



STATE OF ARIZONA
BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGIST EXAMINERS
1740 WEST ADAMS STREET, SUITE 2430
PHOENIX, AZ 85007
PH: 602.542.8162 FX: 602.926.8095
WEBSITE: www.psychboard.az.gov

KATIE HOBBS
Governor

HEIDI HERBST PAAKKONEN, M.P.A.
Executive Director

Application Review Committee Virtual Meeting Minutes

October 28, 2024
Held via Zoom

1. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order by Committee Chair Dr. Flint at 2:00 p.m.

2. ROLL CALL - Ms. Fowkes

Members Present

Melissa Flint, Psy.D.
Larry, Sideman, Ph.D., ABPP

A quorum of the Committee was confirmed.

3. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON PSYCHOLOGY APPLICATIONS

A. Requesting Approval to Sit for the EPPP (A.R.S. §§ 32-2071, 2071.01 & 2072)

1) Brandon Lipin, Psy.D.

Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the reapplication. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the reapplication to the Board for approval to sit for the EPPP.

2) Joshua Smith, Psy.D.

Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the reapplication. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the reapplication to the Board for approval to sit for the EPPP.

3) Monica Acevedo-Molina, Ph.D.

Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the reapplication. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the reapplication to the Board for approval to sit for the EPPP.

4) Lindsay Polk, Psy.D.

Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the reapplication. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the reapplication to the Board for approval to sit for the EPPP.

MOTION: Dr. Flint moved to forward the applications of Drs. Brandon Lipin, Joshua Smith, Monica Acevedo-Molina and Lindsay Polk to the Board for approval to sit for the EPPP. Dr. Sideman seconded.

VOICE VOTE: The motion carried unanimously.

B. Requesting Approval to Sit for EPPP & Licensure (A.R.S. §§ 32-2071, 2071.01 & 2072)

1) Dominique Doss, Ph.D.

Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the reapplication. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the reapplication to the Board for approval to sit for the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score.

2) Kendra Jacques, Ph.D.

Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application and request for testing accommodations. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval to sit for the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score.

3) Leslie Belt, Ph.D.

Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the reapplication and request for testing accommodations. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the reapplication to the Board for approval to sit for the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score.

4) Mishael Ozed-Williams, Ph.D.

Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the reapplication and request for testing accommodations. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the reapplication to the Board for approval to sit for the EPPP with requested testing accommodations and licensure upon a passing score.

5) Brandon McCormick, Ph.D.

Dr. McCormick was present at the meeting. Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application and request for testing accommodations. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval to sit for the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score.

6) Preston Battles, Ph.D.

Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted a number of discrepancies and concerns between the preinternship verification (“verification”) for each site, the written training plan(s) (“WTPs”) and the Time2Track Activity Summary (“T2T”) documents that were submitted. On the verification in Section D, No. 10, Christy Hutton, Ph.D., Director of Clinical Training at the University of Missouri, indicated that for all of the preinternship supervised professional experiences (SPE), 95% of the supervision was by a licensed psychologist, and 5% of the supervision was

provided by another type of licensed mental health professional. However, the T2T for each of the preinternship sites and the written training plan(s) indicated otherwise as noted below. Pursuant to [A.R.S. § 32-2071\(E\)\(4\)\(d\)](#), at least 75% of supervision shall be provided by a licensed psychologist, and no more than 25% of supervision may be by another type of licensed mental health professional, an intern under supervision of a licensed psychologist, or postdoctoral trainee under supervision of a licensed psychologist.

- a. Westminster College Wellness Center: On the T2T, under Supervision, it states that only 3 hours of individual supervision were provided by a licensed psychologist, 25 hours of individual supervision were by another type of mental health professional, and 60 hours of group supervision were provided by a licensed psychologist, which does not meet the requirements under the statute referenced above.
- b. True North of Columbia: On the T2T, under Supervision, it states that there were 36 hours of individual supervision provided by another type of mental health professional, and a licensed psychologist provided only group supervision. Additionally, the written training plan for this site states that the primary supervisor was a licensed clinical social worker and not a licensed psychologist, which does not meet the requirements under the statute referenced above.
- c. University of Missouri – Columbia, Counseling Center: Dr. Hutton verified that 337 hours of SPE were accrued, with 47 hours of individual supervision. Per the statute referenced above, there would need to have been a minimum of 33.7 hours of supervision, at least 75% of which must be by a licensed psychologist. 75% of 33.7 is 25.275. Therefore, 25.275 hours of supervision must have been by a licensed psychologist to meet Arizona’s requirements. However, on the T2T, under Supervision, it states that there were only 14 hours of individual supervision provided by a licensed psychologist and 33 hours of individual supervision were provided by “Other (eg. peer to peer)”. Per the statute referenced above, this does not meet Arizona’s requirements.
- d. University of Missouri – Columbia, Wellness Resources Center: On the verification it states that a total of 327 hours was accrued, with 18 hours of individual supervision and 35 hours of group supervision for a total of 53 hours of overall supervision. However, the T2T states that a total of 1293.5 hours was accrued, with 26 hours of individual supervision and 65.5 hours of group supervision, all by licensed psychologists. With these discrepancies, the Committee was unclear regarding how many hours were actually accrued at this site, what were all the parameters of direct and supervision hours, and who provided the supervision.

