27

28

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGIST EXAMINERS

FOR THE STATE OF ARIZONA

In the Matter of:

NEAL OLSHAN, PH.D.

Holder of License No. PSY-000429 For the Practice of Psychology, In the State of Arizona,

Respondent.

Case Nos. 22-30, 23-44, 24-03

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND CONSENT AGREEMENT FOR SURRENDER OF PSYCHOLOGIST LICENSE

In the interest of a prompt and judicious settlement of the above-captioned matter before the Arizona Board of Psychologist Examiners ("Board") and consistent with public interest, statutory requirements and responsibilities of the Board and pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2061 et seq., and A.R.S. § 41-1092.07(F)(5), Neal Olshan ("Respondent"), holder of License No. PSY-000429 and the Board enter into this Consent Agreement for Voluntary Surrender of Psychologist License ("Consent Agreement") as the final disposition of these matters.

JURISDICTION

- 1. The Board is authorized to regulate the practice of psychology in Arizona pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2061, et seq., and the rules promulgated thereunder, found in Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C." or "rules") at R4-26-101, et seq., to regulate and control the licensing of psychologists in the State of Arizona.
- 2. Respondent is the holder of license number PSY-000429 for the practice of psychology in the State of Arizona.
- 3. The Board has personal and subject matter jurisdiction over Respondent pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2061, et seq., and the rules of A.A.C. R4-26-101, et seq.

RECITALS

Respondent understands and agrees that:

1. The Board and Respondent enter into this Consent Agreement to promptly and

of the Board.

2.

Consent Agreement.

3. Respondent has a right to a public hearing concerning these cases. He further

Respondent has the right to consult with an attorney prior to entering into this

acknowledges that at such formal hearing he could present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

judiciously resolve this matter, consistent with the public interest and the statutory requirements

Respondent irrevocably waives his right to such a hearing.

4. Respondent irrevocably waives any right to rehearing or review or to any judicial review or any other appeal of these matters.

- 5. Respondent acknowledges and agrees that the acceptance of this Consent Agreement is solely to settle this Board matter and does not preclude the Board from instituting other proceedings as may be appropriate now or in the future.
- 6. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement does not constitute a dismissal or resolution of any other matters currently pending before the Board, if any, and does not constitute any waiver, express or implied, of the Board's statutory authority or jurisdiction regarding any other pending or future investigation, action or proceeding.
- 7. Furthermore, and notwithstanding any language in this Consent Agreement, this Consent Agreement does not preclude in any way any other state agency or officer or political subdivision of this state from instituting proceedings, investigating claims, or taking legal action as may be appropriate now or in the future relating to this matter or other matters concerning Respondent, including violations of the Arizona Consumer Protection Act. Respondent acknowledges that, other than with respect to the Board, this Consent Agreement makes no representations, implied or otherwise, about the views or intended actions of any other state agency or officer or political subdivision of the state relating to these matters or other matters concerning Respondent.
 - 8. This Consent Agreement shall be subject to the approval by the Board and shall be

effective only when approved by the Board and signed by the Board's Executive Director. In the event that the Board does not approve this Consent Agreement, it is withdrawn and shall be of no evidentiary value and shall not be relied upon nor introduced in any action by any party, except the parties agree that should the Board reject this Consent Agreement and these cases proceed to hearing, Respondent shall assert no claim that the Board was prejudiced by its review and discussion of this document or any records relating thereto.

- 9. The Consent Agreement, once approved by the Board and signed by the Respondent and the Executive Director, shall constitute a public record, which may be disseminated as a formal action of the Board and shall be reported to the National Practitioner Data Bank.
- 10. Although Respondent does not agree that all the Findings of Fact set forth in this Consent Agreement are supported by the evidence, Respondent acknowledges that it is the Board's position that, if this matter proceeded to formal hearing, the Board could establish sufficient evidence to support a conclusion that certain of Respondent's conduct constituted unprofessional conduct.
- 11. Respondent voluntarily enters into this Consent Agreement for the purpose of avoiding the expense, uncertainty, and prolonged time involved in further administrative proceedings. The issues contained herein are resolved by settlement and not actually litigated. Any allegations and findings herein may not be used for *res judicata* or collateral estoppel effect in any subsequent civil proceedings for any claims of professional liability or negligence by or on behalf of any complainant(s).

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Respondent is the holder of license no. PSY-000429 for the practice of psychology in the State of Arizona. That license was issued by the Board in 1975.
- 2. On September 21, 2023, the Board voted to accept this Consent Agreement as a final disposition of these matters.

