



STATE OF ARIZONA
BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGIST EXAMINERS
1740 W. ADAMS STREET, SUITE 3403
PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007
PH: 602-542-8162 FX: 602-926-8095
WEBSITE: www.psychboard.az.gov

DOUGLAS A. DUCEY
Governor

HEIDI HERBST PAAKKONEN, M.P.A.
Executive Director

Regular Session Meeting Minutes
(Corrected 1.2022)

Held virtually via Zoom on March 12, 2021

Board Members

Diana Davis-Wilson, DBH, BCBA – Chair
Bryan Davey, Ph.D., BCBA-D – Vice-Chair
Mathew A. Meier, Psy.D. – Secretary
Linda Caterino, Ph.D.
Aditya Dynar, Esq.
Stephen Gill, Ph.D.
Melanie Laboy, Esq.
Ramona N. Mellott, Ph.D.
Tamara Shreeve, MPA

1. CALL TO ORDER

Chairwoman Davis-Wilson called the Board's meeting to order at 8:32 a.m.

2. ROLL CALL

The following Board members participated in the virtual meeting: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson, Vice-Chairman Davey, Dr. Meier, Dr. Caterino, Mr. Dynar, Dr. Gill, Dr. Mellott and Ms. Shreeve. The following Board member was absent: Ms. Laboy.

ALSO PRESENT

The following Board staff participated in the virtual meeting: Heidi Herbst Paakkonen, Executive Director; Jennifer Michaelson, Deputy Director; Jeanne Galvin, Assistant Attorney General (AAG); Kathy Fowkes, Licensing Specialist; Krishna Poe, Programs and Projects Specialist; and, Andrea Cisneros, Minutes Administrator.

3. REMARKS/ANNOUNCEMENTS

This item was considered around 8:33 a.m.

● **Board Surveys**

Chairwoman Davis-Wilson encouraged meeting attendees to provide feedback by contacting Board staff and completing a Board Meeting Assessment Survey.

● **Board Member and Staff Appreciation**

Chairwoman Davis-Wilson acknowledged and thanked Board members and staff for their hard work and efforts.

● **Continuing education credit for Board meeting attendance**

Chairwoman Davis-Wilson announced that meeting attendees were eligible for continuing education credit. She stated that codewords would be provided throughout today's meeting that attendees are to email Board staff within one week of the meeting to receive the credit.

- **Student Intern Introductions**

Elizabeth Bronold

Caitlin Doherty

Ms. Bronold and Ms. Doherty participated in the virtual meeting and reported on their internship progress and their respective areas of research. Executive Director Herbst Paakkonen reported that Ms. Bronold and Ms. Doherty have been very professional and eager, and that both have met or exceeded established milestones throughout the internship process. She informed the Board that Ms. Bronold and Ms. Doherty will present their projects to the Board at a future meeting. The Board welcomed them both to the team.

4. CALL TO THE PUBLIC

This item was considered around 8:41 a.m.

No individuals addressed the Board during the Call to the Public.

5. COUNSEL UPDATE

This item was considered around 8:42 a.m.

AAG Galvin reported that the matter involving Dr. Sadeh is in Superior Court and that the State's answering brief on the Board's behalf is due next month.

6. CONSENT AGENDA - DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION

The Consent Agenda was considered around 8:42 a.m.

MOTION: Vice-Chairman Davey moved for the Board to approve the items as listed under the Consent Agenda.

SECOND: Mr. Dynar

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson, Vice-Chairman Davey, Dr. Meier, Dr. Caterino, Mr. Dynar, Dr. Gill and Ms. Shreeve. The following Board member was absent: Ms. Laboy and Dr. Mellott.

