BEFORE THE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGIST EXAMINERS FOR THE STATE OF ARIZONA

2

1

3

4

In the Matter of:

SUE MOLER, Psy.D.

in the State of Arizona

Holder of License No. PSY-005408

for the Practice of Psychology

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

27

26

28

Case No. 23-09

CONSENT AGREEMENT AND ORDER FOR CONTINUING EDUCATION

On February 10, 2023, the Arizona Board of Psychologist Examiners ("Board") met virtually in open session to discuss the above-referenced case. Sue Moler, Psy.D. ("Respondent") appeared virtually with her attorney, Joseph Schenk, Esq. After discussion, consideration, and deliberation, the Board voted to offer Respondent this Consent Agreement and Order for Continuing Education in lieu of further administrative proceedings.

JURISDICTION

- 1. The Board is authorized to regulate the practice of psychology in Arizona pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2061, et seq, and the rules promulgated thereunder, found in Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C." or "rules") at R4-26-101, et seq.
- Respondent is the holder of license number PSY-005408 for the practice of 2. psychology in the State of Arizona.
- 3. The Board has personal and subject matter jurisdiction over Respondent pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2061, et seg., and the rules at A.A.C. R4-26-101, et seg.

RECITALS

Respondent understands and agrees that:

- The Board and Respondent enter into this Consent Agreement to promptly and 1. judiciously resolve these matters, consistent with the public interest and the statutory requirements of the Board.
- 2. Respondent has the right to consult with an attorney before entering into this Consent Agreement.

- 3. Respondent has a right to a formal public hearing concerning this case where she could present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. Respondent irrevocably waives any right to such a hearing, rehearing or review or to any judicial review or other appeal of this matter upon this Consent Agreement becoming effective.
- 4. Although Respondent does not agree that all of the allegations in Complaint No. 23-09 are supported by the evidence, Respondent acknowledges that it is the Board's position that if these matters proceeded to formal hearing, the Board could offer sufficient evidence to support a conclusion that certain of Respondent's conduct constituted unprofessional conduct. Therefore, Respondent has agreed to enter into this Consent Agreement as an economical and practical means of resolving the issues alleged in the Complaint.
- 5. This Consent Agreement shall be subject to the Board's approval and shall be effective only when signed by the Executive Director and accepted by the Board. In the event that the Board does not approve this Consent Agreement, it is withdrawn and shall be of no evidentiary value and shall not be relied upon nor introduced in any action by any party, except that the parties agree that if the Board rejects this Consent Agreement and this case proceeds to hearing, Respondent shall assert no claim that the Board was prejudiced by its review and discussion of this document or any records relating thereto.
- 6. The Consent Agreement, once approved by the Board, evidenced by the signature of the Executive Director or her designee and signed by the Respondent, shall constitute a public record that may be disseminated as a formal action of the Board and shall be reported to the National Practitioner Data Bank.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily enters into this Consent Agreement for the purpose of avoiding the expense, uncertainty, and prolonged time involved in further administrative proceedings. The issues contained herein are resolved by settlement and not actually litigated. Any allegations and findings herein may not be used for *res judicata* or collateral estoppel effect in any subsequent civil proceedings for any claims of professional liability or negligence by or on behalf of Complainant(s).

12

13 14

16 17

15

18 19

20 21

23 24

22

25 26

27

- Respondent acknowledges and agrees that the acceptance of this Consent 8. Agreement is solely to settle this Board matter and does not preclude the Board from instituting other proceedings as may be appropriate now or in the future.
- Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement does not constitute a dismissal or resolution of any other matters currently pending before the Board, if any, and does not constitute any waiver, express or implied, of the Board's statutory authority or jurisdiction regarding any other pending or future investigation, action or proceeding.
- Furthermore, and notwithstanding any language in this Consent Agreement, this 10. Consent Agreement does not preclude in any way any other state agency or officer or political subdivision of this state from instituting proceedings, investigating claims, or taking legal action as may be appropriate now or in the future relating to this matter other matters concerning Respondent, including but not limited to, violations of Arizona's Consumer Fraud Act. Respondent acknowledges that, other than with respect to the Board, this Consent Agreement makes no representations, implied or otherwise, about the views or intended actions of any other state agency or officer or political subdivision of the state relating to this matter or other matters concerning Respondent.
- Respondent acknowledges and agrees that, upon signing this Consent Agreement 11. and returning this document to the Board's Executive Director, she may not revoke acceptance of the Consent Agreement or make any modifications to the document regardless of whether the Consent Agreement has been signed by the Executive Director. Any modification to this original document is ineffective and void unless mutually agreed by the parties in writing.
- This Consent Agreement is subject to the approval of the Board and is effective 12. only when accepted by the Board and signed by the Executive Director. In the event that the Board does not approve this Consent Agreement, it is withdrawn and shall be of no evidentiary value and shall not be relied upon nor introduced in any action by any party, except that the parties agree that should the Board reject this Consent Agreement and this case proceeds to hearing, Respondent shall assert no claim that the Board was prejudiced by its review and

