

STATE OF ARIZONA BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGIST EXAMINERS 1740 WEST ADAMS STREET, SUITE 3403 PHOENIX, AZ 85007

PH: 602.542.8162 FX: 602.926.8095 WEBSITE: www.psychboard.az.gov

KATIE HOBBS Governor HEIDI HERBST PAAKKONEN, M.P.A. Executive Director

Regular Session Meeting Minutes

Held virtually via Zoom on November 3, 2023

Board Members

Aditya Dynar, Esq. – Chair, Secretary Bryan Davey, Ph.D., BCBA-D Linda Caterino, Ph.D., ABPP Donald Stenhoff, Ph.D., BCBA-D Diana Medina, Ph.D. Ramona N. Mellott, Ph.D. Joseph Stewart, Ed.D. Todd Wynn, M.A.

Board Staff

Heidi Herbst Paakkonen, M.P.A., Executive Director Jennifer Michaelsen, M.P.A. Deputy Director Krishna Poe, Program Projects Specialist Kathy Fowkes, Psychologist Licensing Specialist Zakiya Mallas, Behavior Analyst Licensing Specialist

Board Attorney

Jeanne Galvin, Assistant Attorney General

1. CALL TO ORDER

Dr. Davey called the meeting to order at 8:32 a.m.

2. ROLL CALL

The following Board members participated in the virtual meeting: Dr. Davey, Mr. Dynar, Dr. Stenhoff, Dr. Medina, Dr. Mellott, Dr. Stewart, and Mr. Wynn.

ALSO PRESENT

The following Board staff participated in the virtual meeting: Heidi Herbst Paakkonen, Executive Director; Jennifer Michaelsen, Deputy Director; Jeanne Galvin, Assistant Attorney General; Kathy Fowkes, Licensing Specialist, Zakiya Mallas, Licensing Specialist, and Krishna Poe, Projects Specialist.

3. REMARKS/ANNOUNCEMENTS

Board <u>Survey</u>

Dr. Davey encouraged meeting attendees to provide feedback by contacting Board staff and completing a Board Meeting Assessment Survey.

Board Member and Staff Appreciation

Dr. Davey acknowledged and thanked Board members and staff for their hard work and efforts in facilitating the Board's meetings.

Continuing Education Credit for Board Meeting Attendance

Dr. Davey announced that meeting attendees were eligible for continuing education credit if the meeting exceeded four hours.

● Announcement of retiring Committee on Behavior Analyst member - Diana Davis-Wilson, DBH, BCBA; Appointment of new Committee member - Kristen Byra, BCBA-D

Dr. Davey announced that Dr. Kristen Byra has recently been appointed by Governor Katie Hobbs to serve as a Committee member. She will begin her service with the December 1, 2023 meeting.

4. CALL TO THE PUBLIC

No one requested to speak.

5. COUNSEL UPDATE

Ms. Galvin did not have an update to provide.

6. CONSENT AGENDA - DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION

MOTION: Dr. Stewart moved for the Board to approve the items listed under the Consent Agenda. SECOND: Dr. Medina.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Dr. Davey, Dr. Mellott, Dr. Stenhoff, Dr. Medina, Dr. Stewart, and Mr. Wynn.

VOTE: 6-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse.

MOTION PASSED.

A. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

- October 6, 2023 Regular Session Minutes
- o October 6, 2023 Executive Session Minutes

B. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

C. DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING PSYCHOLOGIST APPLICATIONS

- 1. Requesting Approval to Sit for EPPP & Licensure (A.R.S. §§ 32-2071, 2071.01 & 2072)
 - a) Tammy Ricci, Psy.D.
 - b) Denisha Liggett, Psy.D.
 - c) Kari Johnson, Psy.D.
 - d) Genna Losinski, Ph.D.
 - e) Elizabeth Hedrick, Psy.D.
- 2. Requesting Approval for Licensure by Waiver (A.R.S. §§ 32-2071, 2071.01 & 2072)
 - a) La Tonya Lawrence, Psy.D.
- 3. Requesting Approval for Licensure by Universal Recognition (A.R.S. § 32-4302)
 - a) Brian Sandoval, Psy.D.
 - b) Jennifer Cardenas-Trowers, Psy.D.
- 4. Requesting Approval for Telehealth Registration (A.R.S. § 36-3606)
 - a) Picolya Robinson, Psy.D.
 - b) Chaya Kobernick, Psy.D.

D. DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING BEHAVIOR ANALYST APPLICATIONS

1. Requesting Approval for Licensure by General Application (A.R.S. § 32-2091.02)

- a) Ashley Chamberlain, M.S.
- b) Annamaria Matic, M.S.
- c) Isabela Oliveria Santos, M.A.
- d) Angelina Murray, M.Ed.
- e) Taylor Mimms, M.A.
- f) Rian Martinez, M.A.
- g) Kelly Frank, M.Ed.
- h) Eva Sanchez, M.S.
- i) Lisette Leyva, M.A.
- j) Emily Gelander, M.S.
- k) Caroline Mazon, M.A.
- l) Emily Strain, M.A.
- m) Isabella Holmen, M.A.
- n) Amber Nace, M.A.
- o) Massiel Soriano, M.S.
- 2. Requesting Approval for Licensure by Universal Recognition (A.R.S. § 32-4302)
 - a) Alan Haberman, M.Ed.
- 3. Requesting Approval for Telehealth Registration Universal Recognition (A.R.S. § 36-3606)
 - a) Spencer Freeman, M.S.
- E. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING REACTIVATION REQUEST SUBMITTED BY NORMAN BERTEL, PSY.D.

THE FOLLOWING AGENDA ITEMS ARE UNTIMED AND MAY BE DISCUSSED AND DECIDED UPON AT VARIOUS TIMES THROUGHOUT THE MEETING AT THE DISCRETION OF THE CHAIR

7. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING MOTION TO QUASH THE BOARD'S SUBPOENA AND TO STAY THE INVESTIGATION FROM ARTHUR THOMAS HORVATH, PH.D. FOR COMPLAINT NO. 23-53

Ms. Michaelsen provided a summary of the allegations, noting that in May of this year, the Board received a complaint against Dr. Horvath relating to his professional testimony for a family court matter in Montana. The complainant also filed a complaint with the Montana and California boards where Dr. Horvath currently holds psychologist licenses. She stated that Dr. Horvath currently has an active Arizona license and therefore is under this Board's jurisdiction.

Ms. Michaelsen further indicated that Dr. Horvath's response to the complaint omitted the file for his testimony. In responding to a request to supply that information, Ms. Patane submitted a letter declining to provide the file. Board staff subsequently issued a subpoena to Dr. Horvath for his file. The subpoena specified that the records were due by August 31, 2023, however, Dr. Horvath did not comply. Rather, Dr. Horvath's attorney filed a "Motion to Quash and for a Stay of the Investigation" that contained two requests. The first request is that the Board quash the subpoena because it Dr. Horvath's position that the Board does not have jurisdiction over the file, nor over his conduct in Montana. The motion also makes a request that the Board stay its investigation into this complaint as it is his position that the complaint in Montana has jurisdictional priority over that in Arizona.

Dr. Horvath's attorney, Cynthia Patane, briefly addressed the Board, stating that it is her client's opinion that he is not required by law to submit to an investigation by this Board given the family court matter is in another state, and therefore they are requesting the Board quash the subpoena for records that was issued under this

investigation. She also stated that they have concerns that any investigation that is conducted by the Arizona Board could result in Dr. Horvath's work becoming accessible to the complainant.

MOTION: Dr. Davey moved for the Board to move to Executive Session to obtain legal advice.

SECOND: Dr. Medina.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Dr. Davey, Dr. Stenhoff, Dr. Medina,

Dr. Mellott, Dr. Stewart, and Mr. Wynn. VOTE: 6-vay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse.