VOTE: 7-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse, 2-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

A. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

- February 12, 2021 Regular Session Minutes
- February 12, 2021 Executive Session Minutes
- September 4, 2020 Regular Session Minutes (proposed revisions)

B. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

C. DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING PSYCHOLOGIST APPLICATIONS

Requesting Approval to Sit for Examination (EPPP) Only

- 1) Denisha E. Liggett, Psy.D.
- 2) Jessica J. Moore, Psy.D.
- 3) Jodi Tichi, Psy.D.
- 4) Luis R. Sanchez, Ph.D.
- 5) Michele E. Stathatos, Ph.D.
- 6) Minja Vallo, Psy.D.

Requesting Approval to Sit for Examination (EPPP) & Licensure

- 1) Amy Leigh Becker, Psy.D.
- 2) Dawn M. Wear, Ph.D.
- 3) Eva Marie Nicolas, Psy.D.
- 4) Tessa Hamilton, Ph.D.
- 5) Veronica Poore, Psy.D.

Requesting Approval for Licensure by Waiver

- 1) Rosemary Hodges, Ph.D.

Requesting Approval for Licensure by Credential

- 1) Carla Natalucci-Hall, Psy.D.
- 2) Sarah Banks, Ph.D.

Requesting Approval of Temporary Licensure and to Sit for EPPP

- 1) Oksana Skyarov, Psy.D.

Requesting Approval for Licensure by Universal Recognition

- 1) Jeannette Higgins, Psy.D.
- 2) Nicole Ridout, Psy.D.

D. DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING BEHAVIOR ANALYST APPLICATIONS

- 1) Adriana Diaz, M.Ed.
- 2) Alannah Coley, Eisenmann
- 3) Elizabeth Johnson, M.S.
- 4) Joseph Michael Kamen, M.S.
- 5) Kate Horner, M.S.
- 6) Kelsey Erdmann, M.Ed.
- 7) Kylie Cairen Holt, M.S.
- 7) Lloyd Gilbert, M.S.
- 8) Madeline Roznos, M.S.Ed.
- 9) Steven Hassien, M.Ed.
- 10) Terri Ann Yonge Julian, M.Ed.
- 11) Tessa Grabowsky, M.S.
- 12) Yarelis Lopez Alvarez, M.S.
- 13) Franchesca M. Moore, M.A.
- 14)

E. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING THIRD REQUEST TO RETAKE EPPP FROM BENIUS M. BEARD, PSY.D., TEMPORARY LICENSE HOLDER TL-27.

- F. **DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING APPLICATION FOR EXAM AND LICENSURE FROM JEFFREY S. MINTERT, PH.D.**
- G. **DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATIONS, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING APPLICATION FOR TEMPORARY LICENSURE AND TO SIT FOR THE EPPP FROM XANAT I. MARTINEZ, PSY.D.**

TIMED ITEMS – 8:45 A.M.

7. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING ACCEPTANCE OF A PROPOSED LETTER OF CONCERN FOR DR. CAROL GANDOLFO, PSY.D., IN COMPLAINT NO. T-20-08 AND/OR INITIAL CASE REVIEW

This item was considered around 8:47 a.m.

Dr. Gill was recused from the consideration of this matter.

Dr. Gandolfo and Attorneys Larry Cohen and Jonathan Riches participated in the virtual meeting during the Board's consideration of this matter.

AAG Galvin reported that this matter was scheduled for initial case review to determine whether Dr. Gandolfo was practicing psychology in Arizona without a license. She stated the parties negotiated the possible settlement of a Letter of Concern for the Board's consideration. AAG Galvin asked the Board to consider accepting the proposed settlement and vacate the initial case review to resolve this matter.

Mr. Riches reported that Dr. Gandolfo has been a licensed psychologist and family therapist in California for over 20 years. He stated that they provided voluminous documentation that demonstrated Dr. Gandolfo has not engaged in the unlawful practice of psychology. Mr. Riches stated that Dr. Gandolfo provided consulting services to institutional entities located in California and explained that these consultation services did not involve treatment of patients or delivery of any psychology services. He assured the Board that the services were provided in California where Dr. Gandolfo holds licensure and asked the Board to approve the proposed Letter of Concern to resolve this matter.

