

STATE OF ARIZONA BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGIST EXAMINERS 1740 WEST ADAMS STREET, SUITE 2430 PHOENIX, AZ 85007 602.542.8162 psychboard.az.gov

KATIE HOBBS Governor HEIDI HERBST PAAKKONEN, M.P.A. Executive Director

BOARD MEETING MINUTES

December 13, 2024

Board Members

Aditya Dynar, Esq.— Chair Diana Medina, Ph.D.— Vice Chair Bryan Davey, Ph.D., BCBA-D Linda Caterino, Ph.D., ABPP Melissa Flint, Psy.D. Donald Stenhoff, Ph.D., BCBA-D Larry Sideman, Ph.D., ABPP Joseph Stewart, Ed.D.— Secretary

Board Staff

Heidi Herbst Paakkonen, M.P.A., Executive Director Jennifer Michaelsen, M.P.A., Deputy Director Krishna Poe, Program Projects Specialist Kathy Fowkes, Psychologist Licensing Specialist Zakiya Mallas, Behavior Analyst Licensing Specialist

Board Attorney

Jeanne Galvin, Assistant Attorney General

1. CALL TO ORDER

Mr. Dynar called the meeting to order at 8:30 a.m.

2. ROLL CALL

The following Board members participated in the virtual meeting: Mr. Dynar, Dr. Medina (departed the meeting at 10:33 a.m.), Dr. Stewart, Dr. Caterino, Dr. Davey (joined the meeting at 8:36 a.m.), Dr. Flint, and Dr. Sideman.

ALSO PRESENT

The following Board staff participated in the virtual meeting: Heidi Herbst Paakkonen, Executive Director; Jennifer Michaelsen, Deputy Director, Jeanne Galvin, Assistant Attorney General; Kathy Fowkes, Licensing Specialist, Zakiya Mallas, Licensing Specialist, and Krishna Poe, Projects Specialist.

3. REMARKS/ANNOUNCEMENTS

Board Survey

Mr. Dynar encouraged meeting attendees to provide feedback by contacting Board staff and completing a Board Meeting Assessment Survey.

Board Member and Staff Appreciation

Mr. Dynar acknowledged and thanked Board members and staff for their hard work and efforts in facilitating the Board's meetings.

Continuing Education Credit for Board Meeting Attendance

Mr. Dynar announced that meeting attendees are eligible for continuing education credit if the meeting exceeds four hours.

4. CALL TO THE PUBLIC

No members of the public requested to speak.

5. COUNSEL UPDATE

Ms. Galvin did not have an update to provide to the Board.

6. CONSENT AGENDA - DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION

Item 6.F. was removed from consideration due to a lack of quorum of members (Drs. Medina and Sideman recused). Dr. Davey recused from the consideration and vote of item 6.A.

. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

- November 8, 2024 Regular Session Minutes
- November 8, 2024 Executive Session Minutes

B. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

C. DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING PSYCHOLOGIST APPLICATIONS

- 1. Requesting Approval to Sit for the EPPP Only (A.R.S. §§ 32-2071, 2071.01 & 2072)
 - a) Sharon Simington, Psy.D.

2. Requesting Approval to Sit for EPPP & Licensure (A.R.S. §§ 32-2071, 2071.01 & 2072)

- a) Diana Galloway, Psy.D.
- b) Melanie Estrada, Psy.D.
- c) Chelsey Maxson, Ph.D.
- d) Jonathan Shasteen, Ph.D.
- e) Estrella Ochoa, Psy.D.
- f) Vanessa Martinez-Morales, Ph.D.
- g) Tehra Storms, Psy.D.
- h) Marca McCallie, Ph.D.
- i) Jiayi Yu, Psy.D.
- j) Caitlyn Albano, Psy.D.
- k) Hannah Levy, Ph.D.

3. Requesting Approval of Supervised Experience for Licensure (A.R.S. §§ 32-2071, 2071.01 & 2072)

- a) Madison Day-Robinson, Psy.D.
- b) Anna Gutierrez, Psy.D.
- c) Sierra Study, Psy.D.
- d) Christian Mallett, Ph.D.

4. Requesting Approval for Supervised Temporary License w/ EPPP (A.R.S. § 32-2073)

a) Anthony Flynn, Ph.D.

5. Requesting Approval for Licensure by Waiver (A.R.S. §§ 32-2071, 2071.01 & 2072)

- a) Robert Connolly, Psy.D.
- b) Jennifer Kendall, Psy.D.
- c) Thomas Tracy, Psy.D.

d) Lin Zhu, Ph.D.