Į

discussion of this document or any records relating thereto.

- 13. If a court of competent jurisdiction rules that any part of this Consent Agreement is void or otherwise unenforceable, the remainder of the Consent Agreement shall remain in full force and effect.
- 14. Respondent understands that any violation of this Consent Agreement constitutes unprofessional conduct and may result in disciplinary action, pursuant to A.R.S. §32-2061(16)(aa).
- Respondent agrees that the Board will adopt the following Findings of Fact,
 Conclusions of Law and Order.

ACCEPTED AND AGREED BY RESPONDENT:

Sue Moler, Psy.D.

Respondent

2/27/2023

4 5

7 8

6

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Respondent was issued her psychologist license by the Board on April 15, 2021.
- 2. Since obtaining licensure, Respondent has been employed as a licensed psychologist with LifeStance Health, Inc. ("Agency").
- 3. In July 2021, a 15 year old female ("Client") began individual therapy services with a licensed psychologist at Agency. Client's parents divorced in 2010, and they have shared joint legal custody of Client since that time. Custody proceedings were ongoing in family court.
 - 4. Only Mother signed Agency's intake paperwork for Client's treatment.
- 5. Client was subsequently transferred to Respondent's caseload, as the prior therapist was only able to provide sessions in a virtual capacity whereas Respondent was able to meet in person for sessions at one of Agency's offices, which the parents preferred.
 - 6. Client's first session with Respondent took place on September 16, 2021.
- 7. Respondent had a virtual meeting with Mother and Father on September 22, 2021, to obtain background information and to discuss treatment goals. This appointment occurred before Client's second session.
- 8. During the course of therapy, in March of 2022, Client submitted a petition for emancipation with the Maricopa Superior Court. She was 16 years old at the time. These proceedings were separate from the parent's custody case in family court.
- The Court subsequently appointed a Guardian Ad Litem ("GAL") for Client 9. regarding her pending emancipation case.
- The GAL contacted Respondent regarding Client's treatment. Respondent obtained Mother's written consent to release information to the GAL but did not obtain Father's written consent.
- 11. At the GAL's request, Respondent authored a two paragraph letter, dated July 18, 2022, in which she stated that she was in support of Client's emancipation. The letter contained information from Respondent's perspective that justified her support of Client's emancipation. Respondent submitted the letter to the GAL but she did not provide the parents with a copy. The

8

11

26

28

letter was not addressed to anyone.

- The GAL submitted the letter to the Court in anticipation of an upcoming evidentiary hearing that was scheduled for August 23, 2022, regarding the emancipation petition.
- The GAL, acting in her capacity as the legal representative of Client, then had a Superior Court Subpoena served on Respondent, requiring her to appear at the scheduled hearing and provide testimony. While Respondent did obtain Mother's consent on June 24, 2022, to speak with the GAL, she did not obtain Father's written consent to speak with the GAL. Additionally, Respondent did not formally object to the subpoena, and she did not obtain written consent from either prior to her testimony.
 - 14. Respondent testified at the August 23, 2022, hearing regarding Client's treatment.
- Client's next and last session with Respondent occurred on August 31, 2022. At that time, Respondent notified Client that treatment was being terminated as Respondent believed the therapeutic relationship had deteriorated as a result of Father's interference. Respondent provided names of other licensed professionals during this session. Respondent's "Termination Summary" indicates that, "Her father had some therapeutic interfering behaviors, leading the therapist to not feel safe and damage the therapeutic alliance between client and therapist." This was Client's last session with Respondent.
- 16. Agency issued a letter addressed to the parents on September 1, 2022, indicating that Client's treatment has been terminated "as it appears that [Agency] is not an appropriate provider of care for you at this time." The names of three licensed professionals at other agencies were listed in the letter along with the information for a behavioral health hospital.
- 17. On September 9, 2022, Father filed a complaint with the Board against Respondent. The complainant alleged, inter alia, that Respondent:
 - a. Has done irreparable harm to Client by failing to properly treat her trauma.
 - Failed to include the parents in the counseling and provided them with very little information about Client's treatment.
 - c. Inserted herself in the court case by writing a letter to the Court and only

26

27

28

provided the letter to the GAL, not the parents, which they only received after the court hearing.