Dr. Meier observed that the address provided for the consulting services is located in Sedona, Arizona, and he questioned whether those services were provided to the California entities telephonically while Dr. Gandolfo was physically present in Arizona. Mr. Riches stated that some of the consulting services were provided via email and mail, but could not say whether they were conducted over the phone. Dr. Meier stated his concerns regarding the allegations of practicing psychology without a license if the consulting services were being provided by Dr. Gandolfo while she was in Arizona. AAG Galvin clarified that the entities with which Dr. Gandolfo was consulting were located in California where she was and remains licensed.

Ms. Shreeve questioned whether consulting services were provided to entities or individuals located in Arizona, noting that the information gathered during the investigation showed that Dr. Gandolfo had been providing services to the Sedona Fire Department. Dr. Meier pointed out that documentation submitted by the Sedona Fire Department indicated that Dr. Gandolfo provided peer support services and did not represent herself as a licensed psychologist. Dr. Meier stated that he remained concerned that Dr. Gandolfo may have been practicing telepsychology in Arizona without a license. Mr. Riches reiterated that they provided voluminous documentation to demonstrate that Dr. Gandolfo was not providing psychology services.

MOTION: Ms. Shreeve moved for the Board to vacate the initial case review in this matter and accept the Letter of Concern as final adjudication.

SECOND: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson

Dr. Meier stated his concerns that it was unclear whether psychology services were provided telephonically to the California entity while Dr. Gandolfo was located in Arizona.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson, Vice-Chairman Davey, Dr. Caterino, Mr. Dynar, Ms. Shreeve. The following Board member voted against the motion: Dr. Meier. The following Board members were absent: Ms. Laboy and Dr. Mellott.

VOTE: 5-yay, 1-nay, 0-abstain, 1-recuse, 2-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

8. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO APPROVE THE COMMITTEE ON BEHAVIOR ANALYSTS RECOMMENDATION TO ISSUE A NON-DISCIPLINARY LETTER OF CONCERN AND ORDER FOR CONTINUING EDUCATION AND SELF-STUDY TO DYLAN HUFF, M.ED., FOR COMPLAINT NO. 20-51 AND/OR INITIAL CASE REVIEW

This item was considered around 9:02 a.m. at which time Dr. Mellott joined the virtual meeting.

Deputy Director Michaelsen reported that a complaint was filed by the attorney of a client's mother regarding the individuals involved in Agenda Item Nos. 8 and 9, Mr. Dylan Huff and Ms. Paige Huff. The parties were present with Attorney Mandi Karvis and participated in the virtual meeting during the Board's consideration of this matter. Deputy Director Michaelsen summarized that the complaint alleged that Mr. Huff authored a transition of care letter for the client which contained information about the client's mother that she felt portrayed her in an unfavorable light. The complaint also alleged that Ms. Huff engaged in unlicensed practice and provided supervision to individuals employed and those pursuing licensure. The CBA reviewed the cases and recommended issuance of a Letter of Concern and that Ms. Paige be granted licensure contingent upon entering into a consent agreement with the Board.

Ms. Karvis stated that they support the recommendations from the CBA regarding both matters. She stated that her clients have already addressed the concerns raised in this case and have taken action to address clearing up roles within the organization and with respect to documentation issues. Ms. Karvis stated that Mr. and Ms. Huff have been open and forthcoming with the Board about their practice shortcomings and areas of improvement, and have started looking into courses and organizations that they were recommended to join to help further their business to ensure compliance with regulation and statutes.

Dr. Caterino questioned whether students supervised by Ms. Huff were affected by the fact that she was not licensed during that time. The Board observed that the individuals that were supervised by Ms. Huff were direct line staff that were not working towards certification.

MOTION: Ms. Shreeve moved for the Board to accept the Letter of Concern and Order for CE and self-study for Mr. Huff, and to refer this matter to the BACB.

