and, in addition to the continuing education requirements that are required by rule for license renewal, Respondent shall complete an additional twenty-four (24) hours of continuing education in the areas of forensic psychology, working with families involved in custody proceedings, and recordkeeping. All continuing education courses must be **pre-approved** by the Board's Executive Director or designee. Within fourteen (14) days of completing this continuing education, Respondent shall submit the certificates of completion to the Executive Director.
- 21. **TERMINATION OF PROBATION:** Unless otherwise ordered by the Board, at the end of 12 months and upon the Practice Monitor's recommendation, Respondent shall petition the Board, in writing, and request termination from probation and monitoring. If the Board determines that Respondent has not complied with the requirements of this Order, the Board may either (a) continue the probation, or (b) institute proceedings for noncompliance with this Order, which may result in the suspension, revocation, or other disciplinary or remedial action.
- 22. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** The effective date of this Order is 35 days after the date that an executed Copy of the Order is mailed to Respondent.
- 23. <u>CONSIDERATION IN FUTURE ACTIONS:</u> Respondent understands that this Order, or any part thereof, may be considered in any future disciplinary action against her.
- 24. **FINAL RESOLUTION:** This Order constitutes a final resolution of these disciplinary matters but does not constitute a dismissal or resolution of other matters currently pending before the Board, if any, and does not constitute any waiver, expressed or implied, of the Board's statutory authority or jurisdiction regarding any other pending or future investigations, actions, or proceedings. Further, this Order does not preclude any other agency, subdivision, or officer of this State from instituting other civil or criminal proceedings with respect to the conduct that is the subject of this Order.
- 25. <u>COSTS:</u> The Respondent shall be responsible for all costs incurred as a result of her compliance with this Order.
  - 26. **NON-COMPLIANCE:** If Respondent fails to comply with the terms of this Order, the

Board may properly institute proceedings for noncompliance, which may result in suspension, revocation, or other disciplinary or remedial actions. Violation of this Order is a violation of A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(aa), which is "violating a formal board order, consent agreement, term of probation or stipulated agreement issued under this chapter."

- 27. **NON-RENEWAL OR LATE RENEWAL:** If Respondent fails to renew her license while under the terms of this Order and subsequently applies for late renewal of license or a new license, the remaining terms of this Order shall be imposed if the late renewal or reapplication for license is granted.
- 28. **TOLLING:** If Respondent for any reason stops practicing psychology Arizona for more than 30 consecutive days or leaves Arizona to reside or practice psychology outside of Arizona, the periods of temporary or permanent residency or practice outside Arizona or the non-practice within Arizona do not reduce the duration of the terms under this Order. Respondent shall notify the Board in writing within five (5) business days of the dates of departure or the dates of non-practice in Arizona.
- 29. **PUBLIC RECORD:** This Order is a public record that may be publicly disseminated as a formal action of the Board and reported to the National Practitioner Data Bank.
- 30. **RIGHT TO PETITION FOR REHEARING OR REVIEW:** Respondent is hereby notified that she has the right to petition for a rehearing or view. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1092.09, the petition for rehearing or review must be filed with the Board's Executive Director within 30 days after service of this Order. Pursuant to A.A.C. R4-25-308, the petition must set forth legally sufficient reasons for granting a rehearing. Service of this Order is effective five days after date of mailing. If a motion for rehearing is not filed, this Order becomes effective 35 days after it is mailed to Respondent.

Respondent is further notified that the filing of a motion for rehearing is required to preserve any rights of appeal to the Superior Court.

DATED THIS **19** day of August, 2022. 2 ARIZONA BOARD OF 3 **PSYCHOLOGIST EXAMINERS** 4 Huide Horest Paastonen 5 Heidi Herbst Paakkonen 6 **Executive Director ORIGINAL** of the foregoing filed electronically this **19** day of August 2022, with: 9 The Arizona State Board of Psychologist Examiners 1740 W. Adams St., Suite 3403 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 11 COPY mailed by Regular & Certified Mail No. \_\_\_9489009000276379774999 12 this **19** day of August 2022, to: 13 Karen Hawk, Psy.D. Address on Record Respondent 15 COPY of the foregoing mailed by USPS regular mail 16 this 19 day of August 2022, to: 17 Mandi J. Karvis, Esq. Wicker Smith 18 One N. Central Avenue, Suite 885 19 Phoenix, AZ 85004 mkarvis@wickersmith.com 20 Attorney for Respondent 21 **COPY** of the foregoing via email (jeanne.galvin@azag.gov) this **19** day of August 2022, to: 22 Jeanne M. Galvin 23 **Assistant Attorney General** 2005 North Central Ave. SGD/LES 24 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 25 Attorney for the State of Arizona Jennifer Michaelsen 26