MOTION PASSED.

The Board met in Executive Session at 8:47 a.m. and resumed open session at 9:06 a.m.

MOTION: Dr. Davey moved for the Board to deny the motion to quash the subpoena and to give Dr.

Horvath thirty days to supply his records to the Board.

SECOND: Dr. Stewart.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Dr. Davey, Dr. Stenhoff, Dr. Medina,

Dr. Mellott, Dr. Stewart, and Mr. Wynn.

VOTE: 6-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse.

MOTION PASSED.

Ms. Patane answered questions posed by the Board regarding the current timeline of the family court case in Montana.

MOTION: Dr. Medina moved for the Board to grant a 30-day stay of this investigation in order for the Board to receive an update from Ms. Patane relative to developments with the family court case. The Board will then review the motion to stay the investigation at a future meeting to determine how to proceed.

SECOND: Dr. Stewart.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Dr. Davey, Dr. Stenhoff, Dr. Medina, Dr. Mellott, Dr. Stewart, and Mr. Wynn.

VOTE: 6-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse.

MOTION PASSED.

8. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION RELATING TO INITIAL CASE REVIEW OF THE FOLLOWING COMPLAINTS

a. Complaint No. 23-52, Ellen Diamond, Ph.D.

Dr. Medina provided a summary of the investigation and of the issues that were identified during its review by the Complaint Screening Committee; most notably, while Dr. Diamond believes she had provided to the complainant the outstanding billing records and refund due to her billing error he claimed were not supplied to him, there was no clear evidence of her actually having done so.

The complainant was not present at the meeting. Dr. Diamond and her attorney, Tammy Thibodeau, were present at the meeting and briefly addressed the Board. Dr. Diamond answered the questions from the Board relative to her current practice management procedures, provided affirmation that she was in compliance with the statutory requirement to make available to a client his records, submitted copies of documents indicating the refund was issued, and she stated that she has implemented reformed billing practices as a result of this experience.

MOTION: Dr. Medina moved for the Board to dismiss case 23-52 against Dr. Diamond.

SECOND: Dr. Stewart.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Dr. Davey, Dr. Stenhoff, Dr. Medina, Dr. Mellott, Dr. Stewart, and Mr. Wynn.
VOTE: 6-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse.
MOTION PASSED.

9. DISCUSSION CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION WHETHER THE BOARD SHOULD ACCEPT JURISDICTION REGARDING TWO ADDITIONAL COMPLAINTS FILED AGAINST BHUPIN BUTANEY, PH.D. OR WHETHER THE LEGAL PRINCIPLE OF *RES JUDICATA* IS APPLICABLE

Ms. Michaelsen reported that in July of this year, the Board received a complaint from Complainant MG against Dr. Butaney. MG received therapy services through Midwestern University's Therapy Institute with a graduate level therapist who was supervised by another psychologist. Dr. Butaney is a professor and the Associate Program Director for Midwestern University. He did not provide any therapeutic services to MG; they had one meeting and exchanged several emails regarding concerns MG had with the services he received with the student therapist. MG's complaint alleged that Dr. Butaney violated his patient rights, altered his medical record, and made inappropriate comments to MG during their one meeting. On October 18, 2023 the Complaint Screening Committee (CSC) reviewed this complaint. After considering all of the evidence and hearing from both parties who attended, the CSC dismissed this case.

A day prior to this CSC meeting, the Board received two additional complaints against Dr. Butaney arising from the same set of facts involving MG and his experience at Midwestern. It was noted that these two complaints forms listed different names for the complainant but contained the same mailing address listed on the form. Additionally, portions of both complaints were handwritten and that handwriting appears to be identical for both.

The allegations in these two new complaints are nearly identical to those set forth in MG's complaint which was dismissed by the CSC two weeks ago. Ms. Michaelsen advised that the Board is tasked with determining whether to decline opening in light of the legal principle called *res judicata*, as there may be legal grounds to not process these complaints.