SECOND: Vice-Chairman Davey

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson, Vice-Chairman Davey, Dr. Meier, Dr. Caterino, Mr. Dynar, Dr. Gill, Dr. Mellott and Ms. Shreeve. The following Board member was absent: Ms. Laboy.

VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse, 1-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

The Board observed that the complainant reported concerns that clients were not aware that Ms. Huff was not licensed or that she was being supervised and was not able to practice independently. The Board also noted that Ms. Huff listened to the Committee's concerns and has since updated the information on their website that could have been misleading to the public.

MOTION: Vice-Chairman Davey moved for the Board to grant licensure for Ms. Huff with a Consent Agreement stipulating 12 months' probation to engage in practice monitoring and CE, and to refer this matter to the BACB and the Texas Board. Ms. Huff shall obtain a Board-approved practice monitor within 90 days of the effective date of the Board's Order.

SECOND: Ms. Shreeve

The Board encouraged Ms. Huff to engage with the Executive Director and Board staff in the event she encountered difficulties with the practice monitor requirement. Chairwoman Davis-Wilson stated her appreciation for the parties' eagerness to continue to learn through this process and further stated that they truly modeled professionalism and ability to demonstrate what can be done to turn this situation into a great opportunity for self-improvement.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson, Vice-Chairman Davey, Dr. Meier, Dr. Caterino, Mr. Dynar, Dr. Gill, Dr. Mellott and Ms. Shreeve. The following Board member was absent: Ms. Laboy.

VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse, 1-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

9. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO APPROVE THE COMMITTEE ON BEHAVIOR ANALYSTS RECOMMENDATION TO APPROVE PAIGE HUFF'S APPLICATION FOR LICENSURE AS A BEHAVIOR ANALYST WITH THE ISSUANCE OF A SIGNED CONSENT AGREEMENT FOR COMPLAINT NO. 20-52 AND/OR INITIAL CASE REVIEW

The Board considered this matter in conjunction with Agenda Item No. 8. Please see the discussion captured under Agenda Item No. 8 for more details.

10. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING COMPLAINT NO. 21-10 AGAINST DON AXSOM, PSY.D.

This item was considered around 9:20 a.m.

Dr. Axsom and Attorney Mandi Karvis participated in the virtual meeting during the Board's consideration of this matter.

Deputy Director Michaelsen summarized that the Board received an ASPPB report indicating that Dr. Axsom surrendered his Missouri license in May of 2020 for misconduct involving a client. The case was initiated and Dr. Axsom responded timely. After retaining counsel, Dr. Axsom indicated his desire to voluntarily surrender his Arizona license. Thereafter, Dr. Axsom was offered a proposed Consent Agreement for surrender of licensure, which he signed and returned to the Board. Deputy Director Michaelsen informed the Board that acceptance of the proposed Consent Agreement would resolve the case and will become effective April 1, 2021 to allow time for patient transfers. She also reported that the surrender is disciplinary action and therefore will be reported to the national databank.

Ms. Karvis stated that the psychologist understood the nature of the allegations against him in Missouri and recognized that he made poor choices in that regard. She stated that he ultimately surrendered his Missouri license and was willing to do the same in Arizona. Ms. Karvis asked the Board to accept the proposed Consent Agreement. She clarified that by allowing the surrender to become effective on April 1st provided Dr. Axsom with sufficient time for proper transition of clients.

MOTION: Dr. Caterino moved for the Board to accept the proposed Consent Agreement for Surrender of Licensure.

SECOND: Dr. Meier

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson, Vice-Chairman Davey, Dr. Meier, Dr. Caterino, Mr. Dynar, Dr. Gill, Dr. Mellott and Ms. Shreeve. The following Board member was absent: Ms. Laboy.

VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse, 1-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

THE FOLLOWING AGENDA ITEMS ARE UNTIMED AND MAY BE DISCUSSED AND DECIDED UPON AT VARIOUS TIMES THROUGHOUT THE MEETING AT THE DISCRETION OF THE CHAIR

11. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING JURISPRUDENCE LEARNING TOOL PROPOSAL

This item was considered around 10:27 a.m.

