



STATE OF ARIZONA  
BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGIST EXAMINERS  
1740 WEST ADAMS STREET, SUITE 3403  
PHOENIX, AZ 85007  
PH: 602.542.8162 FX: 602.926.8095  
WEBSITE: [www.psychboard.az.gov](http://www.psychboard.az.gov)

DOUGLAS A. DUCEY  
Governor

HEIDI HERBST PAAKKONEN, M.P.A.  
Executive Director

## *Regular Session Meeting Minutes*

**Held virtually via Zoom on December 9, 2022**

### ***Board Members***

Diana Davis-Wilson, DBH, BCBA – Chair  
Bryan Davey, Ph.D., BCBA-D – Vice-Chair  
Linda Caterino, Ph.D., ABPP – Secretary  
Aditya Dynar, Esq.  
Diana Medina, Ph.D.  
Matthew A. Meier, Psy.D.  
Ramona N. Mellott, Ph.D.  
Tamara Shreeve, MPA  
Joseph Stewart, Ed.D.  
Todd Wynn, M.A.

### **1. CALL TO ORDER**

Chairwoman Davis-Wilson called the Board's meeting to order at 8:32 a.m.

### **2. ROLL CALL**

The following Board members participated in the virtual meeting: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson, Dr. Caterino, Dr. Davey (joined at 8:35 a.m.), Mr. Dynar, Dr. Medina, Dr. Meier, Dr. Stewart and Mr. Wynn. The following Board members were not present in the virtual meeting: Dr. Mellott and Ms. Shreeve.

### **ALSO PRESENT**

The following Board staff participated in the virtual meeting: Heidi Herbst Paakkonen, Executive Director; Jennifer Michaelsen, Deputy Director; Jeanne Galvin, Assistant Attorney General; Kathy Fowkes, Licensing Specialist, Zakiya Mallas, Licensing Specialist, and Krishna Poe, Projects Specialist.

### **3. REMARKS/ANNOUNCEMENTS**

#### ● **Board Survey**

Chairwoman Davis-Wilson encouraged meeting attendees to provide feedback by contacting Board staff and completing a Board Meeting Assessment Survey.

#### ● **Board Member and Staff Appreciation**

Chairwoman Davis-Wilson acknowledged and thanked Board members and staff for their hard work and efforts in facilitating the Board's meetings.

#### ● **Continuing education credit for Board meeting attendance**

Chairwoman Davis-Wilson announced that meeting attendees were eligible for continuing education credit if the meeting exceeded four hours.

#### **4. CALL TO THE PUBLIC**

There were no requests to speak from the public.

#### **5. COUNSEL UPDATE**

Ms. Galvin stated that the matter for agenda item #8 is currently scheduled for a Formal Hearing. She will discuss details of the case when the Board reviews this agenda item.

#### **6. CONSENT AGENDA - DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION**

**Mr. Dynar recused from voting on the November 4, 2022, Regular and Executive Session minutes as well as the November 22, 2022, Regular Session Minutes.**

**MOTION: Dr. Caterino moved for the Board to approve the items listed under the Consent Agenda.  
SECOND: Dr. Stewart.**

**VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson, Dr. Caterino, Dr. Davey, Mr. Dynar, Dr. Medina, Dr. Meier, Dr. Stewart and Mr. Wynn.**

**VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse.**

**MOTION PASSED.**

#### **A. APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

- **November 4, 2022 Regular Session Minutes**
- **November 4, 2022 Executive Session Minutes**
- **November 22, 2022 Regular Session Minutes**

#### **B. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT**

#### **C. DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING BEHAVIOR ANALYST APPLICATIONS**

- 1) Omar Moreno Salinas, M.S.
- 2) Antoinette McCully, M.A.
- 3) Cheri Wood, M.A.
- 4) Yannick Espinoza, Psy.D.
- 5) Alisa Santana, M.S.
- 6) Bonnie Keys, M.Ed.
- 7) Yvette Sierra, M.A.
- 8) Nikolaus Martin, M.A.
- 9) Maria Arias, M.S.
- 10) Kalyn Harvat, M.S.
- 11) Juan Ruiz, M.A.
- 12) Danielle Hackett, M.A.
- 13) Ali Redjaian, Psy.D.
- 14) Jaime Scourfield, M.S.
- 15) Rachelle Huntington, Psy.D.

