



STATE OF ARIZONA
 BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGIST EXAMINERS
 1740 WEST ADAMS STREET, SUITE 4230
 PHOENIX, AZ 85007
 PH: 602.542.8162 FX: 602.926.8095
 WEBSITE: www.psychboard.az.gov

KATIE HOBBS
 Governor

HEIDI HERBST PAAKKONEN, M.P.A.
 Executive Director

Application Review Committee Virtual Meeting Minutes

July 26, 2024
 Held via Zoom

1. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order by Committee Chair Dr. Caterino at 7:35 a.m.

2. ROLL CALL - Ms. Fowkes

Members Present

Linda Caterino, Ph.D., ABPP
 Larry, Sideman, Ph.D., ABPP

A quorum of the Committee was confirmed.

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

- May 31, 2024 Regular Session Minutes
- June 28, 2024 Regular Session Minutes
- June 28, 2024 Executive Session Minutes

MOTION: Dr. Sideman moved to approve the Regular Session Minutes for May 31, 2024 and both Regular and Executive Session Minutes for June 28, 2024. Dr. Caterino seconded.

VOICE VOTE: The motion passed unanimously.

4.	DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON PSYCHOLOGY APPLICATIONS	
	A.	Requesting Approval to Sit for the EPPP (A.R.S. §§ 32-2071, 2071.01 & 2072)
	1)	Brittany Londer, Ph.D. (REAPP)
		Dr. Londer was present at the meeting with attorney Anthony Flynn. Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Due to a complaint received regarding Dr. Londer, the Committee tabled the application until the complaint was investigated.
	2)	Chasen Dillon, Psy.D. (REAPP)

		Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the reapplication. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the reapplication to the Board for approval to sit for the EPPP.
		<p>MOTION: Dr. Caterino moved to forward the application of Dr. Chasen Dillon to the Board for approval to sit for the EPPP. Dr. Sideman seconded.</p> <p>VOICE VOTE: The motion carried unanimously.</p>
		B. Requesting Approval to Sit for EPPP & Licensure (A.R.S. §§ 32-2071, 2071.01 & 2072)
	1)	Marissa Pifer, Ph.D.
		Dr. Pifer was present at the meeting. Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval to sit for the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score.
	2)	Anne Kathryn Harper, Psy.D. (REAPP)
		Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the reapplication. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the reapplication to the Board for approval to sit for the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score.
	3)	Tammy Ricci, Psy.D. (REAPP)
		Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the reapplication, the updated written study plan and testing accommodations. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the reapplication to the Board for approval to sit for the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score.
		<p>MOTION: Dr. Caterino moved to forward the applications of Drs. Marissa Pifer, Anne Kathryn Harper and Tammy Ricci to the Board for approval to sit for the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score. Dr. Sideman seconded.</p> <p>VOICE VOTE: The motion carried unanimously.</p>
		C. Requesting Approval of Supervised Experience for Licensure (A.R.S. §§ 32-2071, 2071.01 & 2072)
	1)	Diana Chaidez, Psy.D.
		Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval for licensure.
	2)	Amanda Araki, Ph.D.
		Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval for licensure.
	3)	Brandon Scott, Psy.D.
		Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the document submitted for the postdoctoral written training plan was a self-assessment and not the written training plan required under A.R.S. § 32-2071(G)(7) and A.A.C. R4-26-210(D). It was the consensus

		of the Committee to issue a Formal Additional Information Request (FAIR) for a copy of the postdoctoral written training plan executed prior to the start of the postdoctoral supervised professional experience. If no training plan existed, a post-experience written training plan that meets the requirements in statutes and rules is acceptable.
		<p>MOTION: Dr. Caterino moved to forward the applications of Drs. Diana Chaidez and Amanda Araki to the Board for approval for licensure, and to issue a FAIR to Dr. Brandon Scott as noted above. Dr. Sideman seconded.</p> <p>VOICE VOTE: The motion carried unanimously.</p>
		D. Requesting Approval for Supervised Temporary License w/ or w/out EPPP (A.R.S. § 32-2073)
	1)	<i>None in this category</i>
		E. Requesting Approval for Licensure by Waiver (A.R.S. §§ 32-2071, 2071.01 & 2072)
	1)	Hannah Naumann, Psy.D.
		Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval for licensure.
	2)	Leslie Speer, Ph.D.
		Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval for licensure.
	3)	Edward Waldrep, Ph.D.
		Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval for licensure.
	4)	Julie Kerley, Ph.D. (FAIR)
		<p><i>On the agenda, this application was erroneously placed in the Waiver category, but is an Exam & Licensure application.</i></p> <p>Dr. Kerley was present at the meeting. Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the documentation submitted in response to the FAIR letter did not clarify the questions and concerns the Committee had regarding Dr. Kerley’s supervised professional experiences at Grandis Health under Dr. Jodi Cuneo’s supervision. The Committee noted that there was still a lack of clarity regarding how many internship hours Dr. Kerley completed. Additionally, her supervisor, Dr. Jodi Cuneo, submitted 6 different internship verification forms and 4 different postdoctoral verification forms which had numerous discrepancies.</p> <p>Dr. Cuneo was present at the meeting. The Committee noted that the letter submitted by Dr. Cuneo regarding the questions relative to the internship pay structure did not satisfy the concern. Dr. Sideman asked Dr. Cuneo to explain how trainees were paid. Dr. Cuneo stated that the pay structure was not a standard, flat stipend, but “was going to vary by the amount of client contact hours.” She stated that they went through a legal review with an attorney “who was associated with the American Psychological Association to make sure the wording was not going to...make it look like we were paying the interns” like an employee or a 1099 contract as if they were working for the facility. Dr. Sideman said, “If it’s based on client contact, then it is the number of client contact hours, that sounds like employment.” Dr. Cuneo disagreed, stating the employment, from what she was led to believe, would cover report hours and any testing interpretation times, attending meetings they had</p>