Executive Director Herbst Paakkonen reported that the learning tool proposal has been modified per the Board's prior discussion at its January 2020 meeting, and has been redesigned as a learning exercise with feedback rather than a strict examination. She stated that the Board had the option of investing \$5,000 annually to decrease the cost for purposes of reducing the licensees' fee from \$40 to \$35, and the proposal requires a start-up investment of \$5,000. Executive Director Herbst Paakkonen further reported on the recommendation to designate a certain number of CE credits to completion of this tool rather than impose new costs on top of the existing CE requirements.

Matt Turner, Ph.D., participated in the virtual meeting on behalf of Revolution AMC during the Board's consideration of this matter, presented to the Board on the proposed jurisprudence learning tool as modified per the Board's direction at its January 2020 meeting, and answered Board members' questions. The Board discussed the costs associated with initial startup and for the individuals to take the educational tool. The Board also discussed whether individuals should be required to take the tool at the time of initial licensure versus at the time of license renewal, or both.

Ms. Shreeve pointed out that the Board was seeking such a learning tool due to a number of cases adjudicated in the past year or two that involved licensees who were not familiar with the statutes and rules that govern their profession, some of which had been practicing for years. Ms. Shreeve emphasized the importance for licensees to stay up to date on current statute and rules, and stated that the proposed learning tool would address these concerns if licensees were required to complete it at the time of license renewal. Mr. Dynar suggested the staff research whether any licensure pathways preclude this type of requirement prior to moving forward. Dr. Meier recalled that the Board had elected to pursue such a learning tool as a form of CEs to allow for the ongoing review of current statutes and rules, rather than requiring its completion at the time of initial licensure.

Dr. Turner clarified that the current proposal would allow the Board to update the tool on an annual basis at which time any changes to the Board's statutes and/or rules could be incorporated at that time. Dr. Meier thanked Dr. Turner for his responsiveness to the feedback from the Board at its prior meeting and stated that the tool proposal looked great. Dr. Gill also thanked Dr. Turner for the proposal and for making the appropriate modifications according to the Board's prior discussion. He stated he liked that the tool focused on education for individuals to become more familiar with Arizona statutes and rules. Dr. Caterino stated she believed the educational tool should apply to all licensees, existing and new. The Board recognized that new licensees would be subject to taking the educational tool at the time of license renewal, which is prorated by the Board and takes place within the first two years of licensure.

Dr. Meier questioned whether there were concerns regarding the Board requiring its licensees to complete CEs that were developed by the Board in the proposed tool. AAG Galvin stated that she would look into this concern and report back to the Board. Dr. Turner clarified that the Board would cover the cost of initial startup and that the fees paid by the individuals taking the tool would not be directed to the Board. Chairwoman Davis-Wilson questioned whether a similar tool would be created for BAs to become more familiar with the statutes and rules that govern their profession. Dr. Turner clarified that the proposed tool applied to the practice of psychology, and stated that it was his understanding that the Board would be considering a similar tool for BAs in the future. Ms. Shreeve spoke in favor of creating a similar tool for BAs, and Chairwoman Davis-Wilson agreed stating that such a tool should be researched.

Dr. Mellott spoke in favor of the proposed tool being used at the time of renewal only. She stated that new licensees are faced with tremendous fees and any additional costs for licensure would become burdensome. Dr. Meier clarified that his focus has been requiring the tool at the time of license renewal only. Mr. Dynar stated his concerns regarding subsidizing a CE course that the Board will require its licensees to complete. Ms. Shreeve

cautioned the Board regarding possible procurement issues. Dr. Turner clarified that one option for the Board is to pay the initial startup cost for the tool, then individuals would pay \$40 to take it, which he believed was a reasonable cost and would not require subsidy from the Board. Mr. Dynar reiterated his concerns regarding the Board funding the startup costs and stated that it was unclear if this was problematic for the Board.