- d. Made an inappropriate recommendation in her letter regarding Client's emancipation despite acknowledging during her subsequent testimony that she has no prior knowledge or experience with emancipation matters.
- e. Inappropriately terminated Client's treatment.
- f. Shared information with Client that Father reported to Respondent by email about his concerns regarding Client.
- g. Her actions have resulted in the deterioration of Client and Father's relationship.
- 18. Following submission of this complaint, the Court denied Client's petition for emancipation, noting in a minute entry dated October 18, 2022, in part, that, "...the Court concludes [Client] has not met her burden of proof by clear and convincing evidence that emancipation is in her best interests. However, [Respondent's] testimony convinced this Court that maintaining the status quo is not presently in [Client's] best interests....The Court today heard from [Client], the GAL, Father, and Mother (through her counsel). (The Court acknowledges that Father takes issue with [Respondent's] testimony and conclusions, and he is not precluded from raising his objections or concerns in any family court proceeding.) The Court was also informed without contradiction that parents share 50-50 physical custody of [Client], meaning that [Client] resides for an entire week with one parent and then switches residence to the other parent's home for the following week. The Court believes that while emancipation is not in [Client's] best interests, temporary orders in the family court case are. The essence of [Respondent's] testimony was that the present custody arrangements are substantially interfering with [Client's] therapy and her ability to move beyond the previous trauma. In addition, it appears [Client's] positions were not presented in the family court case with the assistance of a best interests' attorney and that such an attorney is appropriate given the present family dynamics."
 - 19. During its investigation of the matter, the Board found that:

25

26

27

28

Ш

a. Respondent failed to obtain written consent from both parents prior to treating Client nor did Respondent verify that Father had provided informed written consent.

Furthermore, Respondent failed to request or obtain the court documentation regarding the parents' custody arrangement and legal decision making authority for Client.

- Respondent failed to obtain informed written consent from both parents prior to providing information to the GAL.
- c. Respondent fell below the standard of care when issuing the letter dated July 18, 2022. In that letter, Respondent renders an opinion on Client's request for emancipation without (1) fully understanding the requirements and consequences of emancipation; (2)conducting a complete evaluation/interview of all parties; and (3) regard to Client's capacity to function and live independently.
- d. Respondent acknowledged to the Board that she has no prior experience or knowledge of emancipation matters.
- e. Respondent did not object to the subpoena for her testimony nor did she seek the parents' written consent prior to providing testimony.
- f. Respondent shared various information with Client that Father reported to Respondent without asking him in advance if the concerns cited in his emails could be shared with Client. This contributed to the deterioration of Client and Father's relationship.
- g. The manner in which Respondent abruptly terminated Client's treatment was inappropriate in that termination was not communicated to Client.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The conduct and circumstances described in Complaint No. 23-09, if supported by the evidence, would constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to:

28

1

2

- A.R.S. §32-2061(16)(g), engaging or offering to engage as a psychologist in activities not congruent with the psychologist's professional education, training and experience;
- A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(r), failing to obtain a client's or patient's informed and written consent to release personal or otherwise confidential information to another party unless the release is otherwise authorized by law.
- 3. A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(dd), violating an ethical standard adopted by the Board as it pertains to sections 2.01 (Boundaries of Competence) and 3.10 (Informed Consent) of the American Psychological Association Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct.