- D. DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO COMPLETE THE CONTINUING EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS FROM JEANETTE HIGGINS, PSY.D.**
- E. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING REQUEST FOR BEHAVIOR ANALYST APPLICATION WITHDRAWAL BY AMY ANGELL**
- F. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING BEHAVIOR ANALYST APPLICATION WITHDRAWAL REQUEST FROM LINLY DAI, M.A.**
- G. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING LICENSE REINSTATEMENT REQUEST FROM HEATHER TAHLER, PSY.D.**

**TIMED ITEM – 9:30 a.m.**

**7. INFORMAL INTERVIEW – NEAL OLSHAN, PH.D. – COMPLAINT NO. 22-30**

**DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION RELATING TO ALLEGATIONS OF UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT, PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, POSSIBLE DISCIPLINE AND/OR OFFER OF A CONSENT AGREEMENT OR REFERRAL TO A FORMAL HEARING**

Chairwoman Davis-Wilson reviewed the Board’s Informal Interview procedures. Board members introduced themselves. Dr. Olshan introduced himself and indicated that he is not represented by legal counsel. Chairwoman Davis-Wilson reviewed the allegations and possible violations to include the following, A.R.S. §§32-2061(16)(C) possibly making or using statements of a character tending to deceive or mislead; (E) possible gross negligence in the practice of a psychologist; (G) possibly engaging or offering to engage as a psychologist in activities that are not congruent with the psychologist’s professional education, training and experience; (H) possibly failing or refusing to maintain and retain adequate business, financial or professional records pertaining to the psychological services provided to a client or patient; (J) possibly making a fraudulent or untrue statement to the board or its investigators, staff or consultants; (O) possibly providing services that are unnecessary or unsafe or otherwise engaging in activities as a psychologist that are unprofessional by current standards of practice; (V) possibly abandoning or neglecting a client or patient in need of immediate care without making suitable arrangements for continuation of the care; (aa) possibly violating a formal board order, consent agreement, term of probation or stipulated agreement issued under this chapter and (dd) possibly violating an ethical standard adopted by the board as it pertains to the American Psychological Association Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct regarding sections 2.04 bases for scientific and professional judgments; 3.10 informed consent; 9.03 informed consent in assessments; and 10.01 informed consent to therapy. Chairwoman Davis-Wilson swore in Dr. Olshan.

Chairwoman Davis-Wilson provided a summary of the complaint submitted by the wife of Father, D.J., on his behalf. The Board office received the Complaint on April 29, 2022. The complaint alleges that Dr. Olshan treated D.J.’s son without his consent, did not interview D.J. as part of the custody evaluation, and made a custody recommendation without interviewing all relevant parties. Accordingly, Mother of son (Mother) filed a motion with the family court to modify terms of the custody arrangement after receiving Dr. Olshan’s report. She supplied the report to the court. Mother initiated services with Dr. Olshan in October 2021; Son had six sessions with Dr. Olshan. In February 2022, Dr. Olshan authored a report which stated that Mother should have  $\frac{3}{4}$  time custody and D.J. should have supervised visitation. Dr. Olshan responded to the complaint allegations in a timely manner. Dr. Olshan stated that he has concerns that D.J.’s current wife submitted the complaint. Dr. Olshan indicated that he has concerns regarding his safety as D.J. was terminated from his employment with the police department. Dr. Olshan stated that D.J. did not appeal any of the charges against him during his termination from the police department. Dr. Olshan stated that the complaint is not a true picture of D.J.’s relationship with son. Dr. Olshan attested that he was assured by multiple parties that D.J. was informed of his treatment of son. Dr. Olshan stated that he never denied interviewing D.J. Dr. Olshan attempted to contact D.J. with no response. Dr. Olshan denied conducting a

psychological evaluation of son. Chairwoman Davis-Wilson stated that at the Board's September 2, 2022, meeting it requested that Dr. Olshan provide documentation of his attempts to contact D.J. Ms. Michaelsen stated that she attempted to obtain Dr. Olshan's phone records. Board staff contacted Dr. Olshan to verify the number in which he contacted D.J. Dr. Olshan responded stating that he utilized a colleague's office phone to call D.J. Dr. Olshan further stated that his friend's office is "highly confidential" and this individual will not comply with releasing phone records. Ultimately, Board staff was unsuccessful in obtaining phone records.