The Board discussed awarding a total of 4 CE credits for completing the tool, noting that a total of 40 CE hours are required for license renewal every two years with 4 credit hours dedicated to CE in ethics.

MOTION: Dr. Meier moved for the Board to approve the proposed jurisprudence learning tool for license renewals and awarding of 4 CE credit hours that can be applied to the hours required for license renewal, for the Board to cover the initial \$5,000 startup fee and licensee's paying \$40 to take it, pending the Executive Director confirming that there are no issues with the Board paying the initial startup fee.

SECOND: Ms. Shreeve

Dr. Mellott suggested the Board review feedback from individuals that have taken the jurisprudence tool on an annual basis. Chairwoman Davis-Wilson recommended finding a vendor that would allow for stopping the individual's progression without clicking the correct answer. Dr. Turner agreed and stated that he will be mindful of this request when choosing a vendor. Mr. Dynar spoke against the motion and stated that the initial development cost was legally problematic.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson, Vice-Chairman Davey, Dr. Meier, Dr. Caterino, Dr. Gill, Dr. Mellott and Ms. Shreeve. The following Board member voted against the motion: Mr. Dynar. The following Board member was absent: Ms. Laboy.

VOTE: 7-yay, 1-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse, 1-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

12. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING INFORMATION RECEIVED CONCERNING THE EPPP – PART 2

This item was considered around 11:21 a.m.

Dr. Turner participated in the virtual meeting on behalf of ASPPB during the Board's consideration of this matter.

The Board observed that an article was published in the APA journal that called into question the validity of EPPP Part 2 and that the ASPPB has submitted information to the Board relative to the article and ASPPB's response. Dr. Turner explained that a little over a year ago, ASPPB received word that the APA was publishing the journal that they believe was a shotgun attack on the validation and process for which ASPPB has undertaken and specifically targeted EPPP Part 2 with some questioning of Part 1 and insinuation of test bias. He stated that ASPPB approached the APA and asked that they hold the article until such time that the ASPPB has had an opportunity to author a response article and the APA declined.

Dr. Turner reported that the purpose of the exam is to give licensing boards some assurance that the individual has demonstrated the necessary knowledge and skills to practice. Dr. Turner informed the Board regarding ASPPB's ongoing activities to address any concerns raised by the training community, including the creation of an examination advisors group and an item review panel. Dr. Meier stated he had heard concerns relating to the substantial cost associated with Part 2 as opposed to modifying the current examination to incorporate more of the practice component, and he questioned whether ASPPB could offer test preparation materials to offset and reduce the financial impact of taking Part 2. Dr. Turner reported that Part 2 was implemented when it was determined that incorporating a component regarding competency assessment of knowledge and skills was too long. He stated that he believed the knowledge based examination should take place earlier while the skills based portion should be done at the time of licensure. He also stated that ASPPB has wanted to start building test preparation materials, but has raised concerns regarding conflict of interest. Dr. Turner stated that ASPPB takes these issues seriously and that in terms of validation, the process is very sound and follows current standards.

The Board thanked Dr. Turner for appearing.

13. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING POSSIBLE ADMINISTRATIVE RULE REVISIONS

a. Incorporating by reference the current version of the Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct of the American Psychological Association

This item was considered around 1:17 p.m.

Joel Dvoskin, Ph.D., ABFP, participated in the virtual meeting during the Board's consideration of this matter, reported on the different versions of the Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct of the American Psychological Association ("APA"). He reported on changes to the APA's Ethical Code of Conduct and policies that were established over the years relative to human rights considerations.