- 3. On April 9, 2022, Complainant D.J. ("Father"), through his current wife, M.J. ("Stepmother") filed a complaint against Respondent Complainant and his former wife J.J. ("Mother") have one minor child, a son, R.J. ("Son").
- 4. Mother and Father were divorced in 2011, and subsequently, the parents were often engaged in custody proceedings. Pursuant to the November 2020, Parenting Plan, the Court ordered that the parents share joint legal decision making of Son, with Mother having final authority relating to medical issues, including counseling.
- 5. In October 2021, Mother initiated therapy services for Son with Respondent. Son was 11 years old at the time. He attended six sessions with Respondent between October 2021 and April 2022. Mother was present for some of the sessions.
- 6. At Mother's request, in February of 2022, Respondent issued a 3 page report entitled "Psychological Evaluation" ("Respondent's Report"). In the report, Respondent opined that in his professional opinion "the current custody situation needs to be modified significantly. It is my professional opinion that [Son] should be living with his mother on at least a three-quarter time basis and possibly full-time with visitation by his father but possible supervised or within a structured environment."
- 7. Respondent's Report was submitted to the Court as part of Mother's request to modify certain terms of custody (i.e. child support, parenting time and legal decision making authority). The Court issued a revised parenting plan subsequent to Mother's request.
- 8. Respondent maintains that he did not conduct a custody evaluation but Respondent's Report contained a recommendation to modify the then current parenting plan. The recommendation was made despite Respondent's failure to conduct a proper assessment. For instance, Respondent did not interview Father as part of Respondent's Report regarding custody modification.
- 9. Conducting custody evaluations was not within Respondent's area of expertise.

 When Mother requested Respondent to make a custody recommendation, Respondent failed to refer

Mother to a qualified professional who could conduct such an evaluation.

- 10. Respondent maintained that he informed Mother that his Report and professional recommendation relating to the parenting plan modification should not be offered as part of any court or legal proceedings. However, Respondent's Report does not contain a limitation that it was not to be offered in any court or legal proceeding.
- 11. The information contained in Respondent's Report relating to Father appears to have been provided only by Mother and a news article about Father that was provided by Mother. The findings in Respondent's Report lack objectivity.
- 12. Respondent reported that he terminated therapy with Son after Father filed the complaint against Respondent. However, the clinical record did not contain any documentation that demonstrates Respondent made efforts to ensure a proper transition of care to another provider or that he provided referrals to the Father and Mother.

Case no. 23-44

- 13. On April 25, 2023, the Board received a complaint against Respondent from client ("S.P.") who participated in therapy services with Respondent from 2021 2022. S.P. alleged, in part, that Respondent canceled/did not show for several appointments often citing health reasons; offered to explore obtaining financial investors for a device invented by S.P.; displayed a gun during a session; and sent S.P. accusatory text messages upon receipt of the complaint.
- 14. It is Respondent's position that the clinical record demonstrates that Respondent attempted to direct S.P. back to therapy on multiple occasions and that most of the cancelled or no-show meetings occurred after Respondent stopped treating S.P. and were related to discussing S.P.'s device.
- During the Board's initial review of the matter on August 4, 2023, Respondent admitted to showing S.P.'s device to family members who could possibly be financial investors for S.P.'s invention. Respondent asserts that S.P. seemed to be upset that the investment did not come to fruition. Respondent acknowledged that he should not have pursued investment

opportunities for S.P. but he believed the device could help people.

- 16. Respondent testified that he often carries a gun for personal safety and as a result of his work for the courts. One day, prior to S.P.'s appointment, Respondent hastily unpacked his briefcase and left the gun on his desk and did not remove it prior to S.P. entering the office. He stated that it was approximately 15 feet from Respondent at the corner of the desk and was not pointed at S.P. but acknowledged that the gun should have been in the desk or in the briefcase, out of the view of S.P.
- 17. Finally, after receiving the complaint, Respondent acknowledged sending S.P. texts messages that could be characterized as unprofessional. He stated that doing so was a lapse of judgment on his part.

Case no. 24-03

- 18. On August 1, 2023, the Board received a complaint filed by a client ("J.L.") who participated in therapy services with Respondent in 2023. J.L. alleged patient abandonment and billing issues.
 - 19. Respondent denied the allegations in his response to the complaint.
- At the time the parties entered into this Consent Agreement, the investigation for complaint no. 24-03 was pending and had not yet been reviewed by the Board's Complaint Screening Committee. Thus, the parties agreed to include this complaint in the Consent Agreement as part of the global settlement of the issues pending before the Board.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The conduct set forth in the above Findings of Fact constitutes unprofessional conduct as defined by A.R.S. §32-2061(16)(g), engaging or offering to engage as a psychologist in activities not congruent with the psychologist's professional education, training and experience.
- 2. The conduct set forth in the above Findings of Fact constitutes unprofessional conduct as defined by A.R.S. §32-2061(16)(0), providing services that are unnecessary or unsafe or otherwise engaging in activities as a psychologist that are unprofessional by current standards

1 of practice.