ORDER

- 1. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2081(S), the Board has determined that the Respondent's conduct in Complaint No. 23-09 warrants disciplinary action. Based on the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, IT IS ORDERED THAT:
- 2. **PROBATION:** Respondent's license as a psychologist is placed on probation for a minimum period of twelve (12) months from the effective date of this Consent Agreement, unless otherwise ordered by the Board. The effective date of this Consent Agreement is the date that it is signed by the Board's Executive Director, or her designee, on behalf of the Board.
- 3. **CONTINUING EDUCATION:** Within twelve (12) months of the effective date of this Consent Agreement and, in addition to the continuing education requirements that are required by rule for license renewal, Respondent shall complete an additional twelve (12) hours of continuing education in the areas of forensics and ethics (six hours each). All continuing education courses must be pre-approved by the Board's Executive Director or designee. Within fourteen (14) days of completing this continuing education, Respondent shall submit the certificates of completion to the Executive Director or designee.
- 4. TERMINATION OF PROBATION: At the end of three (3) months, and upon the completion of the continuing education requirements set forth above, Respondent may petition the Board, in writing, and request termination from probation. If the Board determines that Respondent has not complied with the requirements of this Consent Agreement, the Board

П

may either (a) continue the probation, or (b) institute proceedings for noncompliance with this Consent Agreement, which may result in the suspension, revocation, or other disciplinary or remedial action.

- 5. EFFECTIVE DATE: Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement shall not become effective unless and until adopted by the Board and executed on behalf of the Board. Any modification to this original document is ineffective and void unless mutually approved by the parties in writing.
- 6. <u>CONSIDERATION IN FUTURE ACTIONS:</u> Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement, or any part thereof, may be considered in any future disciplinary action against her.
- 7. <u>FINAL RESOLUTION:</u> This Consent Agreement constitutes a final resolution of these disciplinary matters but does not constitute a dismissal or resolution of other matters currently pending before the Board, if any, and does not constitute any waiver, expressed or implied, of the Board's statutory authority or jurisdiction over any other pending or future investigations, actions, or proceedings. Further, this Consent Agreement does not preclude any other agency, subdivision, or officer of this State from instituting other civil or criminal proceedings concerning the conduct that is the subject of this Consent Agreement.
 - 8. TIME: Time is of the essence with regard to this Consent Agreement.
- COSTS: Respondent shall be responsible for all costs incurred as a result of her compliance with this Consent Agreement.
- 10. NON-COMPLIANCE: If Respondent fails to comply with the terms of this Consent Agreement, the Board may properly institute proceedings for noncompliance, which may result in suspension, revocation, or other disciplinary or remedial actions. Violation of this Consent Agreement is a violation of A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(aa) ("violating a formal board order, consent agreement, term of probation or stipulated agreement").
- 11. NON-RENEWAL OR LATE RENEWAL: If Respondent fails to renew her license while under the terms of this Consent Agreement and subsequently applies for late

renewal of license or a new license, the remaining terms of this Consent Agreement shall be imposed if the late renewal or reapplication for license is granted.

- 12. **TOLLING:** If Respondent for any reason stops practicing psychology in Arizona for more than 30 consecutive days or leaves Arizona to reside or practice psychology outside of Arizona, the periods of temporary or permanent residency or practice outside Arizona or the non-practice within Arizona do not reduce the duration of the terms under this Order. Respondent shall notify the Board in writing within five (5) business days of the dates of departure or the dates of non-practice in Arizona.
- PUBLIC RECORD: This Consent Agreement is a public record that may be 13. publicly disseminated as a formal Board action and reported to the National Practitioner Data Bank.

DATED this 10 day of <u>F-1----</u>, 2023.

March

ARIZONA BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGIST EXAMINERS

blide Hordst Paastoner By:

> Heidi Herbst Paakkonen **Executive Director**

ORIGINAL filed electronically this 10 day of February, 2023 with:

Arizona State Board of Psychologist Examiners

1740 W. Adams St., Suite 3403

Phoenix, AZ 85007

COPY mailed by regular and certified mail # 70212720000061790530 this 10 day of February, 2023 to:

Address on Record

Respondent

1	
2	this 10 day of February, 2023 with:
3	
4	Joseph Schenk, Esq. 2394 E. Camelback Road, Suite 600
5	Phoenix, Arizona 85016 jschenk@fennemorelaw.com
6	Attorney for Respondent
7	COPY of the foregoing via email (jeanne.galvin@azag.gov) This 10 day of Tolerand, 2023 with:
8	March
9	Jeanne M. Galvin
10	Assistant Attorney General 2005 North Central Ave. SGD/LES
11	Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Attorney for the State of Arizona
12	Attorney for the State of Arizona
13	By: Jennifer Michaelsen
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	