MOTION: Mr. Wynn moved for the Board to not open nor process the two complaints against Dr. Butaney given the applicability of *res judicata*. SECOND: Dr. Medina.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Dr. Davey, Dr. Stenhoff, Dr. Medina, Dr. Mellott, Dr. Stewart, and Mr. Wynn.
VOTE: 6-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse.
MOTION PASSED.

10. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING THE COMMITTEE ON BEHAVIOR ANALYSTS' RECOMMENDATION TO DENY THE REQUEST BY AMADA TRO, M.S. TO WITHDRAW HER LICENSE RENEWAL APPLICATION, AND TO ISSUE A DENIAL OF THAT APPLICATION

Ms. Tro was not present for the review of this agenda item. Dr. Stenhoff provided a summary noting that with her recent application for licensure renewal, the applicant failed to disclose several facts concerning the investigation, and ultimate revocation of her certification, by the Behavior Analyst Certification Board, and the investigations by the State of Florida where she holds two professional licenses. During the review of this matter, Ms. Tro indicated she was not compelled to report any of these matters to the New York behavior analyst regulatory agency until her license there is due for renewal. Dr. Stenhoff advised the Board that the Committee's recommendation is to deny both Ms. Tro's submitted request to withdraw her application for renewal, and also to deny the application. Ms. Tro was not present for the review of this matter.

MOTION: Dr. Davey moved for the Board to deny Ms. Tro's request for withdrawal and to issue a notice of application denial.

SECOND: Dr. Stewart.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Dr. Davey, Dr. Stenhoff, Dr. Medina,

Dr. Mellott, Dr. Stewart, and Mr. Wynn.

VOTE: 6-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse.

MOTION PASSED.

The Board recessed at 9:46 a.m. and resumed open session at 9:57 a.m.

11. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING THE COMMITTEE ON BEHAVIOR ANALYSTS' RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD REGARDING LICENSE REINSTATEMENT REQUEST SUBMITTED BY KASSANDRA MONTIJO, M.ED.

Dr. Stenhoff summarized the matter noting that while Ms. Montijo failed to renew her license before its expiration date and continued to practice in Arizona as a behavior analyst, the Committee also reviewed adequate evidence that determined during the time Ms. Montijo did not hold an Arizona license, she provided services while appropriately under the supervision of another Arizona licensed behavior analyst.

MOTION: Dr. Stenhoff moved for the Board to approve Kassandra Montijo's renewal application. SECOND: Dr. Davey.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Dr. Davey, Dr. Stenhoff, Dr. Medina, Dr. Mellott, Dr. Stewart, and Mr. Wynn.

VOTE: 6-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse.

MOTION PASSED.

MOTION: Dr. Stenhoff moved for the Board to refrain from opening a complaint investigation for alleged unlicensed practice.

SECOND: Dr. Stewart.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Dr. Davey, Dr. Stenhoff, Dr. Medina, Dr. Mellott, Dr. Stewart, and Mr. Wynn.

VOTE: 6-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse.

MOTION PASSED.

12. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING THE COMMITTEE ON BEHAVIOR ANALYSTS' RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD FOR LICENSE REINSTATEMENT REQUEST SUBMITTED BY ELIZABETH GRESSARD, M.ED.

Dr. Davey summarized this matter, noting that Ms. Gressard failed to renew her license before its expiration date and continued to practice in Arizona as a behavior analyst. The applicant submitted some written statements prepared by individuals identifying as supervisors in various capacities of Ms. Gressard, but those statements did not adequately explain whether she provided services while appropriately under the supervision of an Arizona licensed behavior analyst during the nine weeks she did not hold a behavior analyst license. Dr. Davey indicated the Committee issued a recommendation to the Board to reinstate Ms. Gressard's license, and in the meantime the Committee is gathering additional information to inform its decision whether to recommend a complaint be opened against Ms. Gressard for practicing while unlicensed.