The Board thanked Dr. Dvoskin for his presentation, and discussed whether the Board should update its requirement to follow the previous version versus the current version of the APA's Ethical Codes of Conduct. Dr. Meier proposed incorporating language similar to the BAs requiring licensees to follow the most current code as opposed to changing the Board's rules each time there are amendments made. Ms. Shreeve disagreed and stated that she felt the Board should review any amendments made to the code prior to approving. Dr. Meier pointed out that psychologists are expected to follow the current code of ethics regardless of their location. Chairwoman Davis-Wilson clarified that the language for BAs includes that the most current APA ethics code shall be followed unless otherwise decided upon by the Board.

Ms. Shreeve pointed out that the Board currently requires licensees to follow the 2003 version of the APA's ethics code, and noted that the Board previously discussed concerns relating to the more recent versions in relation to military psychologists. Dr. Mellott commented that the Board must be mindful of any possible conflicts when determining whether to support the current version of the APA's ethics code. Dr. Meier stated that he had significant concerns regarding the Board's current requirement to follow an older version of the APA's ethics code and reiterated his support to incorporate language similar to BAs requiring licensees to follow the most current version unless the Board has determined otherwise. Dr. Mellott proposed that the Board review prior meeting minutes relating to this topic. Chairwoman Davis-Wilson recommended inviting a psychologist who specializes in this area to participate in further discussion with the Board on this topic. Dr. Meier stated that this was a substantial issue that needed to be addressed by the Board. Dr. Caterino spoke in favor of adopting language similar to that of the BAs.

MOTION: Dr. Caterino moved for the Board to adopt language similar to the BAs for licensees to follow the current APA ethics code unless the Board decided otherwise.

SECOND: Dr. Meier

After further discussion among Board members and staff, Drs. Caterino and Meier withdrew their motion. Chairwoman Davis-Wilson instructed staff to research prior meeting minutes for the Board's review in addition to inviting a specialty psychologist to appear before the Board and the documents referenced in Dr. Dvoskin's presentation. The Board tabled this matter and requested it be placed on a future meeting agenda after additional information is obtained for the Board's review and consideration relating to this topic. Mr. Dynar requested staff to provide a red-lined version of the code that outlines changes between the 2003 and current versions.

b. Adopting regulatory language addressing practicing in a capacity not related to the psychology license and/or that prescribes how services are represented

This item was considered around 12:41 p.m.

The Board recalled that this topic was discussed at its January 2021 meeting and a suggestion was made to adopt language similar to that of the BA code of conduct that could address some issues the Board has struggled with in terms of the practice of life coaching. Chairwoman Davis-Wilson clarified that the BA code of conduct requires licensees to disclose any non-evidence based or other practices. Mr. Dynar stated his concerns regarding the statutory language that references the designation of psychologist and suggested the Board consider changing the language to place more emphasis on individuals holding themselves out as holding a license. Dr. Mellott stated that statute currently classifies the use of any derivative of the word “psych” as a violation. Dr. Caterino spoke in favor of the current language and stated that the public may not be familiar with the distinction between a psychologist and licensed psychologist.

The Board noted prior cases involving licensed psychologists providing life coaching services and it was not clear to the consumer which services were being provided. Dr. Gill emphasized the importance to maintain appropriate documentation and written informed consent to clarify the type of services that are being provided and ensure that the client understands the services they are to be receiving. Mr. Dynar commented that licensees providing any service should be held to the same standard of care as a licensed professional. Dr. Meier spoke in favor of adopting language similar to that of the BAs to clarify that there must be a distinction between the services that are being provided by individuals offering services outside of psychology.

Executive Director Herbst Paakkonen reported that AzPA has been hearing these concerns and have formed a work group to dive deeper into this topic. She proposed that the Board table this item until such time that the AzPA has researched this topic and present some possible guidance. The Board elected to table this matter and return at a future meeting with a presentation from AzPA.

The Board recessed from 1:08 p.m. to 1:17 p.m.

c. Designating a portion of the continuing education requirements to the jurisprudence learning tool

This item was considered with Agenda Item No. 11. Please see the discussion and vote captured under Agenda Item No. 11 for further details.

d. Designating a portion of the continuing education requirements to multi-cultural competency content

This item was considered around 11:44 a.m.

