BEFORE THE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGIST EXAMINERS FOR THE STATE OF ARIZONA

In the Matter of:

SUSAN SCHWARTZ, Ph.D.

For the Practice of Psychology,

In the State of Arizona,

Holder of License No. PSY-001863

Respondent.

3

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

Case No. 24-30

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND CONSENT AGREEMENT FOR SURRENDER OF PSYCHOLOGIST LICENSE

In the interest of a prompt and judicious settlement of the above-captioned matter before the Arizona Board of Psychologist Examiners ("Board") and consistent with public interest, statutory requirements and responsibilities of the Board and pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2061 et seq., and A.R.S. § 41-1092.07(F)(5), Susan Schwartz, Ph.D. ("Respondent"), holder of License No. PSY-001863 and the Board enter into this Consent Agreement for Voluntary Surrender of Psychologist License ("Consent Agreement") as the final disposition of this matter.

JURISDICTION

- The Board is authorized to regulate the practice of psychology in Arizona 1. pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2061, et. seq., and the rules promulgated thereunder, found in Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C." or "rules") at R4-26-101, et seq., to regulate and control the licensing of psychologists in the State of Arizona.
- Respondent is the holder of license number PSY-001863 for the practice of 2. psychology in the State of Arizona.
 - The Board has personal and subject matter jurisdiction over Respondent 3.

political subdivision of this state from instituting proceedings, investigating claims, or taking legal action as may be appropriate now or in the future relating to this matter or other matters concerning Respondent, including violations of the Arizona Consumer Protection Act. Respondent acknowledges that, other than with respect to the Board, this Consent Agreement makes no representations, implied or otherwise, about the views or intended actions of any other state agency or officer or political subdivision of the state relating to this matter or other matters concerning Respondent.

- 8. This Consent Agreement shall be subject to the approval by the Board and shall be effective only when approved by the Board and signed by the Board's Executive Director. In the event that the Board does not approve this Consent Agreement, it is withdrawn and shall be of no evidentiary value and shall not be relied upon nor introduced in any action by any party, except the parties agree that should the Board reject this Consent Agreement and this case proceeds to hearing, Respondent shall assert no claim that the Board was prejudiced by its review and discussion of this document or any records relating thereto.
- 9. The Consent Agreement, once approved by the Board and signed by the Respondent and the Executive Director, shall constitute a public record, which may be disseminated as a formal action of the Board and shall be reported to the National Practitioner Data Bank.
- 10. It is the Respondent's position that the evidence does not support all the Findings of Fact set forth in this Consent Agreement but she acknowledges that upon the conclusion of the Board's investigation into this matter, the Board could establish

sufficient evidence to support a conclusion that certain of Respondent's conduct constituted unprofessional conduct.

of avoiding the expense, uncertainty, and prolonged time involved in further administrative proceedings. The issues contained herein are resolved by settlement and not actually litigated. Any allegations and findings herein may not be used for res judicata or collateral estoppel effect in any subsequent civil proceedings for any claims of professional liability or negligence by or on behalf of any complainant(s).

FINDINGS OF FACT

- Respondent was issued her psychologist license by the Board in 1991. She maintains a private practice in Paradise Valley, AZ.
- 2. K.G. participated in individual therapy with Respondent from 2016-2019, for approximately 40 to 50 sessions. In February of 2024, K.G. filed a complaint with the Board and against Respondent alleging, *inter alia*, that Respondent had used K.G.'s personal history as the basis for one of the characters in Respondent's book, *The Absent Father Effect on Daughters: Father Desire, Father Wounds*, published in 2020.
- 3. K.G. read Respondent's book in January of 2023, and immediately became concerned that the subject of Chapter 5 was based on her. The chapter was titled "Bad Dad....", which, according to K.G. was taken from one of her blog posts that she had shared earlier with Respondent. K.G. also asserted that there were a number of personal identifiers that she shared with the main character, that the main character was portrayed in a negative light, and this damaged K.G. psychologically, emotionally, and professionally.

4. In August of 2023, K.G. initiated a zoom call with Respondent to discuss K.G.'s concern with the similarities between herself and the character in Respondent's book. During this exchange, which was recorded, Respondent stated to K.G. that she should have notified K.G. that she (Respondent) was going to use some of K.G.'s dream in the book and that "it was not right" of her (Respondent) to fail to obtain K.G.'s approval.