6. Requesting Approval for Licensure by Credential (A.R.S. §§ 32-2071.01)

- a) Ana Belmonte, Psy.D., CPQ
- b) Laura Vasel, Ph.D., NRHSP
- c) Robin Kay, Ph.D., CPQ
- d) Annamarie Barone Cochrane, Psy.D., NRHSP
- e) Toby Kellk-Hamann, Psy.D., NRHSP

7. Requesting Approval for Licensure by Universal Recognition (A.R.S. § 32-4302)

- a) Teresa Yeh, Psy.D.
- b) Michelle Haikalis, Ph.D.
- c) Marsha Sargeant, Ph.D.

8. Requesting Approval for Telehealth Registry (A.R.S. § 36-3606)

- a) Elizabeth Schreiber, Ph.D.
- b) Philip MacEachron, Psy.D.
- c) Regina Kentner, Psy.D.

D. DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING BEHAVIOR ANALYST APPLICATIONS

- 1. Kody McGregor, M.A.
- 2. Sarah McClary, M..A.
- **3.** Kerri Milyko, Ph.D.
- 4. Thania Dabney-Ruiz, M.S.
- 5. Lauren Gonzales, M.S.
- **6.** Curtis Martinez, M.S.
- 7. Jose Rios, M.A.
- 8. Rachel Kreisman, M.A.
- 9. Christina Bernier, M.Ed.
- 10. Haley Radford, M.A.
- 11. Cheryl Fidel, M.A.
- 12. Taylor Campos, M.A.
- 13. Alicia Smith, M.A.
- 14. Sergio Saldivar, M.A.
- **15.** Jennifer Williams, M.Ed.
- 16. Taprie Hayes, M.S.
- 17. Sharon Gonzales, M.A.
- 18. Elizabeth Block, M.A.
- 19. Anna Ta, M.S.
- 20. Haley Luce, M.A.
- 21. Jacob Tonna, M.Ed.
- 22. Catherine Iwinski, M.A.
- 23. Ryan Mott, M.A.
- 24. David Stewart, M.A.
- 25. Savannah Toussaint-Adviento, M.A.
- **26.** Melissa Chappell, M.A.
- 27. Amanda Speshock, M.A.
- 28. Pitchaphon Stuart, M.S.
- 29. Belinda Tirado, M.S.
- **30.** Haley Burleson, M.Ed.
- 31. Alecia Wright, M.S.
- 32. Andrea Newkirk, M.A.
- 33. Mana Butterworth, M.A.
- **34.** Christine Livingston, M.S.
- 35. Rachel Scott, M.A.

- **36.** Ashley Weatherill, M.S.
- 37. Jacquelyne Beyer, M.A.
- 38. Megan McLeod Hibler, M.Ed.
- 39. Alena Wieczorek, M.S.
- **40.** Richard Lundberg, M.A.
- 41. Mahima Talwar, M.S.
- 42. Ranen Falah Amer, M.A.
- 43. Kelsea Ferentheil, M.A.
- **44.** Melissa Jensen, M.A.
- 45. Marisa Mckay, M.A.
- **46.** Cynthia Leon, M.S.
- 47. Alexis Contreras, M.S.
- 48. Andrew Au, M.A.
- 49. Emily Fondy, M.A.
- **50.** Fernanda Quijada, M.S.
- **51.** Alvin Alabaso, M.Ed.
- **52.** Rebecca Reid, Ph.D.
- **53.** Daniel Payne, M.A.
- **54.** Mary Flores, M.Ed.
- 55. Zoie Scott, M.A.
- **56.** Judith Barron, M.S.
- E. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING RECOMMENDATION FROM THE COMMITTEE ON BEHAVIOR ANALYSTS PERTAINING TO REINSTATEMENT REQUEST SUBMITTED BY STEPHANIE REAL, M.A.
- F. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING REQUEST TO RETAKE THE EPPP SUBMITTED BY JUAN CARLOS GONZALEZ, PSY.D. SUPERVISED TEMPORARY LICENSE HOLDER NO. PSY-T-000068
- G. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING REQUEST TO RETAKE THE EPPP SUBMITTED BY VANESSA SMITH, PH.D. SUPERVISED TEMPORARY LICENSE HOLDER NO. PSY-T-000066

MOTION: Dr. Stewart moved for the Board to approve the items listed under the Consent Agenda (with the recusals as noted, and with the exception of 6.F.).