Chairwoman Davis-Wilson asked Dr. Olshan if he reviewed the investigative file in preparation of today's meeting. Dr. Olshan stated that he did not review the investigative file and he disagrees with all allegations. He stated that he believes that the Board is prejudiced and the Board has left out numerous facts. Dr. Olshan stated that he does not want to proceed with the Board's informal process and requests that this matter be reviewed by an Administrative Law Judge. Dr. Olshan stated that he wants to depose D.J., the Son, the Mother and all relevant parties. Dr. Olshan stated that he believes the Board is trying to "destroy his life". Dr. Olshan stated that, due to action taken by the Board for a previous matter, all of his contracts have been revoked and that patients have discontinued seeing him. Ms. Galvin clarified with Dr. Olshan that he does not want to proceed with the Informal Interview and wishes that this matter be referred to a Formal Hearing; Dr. Olshan affirmed.

**MOTION: Dr. Stewart moved to forward this matter to a Formal Hearing at the request of Dr. Olshan. SECOND: Dr. Meier.**

**ROLL CALL VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson, Dr. Caterino, Dr. Davey, Mr. Dynar, Dr. Medina, Dr. Meier, Dr. Stewart and Mr. Wynn.**

**VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse.**

**MOTION PASSED.**

Ms. Galvin asked the Board if it would consider Dr. Olshan's voluntary surrender of his license in lieu of a formal hearing. Board members indicated a voluntary surrender of Dr. Olshan's license would be acceptable.

**THE FOLLOWING AGENDA ITEMS ARE UNTIMED AND MAY BE DISCUSSED AND DECIDED UPON AT VARIOUS TIMES THROUGHOUT THE MEETING AT THE DISCRETION OF THE CHAIR**

**8. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING ACCEPTING SIGNED CONSENT AGREEMENT FOR SURRENDER OF PSYCHOLOGIST LICENSE FROM AUSTIN MCCALL, PSY.D. IN CASE NO. 23F-2047-PSY AND VACATING THE SCHEDULED FORMAL HEARING**

Ms. Michaelsen provided a summary stating that Dr. McCall's psychologist license was summarily suspended at the Board's October 12, 2022, meeting for non-compliance with the Consent Agreement as it relates to positive drug test results. At that meeting, the Board voted to remand the case to a Formal Hearing before an Administrative Law Judge with the Office of Administrative Hearings to pursue revocation of Dr. McCall's license. The Formal Hearing was initially scheduled for November 17, 2022, but, at the request of Dr. McCall's attorney, Tammy Thibodeau, the Formal Hearing was rescheduled for December 14 - 15, 2022. On October 24, 2022, the Formal Hearing notice was sent to Dr. McCall and Ms. Thibodeau. Ms. Michaelsen indicated that a proposed Consent Agreement for voluntary surrender of Dr. McCall's psychologist license was also sent to them for consideration. The proposed Consent Agreement detailed findings of fact and conclusions of law discussed at the Board's October 12, 2022, meeting. On November 29, 2022, Ms. Thibodeau notified staff by email that Dr. McCall had chosen to surrender her license in lieu of proceeding with the scheduled hearing. Ms. Thibodeau included the signed Consent Agreement for voluntary surrender of Dr. McCall's license in the email communication. Ms. Michaelsen stated that the Consent Agreement is before the Board for consideration as a final resolution in this matter. Ms. Michaelsen reminded the Board that a Consent Agreement for voluntary surrender of a license is disciplinary action and is reportable to the National Practitioners Data Bank. Dr. Stewart thanked Board staff for their time and effort in resolving this matter. Dr. McCall was not present.