MOTION: Dr. Davey moved for the Board to move forward with the reinstatement request.

SECOND: Mr. Wynn.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Dr. Davey, Dr. Stenhoff, Dr. Medina, Dr. Mellott, Dr. Stewart, and Mr. Wynn.
VOTE: 6-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse.
MOTION PASSED.

13. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON REQUEST TO WITHDRAW LICENSE RENEWAL APPLICATION WITH FEE REFUND, AND RESOLUTION AND ADJUDICATION OF COMPLAINT 23-46 AGAINST JENNIFER FOWLER, PSY.D.

Ms. Paakkonen provided a history of this matter, noting that initially Dr. Fowler was a participant in RAMP, but due to non-compliance with the substance abuse testing terms of the monitoring agreement in March of 2023, the Board opened a complaint investigation in April. She reminded the Board that Dr. Fowler subsequently met with the Board and requested to be placed under a modified monitoring and recovery program, to which the Board agreed. A new proposed Consent Agreement with modified terms was extended to Dr. Fowler for that purpose which she did not sign by the requested deadline. Meanwhile, Dr. Fowler submitted a license renewal application as her license had expired on May 31, 2023 (which was placed into suspension due to the open investigation). Ms. Paakkonen noted that the Board's renewal and reinstatement process necessitated Dr. Fowler supply her continuing education completion documentation for the previous licensure period. Dr. Fowler submitted documents for all but 6 hours, but did not respond to requests or to deadlines to submit the remaining documentation. Dr. Fowler ultimately notified Board staff that she would not agree to the monitoring and treatment terms of the Consent Agreement, stated that she no longer wishes to practice psychology, and requested a refund of her application fee. Dr. Fowler was not present at the meeting.

MOTION: Dr. Davey moved for the Board to deny the withdrawal of Dr. Fowler's license and deny the application fee refund. SECOND: Dr. Stewart.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Dr. Davey, Dr. Stenhoff, Dr. Medina, Dr. Mellott, Dr. Stewart, and Mr. Wynn.
VOTE: 6-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse.
MOTION PASSED.

The Board discussion reflected that Dr. Fowler is currently in non-compliance status with a Board-issued Order and that constitutes a basis for denying her application.

MOTION: Dr. Stewart moved for the Board to deny the renewal application submitted by Dr. Fowler. SECOND: Dr. Medina.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Dr. Davey, Dr. Stenhoff, Dr. Medina, Dr. Mellott, Dr. Stewart, and Mr. Wynn.
VOTE: 6-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse.
MOTION PASSED.

14. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION OF TERMS OF THE CONSENT AGREEMENT OFFERED TO ANN EBERHARDT, PSY.D., APPLICANT FOR LICENSURE

Ms. Paakkonen noted that Dr. Eberhardt and her attorney, Kelsey Whalen, requested to appear before the Board to address their concerns with the terms of the Consent Agreement offered to the applicant. Ms. Whalen requested the Board remove the provision that Dr. Eberhardt be required to complete 6 hours of treating diverse populations content as it is her client's position that there is no evidence in the record to warrant this requirement. The Board asserted that this is a reasonable and appropriate term for Dr. Eberhart to complete; the discussion reflected that the Board was not persuaded by Dr. Eberhardt's request to remove that provision. Upon hearing the discussion and engaging in dialog with the Board, Dr. Eberhardt stated that she intends to sign the Consent Agreement.

MOTION: Dr. Davey moved the Board to allow Dr. Eberhardt until close of business on Thursday, November 9, 2023 to sign the proposed Consent Agreement that was previously offered; if not signed by the deadline, the matter will be remanded to a formal hearing.

SECOND: Dr. Medina.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Dr. Davey, Dr. Stenhoff, Dr. Medina,

Dr. Mellott, Dr. Stewart, and Mr. Wynn.

VOTE: 6-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse.

MOTION PASSED.

15. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION CONCERNING PSYPACT E. PASSPORT - ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS QUESTIONNAIRE TO PARTICIPATING JURISDICTIONS

Ms. Paakkonen advised the Board that the Association of State and Provincial Psychology Boards (ASPPB) issued a survey to jurisdictions requesting a response to two questions concerning eligibility requirements for issuance of an E. Passport. Specifically, ASPPB is requesting whether the Board would find it acceptable that the holder of a Certificate of Professional Certification be deemed as having met the educational requirements for an E. Passport. Additionally, the survey asks whether the Board would find as acceptable that a psychologist who has been continuously licensed (active or inactive) to practice psychology at the independent level in one or more ASPPB member jurisdictions for a minimum of 20 years, based on a doctoral degree in psychology from a regionally accredited institution, is deemed to have met the educational, requirement for the E. Passport. She indicated that while she is quite certain how the Board would respond, she prefers to receive clear direction from the Board on the record as opposed to following her assumptions.

MOTION: Dr. Mellott moved for the Board to direct Ms. Paakkonen to answer yes to both survey questions.

SECOND: Dr. Stewart.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Dr. Davey, Dr. Stenhoff, Dr. Medina, Dr. Mellott, Dr. Stewart, and Mr. Wynn.

VOTE: 6-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse.

MOTION PASSED.

16. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON POSSIBLE PROPOSED LEGISLATION IN THE 2024 FIFTY-SIXTH ARIZONA STATE LEGISLATURE, SECOND REGULAR SESSION

a. Psychologist Prescribing Authority Bill Update

Ms. Paakkonen reported that the only update she has at this time is to inform the Board that she recently met with the Executive Directors of the Arizona Medical Board and the Arizona Osteopathic Medical Board for purposes of initiating some discussion around implementing the administrative components of the proposed bill. The discussion acknowledged that a solution will need to address fee and cost sharing considerations across their agencies, as well as sharing of confidential information. She indicated the Executive Directors will continue to discuss these issues once a draft proposed bill is available to review.

b. Behavior Analyst Board Bill Update

Ms. Paakkonen reported that the sunrise application prepared by the Arizona Association for Behavior Analysis was submitted to the Arizona State Legislature. The group continues to refine the draft bill language and will keep the Board informed as to the progression of this effort to establish self-regulation of behavior analysts.

c. Second Attempt for Last Session's HB 2046; Board of Psychologist Examiners

Ms. Paakkonen reminded the Board that in the 2023 legislative session HB 2046 did not pass as after clearing the House of Representatives, it was denied a hearing in the Senate. She advised that there were two proposed revisions to language in last year's bill that were misinterpreted and therefore eroded some support. Ms. Paakkonen recommended that the two controversial pieces of this bill be removed should the Board wish to move forward with another attempt during the 2024 session. She noted that one of these can be effectively addressed by Ms. Galvin by applying her interpretation of that language to ensure it is consistent with the APA code of ethics as it is incorporated by reference in Board rule. A suggestion was raised relative to refining and clarifying the language that proposes individuals who have completed the required coursework be allowed to elect to take Part 1 of the EPPP prior to having completed all training requirements and having successfully defended their dissertation.

MOTION: Dr. Mellott moved for the Board to approve the recommended changes to last year's HB 2046 for purposes of presenting an updated request to Representative Bliss for her consideration to sponsor. SECOND: Dr. Stewart.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Dr. Davey, Dr. Stenhoff, Dr. Medina, Dr. Mellott, Dr. Stewart, and Mr. Wynn.
VOTE: 6-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse.
MOTION PASSED.

17. NEW AGENDA ITEMS FOR FUTURE MEETINGS

Dr. Mellott requested an update on the vacant Board positions. Dr. Davey requested an update on a previous complaint referral sent out by the Board to various entities that concerns a behavior analytic agency.

18. ADJOURNMENT

Dr. Davey adjourned the meeting at 11:19 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Bryan Tavey

Bryan Davey, Ph.D., BCBA-D, Chair

Vice Chair