SECOND: Dr. Medina.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Mr. Dynar, Dr. Medina, Dr. Stewart, Dr. Caterino, Dr. Davey, Dr. Flint, and Dr. Sideman.

VOTE: 7-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recused.

MOTION PASSED.

TIMED ITEM - 8:45 A.M.

7. INFORMAL INTERVIEW – MARY ANN LANZILOTTA, PH.D. – COMPLAINT NO. 24-38

DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION RELATING TO ALLEGATIONS OF UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT, PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, POSSIBLE DISCIPLINE AND/OR OFFER OF A CONSENT AGREEMENT OR REFERRAL TO A FORMAL HEARING

Mr. Dynar provided an overview of the informal interview process. In doing so, he stated that the Board may vote to go into Executive Session on any item on the agenda, pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.03(A)(2), to review confidential

records including the receipt and discussion of information or testimony that is confidential by state or federal law, and/or A.R.S. § 38-431.03(A)(3), to receive confidential legal advice from the Board's attorney. Dr. Lanzilotta and her attorney, Flynn Carey, were present and introduced themselves for the record. Board members introduced themselves, stating their respective roles on the Board. Dr. Dynar recited the case allegations for 24-38. Dr. Lanzilotta was sworn in for the proceeding.

Dr. Medina provided a summary of the investigation, noting that Dr. Lanzilotta was appointed by family court as the Therapeutic Interventionist (TI) tasked with the goal of achieving family reunification between complainant JT and his 17 ½ year old daughter, and with filing progress reports to the court. JT cites that Dr. Lanzilotta failed to demonstrate a commitment to achieving the reunification goal, and failed to issue the 90-day progress report. Dr. Medina stated the Board's Complaint Screening Committee forwarded the complaint to the Board for further review regarding a potential violation of A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(o), providing services that are unnecessary or unsafe or otherwise engaging in activities as a psychologist that are unprofessional by current standards of practice. At the conclusion of the Board's initial review of the case, it was remanded to an informal interview.

On behalf of his client, Mr. Carey advised the Board that Dr. Lanzilotta met the standard of care with respect to her TI role, however, he called to the Board's attention the continuing education credits on this topic that Dr. Lanzilotta completed.

JT was present and made a statement to the Board, summarizing his allegations.

Dr. Lanzilotta responded to a series of questions posed by Board members, providing her rationale for her actions and decisions relative to her TI role. She stated that the purpose of TI interim reports is to notify the court of noteworthy events, and in this case she determined that at the 90-day mark there were no significant developments to report. She stated that she anticipated the potential for some relationship between JT and his daughter to emerge, even if reunification was not achievable under the challenging circumstances. Dr. Lanzilotta addressed questions concerning what progress did and did not occur during the term of her TI appointment.

In his closing statement, Mr. Flynn stated Dr. Lanzilotta's conduct did not constitute a violation of any statute and therefore a non-disciplinary resolution is appropriate.

The Board deliberated the case and in the process, identified concerns specific to the peculiarities of the family court matter.

MOTION: Dr. Stewart moved for the Board to issue a Letter of Concern to Dr. Lanzilotta for her failure to issue to the court the 90-day progress report referenced in the family court case central to the complaint. SECOND: Dr. Davey.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Mr. Dynar, Dr. Medina, Dr. Stewart, Dr. Caterino, Dr. Davey, Dr. Flint, and Dr. Sideman.

VOTE: 7-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recused.

MOTION PASSED.

THE FOLLOWING AGENDA ITEMS ARE UNTIMED AND MAY BE DISCUSSED AND DECIDED UPON AT VARIOUS TIMES THROUGHOUT THE MEETING AT THE DISCRETION OF THE CHAIR

8. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON PROPOSED AND POTENTIAL LEGISLATION FOR THE 2025 FIFTY-SEVENTH ARIZONA STATE LEGISLATURE, FIRST REGULAR SESSION

Ms. Paakkonen advised the Board that representatives of the Arizona Psychological Association and of the Arizona Association for Behavior Analysis are present to provide updates relative to items 8.a. and 8.b.