**MOTION: Dr. Meier moved to accept the proposed Consent Agreement for the voluntary surrender of Dr. McCall’s license and to vacate the Formal Hearing.**

**SECOND: Dr. Stewart.**

**ROLL CALL VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson, Dr. Caterino, Dr. Davey, Mr. Dynar, Dr. Medina, Dr. Meier, Dr. Stewart and Mr. Wynn.**

**VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse.**

**MOTION PASSED.**

## **9. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING ELECTION OF 2023 OFFICERS**

- a. Chair
- b. Vice-Chair
- c. Secretary

Ms. Paakkonen provided a summary stating that she solicited Board members to determine interest in serving as Chair, Vice-Chair and Secretary of the Board for 2023, and that she has supplied that information to the Board for consideration. Board members discussed the nominations for Chair, Vice-Chair and Secretary.

**Dr. Meier nominated Dr. Davey to serve as Chair who accepted that nomination.**

**VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the nomination: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson, Dr. Caterino, Mr. Dynar, Dr. Medina, Dr. Meier, Dr. Stewart and Mr. Wynn. The following Board member abstained from voting: Dr. Davey.**

**VOTE: 7-yay, 0-nay, 1-abstain, 0-recuse.**

**MOTION PASSED.**

**Dr. Stewart nominated Dr. Meier to serve as Vice-Chair who accepted that nomination.**

**VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the nomination: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson, Dr. Caterino, Dr. Davey, Mr. Dynar, Dr. Medina, Dr. Stewart and Mr. Wynn. The following Board member abstained from voting: Dr. Meier.**

**VOTE: 7-yay, 0-nay, 1-abstain, 0-recuse.**

**MOTION PASSED.**

**Dr. Stewart nominated Mr. Dynar to serve as Vice-Chair who accepted that nomination.**

**VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the nomination: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson, Dr. Caterino, Dr. Davey, Dr. Medina, Dr. Meier, Dr. Stewart and Mr. Wynn. The following Board member abstained from voting: Mr. Dynar.**

**VOTE: 7-yay, 0-nay, 1-abstain, 0-recuse.**

**MOTION PASSED.**

## **10. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING APPLICATION TO CREATE A NEW SPECIALTY WITHIN THE SCOPE OF PRACTICE FOR PSYCHOLOGISTS PROPOSED BY THE ARIZONA PSYCHOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION (AzPA)**

Ms. Paakkonen provided a summary stating that the Arizona Psychological Association (AzPA) requested that the Board consider expanding the scope of practice to give qualifying psychologists prescription authority. Representatives from AzPA, Dr. Jeff Thomas, Dr. Warren Littleford and Steven Moortel, Esq. were present. Dr. Thomas stated that the draft legislation does not expand the scope of practice for psychologists but rather creates a new license for psychologists with prescriptive authority. Dr. Davey asked Dr. Thomas to provide clarification as to how the new license would be implemented. Dr. Thomas clarified that the Board

would regulate prescribing psychologists. Dr. Littleford explained that there are other jurisdictions in which the psychology Board regulates prescribing psychologists. Dr. Davey asked whether a Committee would be formed under the Board that specializes in prescribing medications. Dr. Littleford stated that there are psychologists that are Nurse Practitioners. Dr. Thomas stated that there are many psychologists in Arizona that have gone through the training to prescribe medications. Dr. Thomas indicated that there are individuals in Arizona that specialize in prescribing medications that would volunteer their time to the Board.

Mr. Dynar asked if AzPA has gone through the sunrise process and obtained a sponsor for the legislation. Mr. Moortel stated that AzPA began the sunrise process November 1, 2022. Mr. Moortel stated that AzPA has met with stakeholders and has been working with Senator Shope and legislative staff. Dr. Caterino asked about the provision of medical doctors supervising prescribing psychologists. Dr. Thomas stated that they have identified medical doctors that are willing to provide supervision to prescribing psychologists. Dr. Caterino asked about whether the supervising medical doctor would be held accountable for the psychologist under their supervision. Mr. Moortel stated that the draft legislation models New Mexico's legislation. Mr. Moortel stated that it is required that the psychologist have malpractice/liability insurance. Chairwoman Davis-Wilson opined that the legislation provides integrative care between the health professionals. Dr. Medina explained that if the medical doctor directs the psychologist to prescribe a medication then the medical doctor may be liable. Dr. Thomas stated that the goal is a collaborative relationship between the health professionals. Dr. Stewart asked about Board complaints of a prescribing psychologist. Ms. Galvin stated that if the medical doctor may have committed unprofessional conduct it would be under the jurisdiction of the Arizona Medical Board. Dr. Stewart stated that the draft legislation references the 2017 American Psychological Association Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct (ethical code). Ms. Paakkonen confirmed that the Board has adopted an earlier version of the ethical code and that a revised version of the application reflects this correction.