- 5. In her written response to the Board and subsequent appearances before the Board and its investigative committee, Respondent maintained that the character in Chapter 5 of her book was not based on K.G. Respondent's position is that K.G.'s story was similar to many women who had unhealthy relationships with their fathers and that she had not consciously taken any material from K.G. for use in her book.
- 6. During the Board's investigation into this matter, it was discovered, and Respondent acknowledged, that she did not maintain patient records for K.G. Additionally, Respondent acknowledged that her records for many of her clients would be deemed inadequate pursuant to current standards.
- 7. At its public meeting on November 8, 2024, the Board conducted an Informal Interview for this complaint. The Board observed that on her most recent licensure renewal application, relative to her documentation and record-keeping compliance, Respondent's affirmative answer was a false statement. Respondent was not meeting minimum practice standards for documentation despite avowing to do so. Additionally, during her Informal Interview, Respondent acknowledged that she had, in the past, "balanced billed" some of her clients, meaning she charged some clients the difference between her charges for services and the amount that her clients' insurance had approved contractually, despite

having agreed to the insurers' reimbursement rate. Balance billing in this way is a prohibited practice. Finally, the Board discussed the necessity to further investigate Respondent's practice management to arrive at an appropriate outcome and final adjudication of the complaint. The Board indicated that it would accept a voluntary surrender of Respondent's license, which would serve as an appropriate resolution.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- The conduct set forth in the above Findings of Fact constitutes unprofessional conduct as defined by A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(b), which is betraying professional confidences.
- The conduct set forth in the above Findings of Fact constitutes unprofessional conduct as defined by A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(e), which is gross negligence in the practice as a psychologist.
- 3. The conduct set forth in the above Findings of Fact constitutes unprofessional conduct as defined by A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(g), which is engaging or offering to engage as a psychologist in activities not congruent with the psychologist's professional education, training and experience.
- 4. The conduct set forth in the above Findings of Fact constitutes unprofessional conduct as defined by A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(h), which is failing or refusing to maintain and retain adequate business, financial or professional records pertaining to the psychological services provided to a client or patient.
- The conduct set forth in the above Findings of Fact constitutes unprofessional conduct as defined by A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(r), which is failing to obtain a client's or

patient's informed and written consent to release personal or otherwise confidential information to another party unless the release is otherwise authorized by law.

- 6. The conduct set forth in the above Findings of Fact constitutes unprofessional conduct as defined by A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(y), which is exploiting a client or patient, student or a supervisee.
- 7. The conduct set forth in the above Findings of Fact constitutes unprofessional conduct as defined by A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(dd), which is violating an ethical standard adopted by the Board as it pertains to section 6.01 (Documentation of Professional and Scientific Work and Maintenance of Records) of the APA ethics code.

<u>ORDER</u>

Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the parties agree to the provisions and penalties imposed as follows:

- 1. Upon the effective date of this Consent Agreement, Respondent's license number PSY-001863 for the practice of psychology in the State of Arizona shall be surrendered. Once the surrender is effectuated, Respondent shall not practice psychology in the State of Arizona or hold herself out as a licensed psychologist in the State of Arizona. Respondent shall take all necessary action to remove all references in any business cards, stationary, other publications, and internet references to her being a psychologist in the State of Arizona. The effective date of this Consent Agreement is the date the Consent Agreement is accepted by the Board as evidenced by the signature of the Board's Executive Director or her designee.
 - 2. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement, or any part thereof,

1	may be considered in any future disciplinary action against her or in any future decision	
2	regarding re-licensure.	
3	 The parties agree that this Consent Agreement is a final adjudication of this 	
4	matter.	
5	Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement is a public record and	
6	may be publicly disseminated as a formal action of the Board and shall be reported to the	
7	National Practitioner Data Bank.	
8	March	
9	DATED THIS 47 day of + 2001,2025.	
10		ARIZONA BOARD OF
11	La Classit Do	PSYCHOLOGIST EXAMINERS
12	Susan Schwartz, Ph.D. Respondent	Heidi Herbst Paakkonen Executive Director
14	ORIGINAL filed electronically this 7 day of March, 2025 with:	
15	Arizona State Board of Psychologist Exa	
16	1740 W. Adams St., Suite 3403 Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
17	COPY of the foregoing sent by email	
18	this 7 day of March , 2025 to:	
19	Susan Schwartz, Ph.D. Email Address on Record	
20	Respondent	
21	Robert O. Beardsley III, Esq. Sanders Parks	
22	Robert.beardsley@sandersparks.com	
23	Attorney for Respondent	13-0
24	COPY of the foregoing sent by email (jeanne.galvin@azag.gov) this 7 day of March, 2025 to:	
	П	

Jeanne M. Galvin
Assistant Attorney General
2005 North Central Ave. SGD/LES
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
Jeanne.galvin@azag.gov
Attorney for the State of Arizona

By: Lennifer Michaelsen