The Committee issued a Formal Additional Information Request (FAIR) for clarification on all of the above concerns as well as a copy of all Time2Track summaries, supervision logs, and other documentation that provides the needed clarification.

MOTION: Dr. Flint moved to forward the applications of Drs. Dominique Doss, Kendra Jacques, Leslie Belt, Mishael Ozed-Williams and Brandon McCormick to the Board for approval to sit for the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score, and to make a FAIR request for the application of Dr. Preston Battles as noted above. Dr. Sideman seconded.

VOICE VOTE: The motion carried unanimously.

C. Requesting Approval of Supervised Experience for Licensure (A.R.S. §§ 32-2071, 2071.01 & 2072)

None in this category.

D. Requesting Approval for Supervised Temporary License w/ or w/out EPPP (A.R.S. §§ 32-2073)

None in this category.

E. Requesting Approval for Licensure by Waiver (A.R.S. §§ 32-2071, 2071.01 & 2072)

- 1) *Moved to Board Agenda more than 24 hours prior to the meeting.*
- 2) Yolanda Estrada, Psy.D.

Dr. Estrada was present at the meeting. Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. However, the Committee noted that the start date on the postdoctoral verification appeared to have a typo. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval for licensure contingent on correction of the verification's start date.

- 3) Petra Duran, Ph.D.

Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval for licensure.

MOTION: Dr. Flint moved to forward the applications of Dr. Petra Duran to the Board for approval for licensure by waiver, and Dr. Yolanda Estrada for approval for licensure by waiver contingent on correction of the postdoctoral verification as noted above. Dr. Sideman seconded.

VOICE VOTE: The motion carried unanimously.

F. Requesting Approval for Licensure by Credential (ABPP, CPQ or NRHSP - A.R.S. § 32-2071.01)

- 1) Shannon Curry, Psy.D., NRHSP

Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval for licensure.

- 2) Ashley Whitaker, Ph.D., ABPP

Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval for licensure.

- 3) Morgan Pepiton, Psy.D., CPQ

Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval for licensure.

- 4) Denise Gretchen-Doorly, Ph.D., NRHSP

Dr. Gretchen-Doorly was present at the meeting. Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval for licensure.

- 5) Sue Schonberg, Ph.D., ABPP

Dr. Schonberg was present at the meeting. Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval for licensure.

- 6) Jennifer Fisher, Ph.D., ABPP

Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval for licensure.

7) Pamela Goss-Power, Psy.D., NRHSP

Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval for licensure.

MOTION: Dr. Flint moved to forward the applications of Drs. Shannon Curry, Ashley Whitaker, Morgan Pepiton, Denise Gretchen-Doorly, Sue Schonberg, Jennifer Fisher and Pamela Goss-Power to the Board for approval for licensure by credential. Dr. Sideman seconded.

VOICE VOTE: The motion carried unanimously.

G. Requesting Approval for Licensure by Universal Recognition (A.R.S. § 32-4302)

1) Bethany Friedman, Psy.D.

Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval for licensure by universal recognition.

2) Ajasha Long, Ph.D.

Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval for licensure by universal recognition.

3) Elizabeth Norris-Walczak, Ph.D.

Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval for licensure by universal recognition.

4) Annabel Fields, Psy.D.

Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval for licensure by universal recognition.

5) Amina Simmons, Ph.D.

Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval for licensure by universal recognition.

6) Elizabeth Stanton, Psy.D.

Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval for licensure by universal recognition.

7) Steve Kudler, Psy.D.

Dr. Kudler was present at the meeting. Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval for licensure by universal recognition.

MOTION: Dr. Flint moved to forward the applications of Drs. Bethany Friedman, Ajasha Long, Elizabeth Norris-Walczak, Annabel Fields, Amina Simmons, Elizabeth Stanton and Steve Kudler to the Board for approval for licensure by universal recognition. Dr. Sideman seconded.

VOICE VOTE: The motion carried unanimously.

H. Requesting Approval for Telehealth Registry (A.R.S. § 36-3606)

1) Mary Jo Bautista-Bohall, Psy.D.

Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval for telehealth registration.

2) Jessica Brooks, Ph.D.

Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of the statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for approval for telehealth registration.

MOTION: Dr. Flint moved to forward the applications of Drs. Mary Jo Bautista-Bohall and Jessica Brooks to the Board for approval for telehealth registration. Dr. Sideman seconded.

VOICE VOTE: The motion carried unanimously.

5. NEW AGENDA ITEMS FOR FUTURE MEETINGS

Dr. Flint asked for an item regarding correction of the preinternship verification form for a future agenda.

6. ADJOURNMENT

Dr. Sideman motioned to adjourn the meeting. Dr. Flint seconded. Upon a unanimous voice vote, the meeting adjourned at 3:02 p.m.