Dr. Stewart asked for clarification regarding how long a psychologist will be required to be under supervision. Mr. Moortel stated that a psychologist will be required to undergo 150 hours of supervision. Ms. Paakkonen stated that she will be attending the legislative hearings to provide information if necessary. Ms. Paakkonen stated that the Board may direct her to provide information to the legislature. Mr. Dynar asked about the draft legislation that indicates that the Board, the Arizona Medical Board and the Arizona Osteopathic Medical Board would work together. Mr. Moortel stated that the language is broad to allow the Board's to work together if necessary. Mr. Dynar asked which Board would create the supervised practicum. Dr. Thomas stated that the language regarding the practicum is a draft and anticipates that the language will be revised. Mr. Dynar asked when AzPA plans to run the legislation. Mr. Moortel stated that AzPA plans to run legislation in the 2023 legislative session. Mr. Moortel expects revisions to the draft legislation once additional stakeholder meetings are held. Mr. Dynar asked whether AzPA has met with the Arizona Medical Board and the Arizona Osteopathic Medical Board. Mr. Moortel affirmed those meetings have occurred. Dr. Meier opined that the term "supervision" may be confusing. Dr. Meier asked whether the Arizona Medical Board and the Arizona Osteopathic Medical Board support the legislation. Mr. Moortel stated that neither Board has seen the draft legislation. Dr. Thomas stated that AzPA has introduced this legislation during previous legislative sessions therefore both Boards are familiar with AzPA's goals regarding prescriptive authority for psychologists. Ms. Galvin asked whether AzPA has reached out to the Arizona Board of Pharmacy regarding the draft legislation. Mr. Moortel indicated that AzPA has not yet contacted the Arizona Board of Pharmacy.

Dr. Davey asked if the legislation becomes effective what changes will the Board need to undergo in order to update rules and statutes to support the legislation. Ms. Paakkonen explained that if the legislation becomes effective it will change Board statutes as a result. Ms. Paakkonen further explained that the legislative council also reviews current statutes that may need to be revised when drafting new legislation. Ms. Paakkonen indicated that rules will require promulgation. Dr. Stewart referenced case law regarding physicians speaking to medications in court situations, and discussed how the draft legislation may affect involuntary commitment. Dr. Meier asked about controlled substances. Dr. Thomas answered stating that the goal of the legislation is to allow psychologists to prescribe medications for addiction/substance abuse. Dr. Thomas stated that psychologists would not prescribe medications to treat pain. Dr. Stewart discussed psychologists

prescribing non-opioid medication that may be addictive. Dr. Thomas reviewed prescribing statistics in other jurisdictions. Dr. Thomas stated that statistics indicate that psychologists prescribe medications as part of the therapy. Mr. Dynar commented that the Board may consider a study session regarding New Mexico's experience in passing prescribing authority legislation for psychologists. Dr. Thomas stated that AzPA has provided information regarding New Mexico's prescriptive authority for psychologists. Dr. Thomas stated that New Mexico has allowed psychologists to prescribe medications for 20 years. Dr. Caterino addressed ADHD medications and other medications utilized for psychological disorders. Chairwoman Davis-Wilson addressed the issue of the shortage of psychiatrists in Arizona. Chairwoman Davis-Wilson discussed the work involved in creating a new license. Ms. Paakkonen suggested the bill could include an additional appropriation to the Board to effectively address those costs.

The Board recessed at 9:46 a.m. and resumed open session at 9:58 a.m.

## **11. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING RECOMMENDATION FROM THE COMMITTEE ON BEHAVIOR ANALYSTS REGARDING THE FOLLOWING LICENSE REINSTATEMENT REQUESTS**

### **a. Dana Cruz, M.A.**

Chairwoman Davis-Wilson provided a summary stating that the Board's Committee on Behavior Analysts (CBA) reviewed Ms. Cruz's reinstatement request. Ms. Cruz's license expired on October 31, 2022. Ms. Cruz requested to reinstate her license on November 10, 2022; in the interim she had continued to practice. Chairwoman Davis-Wilson stated that the CBA is recommending that the Board accept Ms. Cruz's reinstatement request and to discuss Ms. Cruz's period of unlicensed practice at a future Board meeting. Ms. Cruz was present for questions.