. Psychologist Prescriber Authority Bill

Brandy Petrone with Goodman Schwarz Public Affairs summarized the history of this effort to include recent stakeholder meeting discussions. She noted the substantive changes to the bill that have been incorporated as a result. The Board engaged with Ms. Petrone and firm associate, Emily Raymond, relative to the investigative and adjudication components of the bill. Ms. Raymond and Ms. Petrone reviewed the collaborative agreement requirements and restrictions drafted in the language.

a. Behavior Analyst Board Bill

Ms. Petrone informed the Board that an identical version of the 2024 bill will be introduced in the 2025 session. She also explained their group has reportedly met with members of the Arizona State Legislature in the hope the bill achieves additional support. The Board discussion and consensus reflected a position of support for the bill, consistent with that for last year.

b. Folder 224: health professionals; website; time limitation

Ms. Paakkonen explained this draft bill is identical to one that was introduced in 2024 that did not receive a hearing. She explained the bill extends the period of time disciplinary actions are posted on a health license board's website from five to 25 years, and it proposes to require postings of Letters of Concern on health boards' websites. She also noted that the bill sponsor has scheduled a stakeholders meeting for purposes of gathering feedback to the proposal; the timing is fortuitous in that the Board can provide her with some direction to bring to that meeting.

The Board discussed the draft bill, concurring that transparency and consistency across the health professions boards is important.

MOTION: Dr. Stewart moved for the Board to direct Ms. Paakkonen to signal its support for the proposed bill providing there is a consensus among the boards with respect to a posting time frame. SECOND: Mr. Dvnar.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Mr. Dynar, Dr. Stewart, Dr. Caterino, Dr. Davey, Dr. Flint, and Dr. Sideman.

VOTE: 6-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recused.

MOTION PASSED.

c. Board Requested Bill

Ms. Paakkonen advised the Board that she is recommending at this time that the Board not pursue the legislative changes it previously and unsuccessfully sought in 2023 and 2024 given the recent adoption of the Substantive Policy Statement that permits qualifying applicants to elect to take the EPPP upon completion of the required coursework and prior to dissertation defense and training experience completion. She also noted that while bringing improved clarity to the statute A.R.S. §32-2072 is an ideal goal, it could be premature to pursue language changes in 2025 while the ASPPB is moving forward with a reimagined EPPP. The Board concurred with the recommendation.

9. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING SELF-REPORT SUBMITTED BY MARCUS EARLE, PH.D.

Ms. Michaelsen advised the Board that Dr. Earle submitted a timely self-report regarding a misdemeanor charge for second degree criminal tampering that occurred on August 4, 2024 in Colorado, Specifically, Dr. Earle reported that on two occasions he closed the open hood of a neighbor's car that was parked in front of his home, not realizing this was a violation of Colorado law to do so. Ms. Michaelsen noted the police report confirms the accuracy of the self-report, and that while the neighbor requested prosecution, ultimately this criminal charge was dismissed by the Colorado court on October 25, 2024; the court appears to have rendered this decision after receiving a motion from the district attorney requesting dismissal on the basis that there is no reasonable likelihood of success at trial. Ms. Michaelsen requested direction from the Board on how to proceed with this self-report.

Dr. Earle was present for the review of this matter and agreed to address the Board's questions. He explained he was frustrated with the neighbor's conduct which compelled him to remedy the matter as he did. Dr. Earle also expressed regret for making this decision.

MOTION: Dr. Stewart moved for the Board to take no action with respect to the self-report.

SECOND: Dr. Davev.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Mr. Dynar, Dr. Stewart, Dr. Caterino,

Dr. Davey, Dr. Flint, and Dr. Sideman. VOTE: 6-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recused.

MOTION PASSED.

10. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING THE RESULTS OF THE EVALUATION COMPLETED BY NICOLE MIRKIN, PSY.D., IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE INTERIM ORDER FOR CASE NO. 25-14; AND CONTINUED REVIEW OF HER SELF-REPORT

Ms. Michaelsen reminded the Board that during its September 6, 2024 meeting it reviewed Dr. Mirkin's self-report for pending Extreme DUI charges; during that review Dr. Mirkin and her attorney, Andrew Turk, answered questions posed by the Board regarding both this DUI arrest and her prior DUI from 2008. Ms. Michaelsen stated the outcome of this review was issuance of an Interim Order requiring Dr. Mirkin to undergo an evaluation and to supply timely updates for the criminal case. Ms. Michaelsen noted Dr. Mirkin completed the evaluation within the required timeframe specified in the Order, and that pursuant to a plea agreement, on November 14, 2024 she pled guilty to one count of DUI, a class one misdemeanor with the Extreme DUI charges being dismissed. Ms. Michaelsen summarized Dr. Mirkin's compliance with the sentencing terms.

On behalf of his client, Mr. Turk, stated to the Board the DUI event is of no concern as it had nothing to do with patient care or safety. He described the evaluation as conducted by Nicole Lazorwitz, Psy.D. as "deeply flawed". He further declared that the Board should not consider Dr. Mirkin's DUIs as representative of a pattern of behavior.