- 3. The conduct set forth in the above Findings of Fact constitutes unprofessional conduct as defined by A.R.S. §32-2061(16)(v), abandoning or neglecting a client or patient in need of immediate care without making suitable arrangements for continuation of the care.
- 4. The conduct set forth in the above Findings of Fact constitutes unprofessional conduct as defined by A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(dd), violating an ethical standard adopted by the Board as it pertains to sections 2.04 (Bases for Scientific and Professional Judgments) and 3.05 (Multiple Relationships) of the 2002 American Psychological Association's Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct.

<u>ORDER</u>

Pursuant to A.R.S. §32-2081(S), the Board has determined that the Respondent's conduct in Complaint Nos. 22-30, 23-44, and 24-03 warrants disciplinary action. Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the parties agree to the provisions and penalties imposed as follows:

- 1. Respondent's license number PSY-000429 for the practice of psychology in the State of Arizona shall be surrendered, effective Wednesday, December 20, 2023 at 5:00 p.m., so to allow Respondent sufficient time to transition his clients and complete outstanding evaluations and corresponding reports. The effective date of the surrender is non-negotiable. Between the effective date of the Consent Agreement and the date of the surrender, Respondent shall not accept any new clients. Once the surrender is effectuated, Respondent shall not practice psychology in the State of Arizona or hold himself out as a licensed psychologist in the State of Arizona. Respondent shall take all necessary action to delete any references to being a psychologist on any business cards, stationary, or other publications, including social media and the internet. The effective date of this Consent Agreement is the date the Consent Agreement is accepted by the Board as evidenced by the signature of the Board's Executive Director.
 - 2. On or before Friday October 27, 2023, Respondent shall submit to the Executive

Director a draft of the termination/referral notice that Respondent will provide to his clients as well as the notification letter/correspondence that Respondent has sent to the Court(s) notifying the Court of his unavailability for future evaluations.

- 3. Respondent has read and understands this Consent Agreement as set forth herein, and has had the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney or has waived the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement for the purpose of avoiding the expense and uncertainty of an administrative hearing.
- 4. Respondent understands that he has the right to a public administrative hearing concerning each and every allegation set forth in the above-captioned matter, at which administrative hearing he could present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. By entering into this Consent Agreement, Respondent freely and voluntarily relinquishes all rights to such administrative hearing, as well as all rights of rehearing, review, reconsideration, appeal, judicial review or any other administrative and/or judicial action, concerning the matters set forth herein. Respondent affirmatively agrees that this Consent Agreement shall be irrevocable.
- 5. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement, or any part thereof, may be considered in any future disciplinary action against him or in any future decision regarding relicensure.
- 6. The parties agree that this Consent Agreement does not constitute a dismissal or resolution of other matters currently pending before the Board, if any, and does not constitute any waiver, expressed or implied, of the Board's statutory authority or jurisdiction regarding any other pending or future investigation, action or proceeding. Respondent also understands that acceptance of the Consent Agreement does not preclude any other agency, subdivision or officer of this state from instituting other civil or criminal proceedings with respect to the conduct that is subject of this Consent Agreement. The parties agree that this Consent Agreement is a final adjudication of Complaint Nos. 22-30, 23-44, and 24-03.
 - 7. Respondent understands that the foregoing Consent Agreement shall not become

1	effective unless and until adopted by the Board and executed on behalf of the Board. Any	
2	modification to this original document is ineffective and void unless mutually approved by both	
3	parties in writing.	
4	8. Respondent understands that this Consent A	Agreement is a public record and may
5	be publicly disseminated as a formal action of the Board and shall be reported to the National	
6	Practitioner Data Bank.	
7		
8	DATED this 21 day of September, 2023	
9	, !	Arizona Board of
10	4	Psychologist Examiners
11	AM	builti Horbet Paackgoner
12	Neal Olshan, Ph.D.	Heidi Herbst Paakkonen
13	Respondent	Executive Director
14		
15	ORIGINAL filed electronically	· : :
16	This 21 day of September , 2023 with:	
17	1740 W Adome St. Suite 3403	
18		
19	COPY of the foregoing mailed by regular USPS mail and	electronic mail
20	And electronic mail this 21 day of September, 2023 to:	
21	Neal Olshan, Ph.D.	
22	Address on Record / Email Address on Record Respondent	
23		
24	COPY of the foregoing mailed by regular USPS and electronic mail this 21 day of September 2023 to:	
25		•
26	Andrew Breavington, Esq. Mitchell Stein Carey Chapman Attorneys at Law 2600 North Central Avenue, Suite 1000	
27		
28	Phoenix, AZ 85004	

1	andrew@mscclaw.com Attorney for Respondent	
2		
3	COPY of the foregoing via email (jeanne.galvin@azag.gov) this 21 day of September, 2023 to:	
4		
5	Jeanne M. Galvin Assistant Attorney General	
6	2005 North Central Ave. SGD/LES Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Jeanne.galvin@azag.gov Attorney for the State of Arizona	
7		
8		
9	By: Jennifer Michaelsen	
10	#11530663	
11		
12		
13	· ·	
14	·	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	·	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		