**MOTION: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson moved to reinstate Ms. Cruz's license and to discuss Ms. Cruz's unlicensed practice at a future meeting.**

**SECOND: Dr. Stewart.**

**Dr. Davey noted that there has been an increase in behavior analysts not renewing their license in a timely manner.**

**VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson, Dr. Caterino, Dr. Davey, Mr. Dynar, Dr. Medina, Dr. Meier, Dr. Stewart and Mr. Wynn.**

**VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse.**

**MOTION PASSED.**

### **b. Amy Dalmendray, M.A.**

Chairwoman Davis-Wilson provided a summary stating that the Board's Committee on Behavior Analysts (CBA) reviewed Ms. Dalmendray's reinstatement request. Ms. Dalmendray's license expired in September 2022. Ms. Cruz requested to reinstate her license in November 2022; in the interim she continued to practice. Chairwoman Davis-Wilson stated the CBA is recommending that the Board accept Ms. Dalmendray's reinstatement request and to discuss Ms. Dalmendray's unlicensed practice at a future Board meeting. Ms. Dalmendray was present and made a statement. Ms. Dalmendray acknowledged that she did not renew timely. Additionally, Ms. Dalmendray stated that she practices under a licensed behavior analyst. Ms. Dalmendray indicated that she will provide documentation of her supervision.

**MOTION: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson moved to reinstate Ms. Dalmendray's license and to discuss Ms. Dalmendray's unlicensed practice at a future meeting.**

**SECOND: Dr. Davey.**

**VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson, Dr. Caterino, Dr. Davey, Mr. Dynar, Dr. Medina, Dr. Meier, Dr. Stewart and Mr. Wynn.**

**VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse.**

**MOTION PASSED.**

## **12. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING APPLICATION FOR BEHAVIOR ANALYST LICENSE FROM ELENA KALLAS, M.S.**

Chairwoman Davis-Wilson recused from reviewing this item and left the meeting room.

Dr. Davey provided a summary to the Board stating that Ms. Kallas' application meets the requirements of statute and rule.

**MOTION: Dr. Davey moved to grant Ms. Kallas' application for licensure.**

**SECOND: Mr. Dynar.**

**VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Dr. Caterino, Dr. Davey, Dr. Meier, Mr. Dynar, Dr. Medina, Dr. Meier, Dr. Stewart and Mr. Wynn. The following Board member recused from voting on the motion: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson.**

**VOTE: 7-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 1-recuse.**

**MOTION PASSED.**

## **13. NEW AGENDA ITEMS FOR FUTURE MEETINGS**

Mr. Dynar asked if an item regarding the Committee on Behavior Analysts (CBA) review of applications and unlicensed practice will be on a future meeting agenda. Chairwoman Davis-Wilson confirmed that an item regarding the CBA review of applications and unlicensed practice will be reviewed by the Board at a future meeting.

Dr. Stewart requested that prescriptive authority for psychologists be on a future meeting agenda.

Dr. Caterino requested that consistency in disciplinary actions be placed on a future meeting agenda.

Dr. Medina asked if there is new information regarding the Examination for Professional Practice in Psychology (EPPP) Part 2. Ms. Paakkonen confirmed there is an item regarding the EPPP Part 2 on the Board's January 13, 2023 agenda given the unavailability of ASPPB representatives to attend this meeting.

## **14. ADJOURNMENT**

**MOTION: Dr. Stewart.**

**SECOND: Mr. Wynn.**

**VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson, Dr. Caterino, Dr. Davey, Mr. Dynar, Dr. Medina, Dr. Meier, Dr. Stewart and Mr. Wynn.**

**VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse.**

**MOTION PASSED.**



The meeting adjourned at 10:52 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

*Linda C. Caterino Ph.D., ABPP*

Linda C. Caterino, Ph.D., ABPP  
Secretary