MOTION: Dr. Davey moved for the Board to meet in Executive Session for the purpose of discussing confidential health related information, and also to receive legal advice.

SECOND: Dr. Stewart.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Mr. Dynar, Dr. Stewart, Dr. Caterino, Dr. Flint, Dr. Sideman, and Dr. Stenhoff.

VOTE: 6-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recused.

MOTION PASSED.

The Board met in Executive Session from 11:50 a.m. to 12:26 p.m.

Upon resuming the meeting in public session, Mr. Turk resumed his statement, asserting in the process that Dr. Mirkin is already dealing with the consequences of this matter and therefore the Board should take no action.

The Board deliberated the matter and concurred that the evaluation methodology used by Dr. Lazorwitz is sound. Discussion ensued relative to the evaluation recommendations.

MOTION: Dr. Stewart moved for the Board to offer Dr. Mirkin a Consent Agreement consisting of a fourmonth term of probation, and including as terms the recommendations listed in the evaluation with the exception of number four. The motion included the provision that Board staff is authorized to approve the proposed therapeutic arrangements.

SECOND: Mr. Dynar.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Mr. Dynar, Dr. Stewart, Dr. Caterino, Dr. Flint, Dr. Sideman, and Dr. Stenhoff.

ROLL CALL VOTE:

Mr. Dynar - yes

Dr. Caterino - yes

Dr. Davey - yes

Dr. Stewart - yes

Dr. Flint – ves

Dr. Sideman - yes

VOTE: 6-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 3-recused.

MOTION PASSED.

11. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION RELATING TO REHABILITATION AND MONITORING PROGRAM (RAMP) PARTICIPANT 2025-01

MOTION: Mr. Dynar moved for the Board to meet in Executive Session for the purpose of discussing confidential health related information, and also to receive legal advice.

SECOND: Dr. Stewart.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Mr. Dynar, Dr. Medina, Dr. Stewart, Dr. Caterino, Dr. Flint, Dr. Sideman, and Dr. Stenhoff.

VOTE: 7-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recused.

MOTION PASSED.

The Board met in Executive Session from 10:12 a.m. to 10:33 a.m.

Upon resuming the meeting in public session, Mr. Dynar announced this matter is tabled and will be continued during the next meeting of the Board.

12. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING THE COMMITTEE ON BEHAVIOR ANALYSTS RECOMMENDATION FOR THE FOLLOWING MATTERS:

- . Complaint No. 24-29, Nicole Lipowski, BCBA, and pending behavior analyst licensure application
- a. Complaint No. T-24-01, Deidre Evans, BCBA (unlicensed in Arizona)

Dr. Davey provided an overview of the complaints involving BCBAs formerly employed by the recently closed SWAN Therapy. He noted the investigation found that Ms. Evans signed off on treatment plans for the Arizona clients despite not holding a license in Arizona, and that Ms. Lipowski functioned for an extended period of time as the company's clinical director without having a license in Arizona. He observed that the owner of SWAN Therapy, an Arizona licensed physician, abruptly closed the business in October without enabling the transition of care for the clients receiving services. Dr. Davey stated that based on the investigative findings and a review of relevant statutes, the Committee recommends the Board deny Ms. Lipowski's pending licensure application pursuant to A.R.S. §32-2091.02 which specifies "A person who wishes to practice as a behavior analyst must be licensed pursuant to this article." He further stated that the Committee recommends issuance of a Cease & Desist Order to Ms. Evans for unlicensed practice.

The complainant, MD, was not present. Both Ms. Lipowski and Ms. Evans were present. Neither were represented by an attorney.

MOTION: Dr. Davey moved for the Board to deny Ms. Lipowski's pending licensure application pursuant to A.R.S. §32-2091.02 and to issue a Cease & Desist Order to Ms. Evans.

SECOND: Dr. Stewart.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Mr. Dynar, Dr. Medina, Dr. Stewart, Dr. Caterino, Dr. Flint, Dr. Sideman, and Dr. Stenhoff.

VOTE: 7-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recused. MOTION PASSED.

13. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION CONCERNING DRAFT PROPOSED REVISIONS TO A.A.C. R4-26-406

Ms. Paakkonen reminded the Board that it has previously granted approval to A.A.C. R4-26-406 to incorporate by reference the 2020 version of the BACB's Professional and Ethical Code for Behavior Analysts in order to provide the necessary clarity, as mandated in statute, to identify the specific version that is incorporated by reference. She requested the Board review and consider approving the draft Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPR) as recommended by the Committee on Behavior Analysts as the first step in the rule promulgation process. Dr. Davey spoke in favor of the request to approve the NPR.