		<p>for the company, and since it was only limited to the client contact hours, that it would not be considered employment. Dr. Sideman said, “A trainee should be compensated or have a stipend for all the work that they do including report writing, client contact, supervision, writing notes.” He stated he was concerned about how that is written in the agreement. A trainee should not be worried about how they are going to be compensated or how much work they have to do to generate for the particular entity where they are being trained. “That’s the issue, and to be quite frank, a trainee should not be negotiating a sliding fee scale, either.” Dr. Cuneo denied that interns are negotiating sliding fee scales, “that that would be undo pressure I would imagine,” and would be “a huge concern”. She acknowledged that it was clear the wording in the handbook about how the compensation occurred and the language about a sliding fee scale would need to be changed. Dr. Cuneo stated they were advised to keep the language in the Grandis Health agreement “as short as possible...but apparently that was poor advice that we took there.” Dr. Cuneo and Dr. Sideman further discussed how the clients at Grandis Health are made aware that the trainee is under supervision.</p> <p>The Committee noted that Arizona’s Rule, R4-26-209(C), addresses the payment between a supervisor and a supervisee. Subsection 1 states, “A supervising psychologist may pay a monetary stipend or fee to a supervisee if the amount paid by the supervisor is not based on the supervisee’s productivity or revenue generated by the supervisee”. Dr. Caterino stated that from her understanding of Dr. Cuneo’s statements, the compensation “was based on the supervisee’s number of hours, which was linked to the number of clients.” Dr. Kerley stated, “That is correct.” Dr. Cuneo then said, “My interpretation of productivity here, was also, if we were looking at straight productivity for [Dr. Kerley], that would have been reports generated, and...written an IEP, or anything like that, that that would also be tied to productivity, and that was not happening. It was limited to that one area of productivity, it was limited to just that one area of client contact, and because it was limited to just client contact, it was not tied to overall productivity.”</p> <p>MOTION: Dr. Caterino moved to forward the application of Dr. Julie Kerley to the Board for substantive review and have corrected internship and postdoc verifications submitted in time for the Board meeting. Dr. Sideman seconded.</p> <p>VOICE VOTE: The motion carried unanimously.</p>
	5)	Caleb Barcenias, Ph.D.
		Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval for licensure.
	6)	Rebecca Altschuler, Ph.D.
		Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval for licensure.
	7)	Bianca Jeffers, Psy.D.
		Dr. Sideman recused from reviewing this application. Due to lack of a quorum, the application was forwarded to the Board for substantive review.
	8)	Anna Mikesky, Psy.D.
		Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval for licensure.
		MOTION: Dr. Medina moved to forward the applications of Drs. Hannah Naumann, Leslie Speer, Edward Waldrep, Caleb Barcenias, Rebecca Altschuler and Anna Mikesky to the Board for approval for licensure. Dr. Sideman seconded.

		VOICE VOTE: The motion carried unanimously.
F.	Requesting Approval for Licensure by Credential (ABPP, CPQ or NRHSP - A.R.S. § 32-2071.01)	
	1)	Russell Buford, Ph.D.
		Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that on the employment page of the application, Dr. Buford listed his job title at Fort Mojave Indian Health Center as a “psychiatrist”. It was the consensus of the Committee to issue a FAIR for clarification relative to his job at Fort Mojave Indian Health Center.
		MOTION: Dr. Caterino moved to issue a FAIR to Dr. Russell Buford as noted above. VOICE VOTE: The motion carried unanimously.
G.	Requesting Approval for Licensure by Universal Recognition (A.R.S. § 32-4302)	
	1)	Crystal Ferrendelli, Psy.D.
		Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval for licensure by universal recognition.
	2)	Vivian Oberling, Psy.D.
		Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval for licensure by universal recognition.
	3)	Misty Warren, Psy.D.
		Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval for licensure by universal recognition.
	4)	Tekeilla Darden, Psy.D.
		Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval for licensure by universal recognition.
		MOTION: Dr. Caterino moved to forward the applications of Drs. Crystal Ferrendelli, Vivian Oberling, Misty Warren and Tekeilla Darden to the Board for approval for licensure by universal recognition. Dr. Sideman seconded. VOICE VOTE: The motion carried unanimously.
5.	NEW AGENDA ITEMS FOR FUTURE MEETINGS	
	No new items were requested.	
6.	ADJOURNMENT	

Dr. Sideman motioned to adjourn the meeting. Dr. Caterino seconded. Upon a unanimous voice vote, the meeting adjourned at 8:57 a.m.