MOTION: Dr. Stewart moved for the Board to approve the filing of the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. SECOND: Dr. Davey.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Mr. Dynar, Dr. Medina, Dr. Caterino, Dr. Davey, Dr. Flint, Dr. Sideman, and Dr. Stewart.

VOTE: 7-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recused.

MOTION PASSED.

14. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION CONCERNING DRAFT PROPOSED REVISIONS TO A.A.C. R4-26-207

Ms. Paakkonen reminded the Board that in October of 2022 the Governor's Regulatory Review Council ("Council") rejected the Board's proposed rulemaking to revise the continuing education requirements for psychologists to require completion of the jurisprudence educational tool (JET) each licensure cycle. She noted The Board later directed her to refine recommendations specific to seeking an administrative rule revision and to bring them to a future meeting agenda. In late September she received approval from the Governor's office to initiate a rulemaking to re-propose the revision to the rule. She called to the Board's attention the draft Notice of Proposed Rulemaking as prepared with assistance from the Board's contracted rule writer. Ms. Paakkonen called to the Board's attention the extensive justification as to why the Board is seeking the rule revision. She explained the purpose of this agenda item is for the Board to review and consider whether to move forward with the filing of this draft Notice of Proposed Rulemaking as the initial step in the rule promulgation and revision process.

The Board offered suggestions to augment the justification for the proposed rule revision, and to solicit support from stakeholders as well.

MOTION: Mr. Dynar moved for the Board to approve the filing of the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. SECOND: Dr. Stewart.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Mr. Dynar, Dr. Caterino, Dr. Davey, Dr. Flint, Dr. Sideman, and Dr. Stewart.

VOTE: 6-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recused.

MOTION PASSED.

15. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING ELECTION OF 2024 OFFICERS

- a. Chair
- b. Vice-Chair
- c. Secretary

Ms. Paakkonen reminded the Board that it is a statutory requirement to elect from its members to serve in these three offices. Nominations were made for Mr. Dynar to be elected as Chair, Dr. Medina to be elected as Vice Chair, and Dr. Flint to be elected as Secretary.

MOTION: Dr. Stewart moved for the Board to accept the slate of candidates as nominated.

SECOND: Dr. Davey.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Mr. Dynar, Dr. Caterino, Dr. Davey, Dr.

Flint, Dr. Sideman, and Dr. Stewart.

VOTE: 6-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recused.

MOTION PASSED.

16. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING PSYCHOLOGIST APPLICATIONS FOR EXAM AND/OR LICENSURE

. Marissa Mascorro, Psy.D., EPPP Only

Dr. Flint recused from the consideration and vote on this agenda item. Dr. Sideman provided a summary of the application file. He noted that her review does not identify any deficiencies with this application as the documentation submitted establishes the file is administratively and substantively complete, and that the applicant meets the statutory qualifications to be approved to take the EPPP.

MOTION: Dr. Sideman moved for the Board to approve the application requesting approval to take the EPPP.

SECOND: Dr. Caterino.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Mr. Dynar, Dr. Medina, Dr. Caterino,

Dr. Davey, Dr. Sideman, and Dr. Stewart.

VOTE: 6-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 1-recused.

MOTION PASSED.

a. Chanelle Jasso, Psy.D., Reopened for Approval of Supervised Experience for Licensure

Dr. Sideman recused from the consideration and vote on this agenda item. Dr. Flint provided a summary of the application file. She noted that her review does not identify any deficiencies with this application as the documentation submitted establishes the file is administratively and substantively complete, and that the applicant meets the statutory qualifications for the requested supervised experience for licensure to be approved.

MOTION: Dr. Flint moved for the Board to approve the application approving the proposed supervised experience.

SECOND: Dr. Stewart.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Mr. Dynar, Dr. Medina, Dr. Caterino,

Dr. Davey, Dr. Flint, and Dr. Stewart.

VOTE: 6-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 1-recused.

MOTION PASSED.

17. NEW AGENDA ITEMS FOR FUTURE MEETINGS

An item was requested that enables a robust staff presentation and Board discussion concerning impactful proposed bills in the 2025 legislative session.

18. ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Dynar announced the adjournment of the meeting at 1:52 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph Stewart, Ph.D.

Secretary