Evelyn Burrell, Psy.D., AzPA President Elect, participated in the virtual meeting during the Board’s consideration of this matter and made a presentation to the Board regarding potentially designating a portion of CE requirements to multi-cultural competency content. Jessica Belokas, BCBA, representing the Arizona Association for Behavior Analysis, also participated in the virtual meeting during the Board’s consideration of this matter.

Dr. Burrell reported that the association met recently and discussed the need for our State to focus on diversity to ensure that practitioners are working ethically and effectively, and are culturally competent. She stated there is also a need to make sure that learning opportunities are being offered regularly and that there is an expected growth and understanding of diversity and what it means to be a culturally competent provider. Dr. Burrell informed the Board that AzPA could assist in offering such trainings similar to how they previously implemented CE trainings in ethics, domestic violence and child abuse due to changes in requirements. Dr. Mellott stated that she was very pleased with Dr. Burrell’s work and that she found her training sessions that she attended were great. Dr. Mellott spoke in support of requiring licensees to complete CEs in multi-cultural competency content.

Dr. Mellott departed from the virtual meeting around 12:00 p.m.

Dr. Gill stated that the presentation was excellent and questioned the number of CE credit hours that should be designated to this topic. Dr. Burrell proposed requiring licensees to designate 4 CEs in this area for the hours

required for license renewal. Vice-Chairman Davey stated that there are not a lot of CE courses available that cover this topic and recommended that BAs be required to complete CEs in this area as well. Chairwoman Davis-Wilson spoke in support of requiring licensees to designate CE hours required for license renewal in this topic. She stated concerns that while this topic is currently at the forefront of discussions, professionals may not see the value of this training over time.

Ms. Belokas reported on the AzABA's subcommittees and efforts in developing initiatives. She informed the Board that she is the newly elected Chairperson for the AzABA's Equity Diversion and Inclusion Committee and she spoke in support of creating requirements in this area. Chairwoman Davis-Wilson thanked Ms. Belokas for her report on AzABA's current activities. Dr. Gill commented on the necessity for quality courses that cover this topic. Dr. Burrell stated that quality education is what is expected from these trainings to promote further education and better practice.

Dr. Mellott rejoined the virtual meeting around 12:22 p.m.

The Board discussed the CE hours required for license renewal, and that the Board voted today to require licensees to designate 4 of those areas to the topic of jurisprudence. Mr. Dynar questioned whether requiring diversity training CEs violated Article 2 Section 36 of the Arizona Constitution. AAG Galvin stated that it may not apply in this instance, and that she would be happy to provide the Board with legal advice. Dr. Burrell commented that the requirement for diversity training allows for appropriate treatment to be made when working with various races and cultural backgrounds.

MOTION: Dr. Meier moved for the Board to require designation of 4 CE hours in the subject of multi-cultural competency content for the CEs required for license renewal for psychologists and BAs.

SECOND: Dr. Mellott

Mr. Dynar spoke against the motion and stated that he preferred to wait until the Board had written confirmation from counsel that there were no legal concerns with moving forward.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson, Vice-Chairman Davey, Dr. Meier, Dr. Caterino, Dr. Gill, Dr. Mellott and Ms. Shreeve. The following Board member voted against the motion: Mr. Dynar. The following Board member was absent: Ms. Laboy.

VOTE: 7-yay, 1-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse, 1-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

The Board noted that formal rulemaking would be required to implement the change, and Executive Director Herbst Paakkonen reported that proposed rulemaking will take place later this year to address statutory changes that will become effective if matters currently pending legislation are passed and signed into law. Chairwoman Davis-Wilson pointed out that the formal rulemaking process allows for further discussion on these items.

14. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING PROPOSED LEGISLATION INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, SB1253, HB2067, HB2128, HB2243, HB2267, HB2433, HB2454, HB2561, SB1482

This item was considered around 2:37 p.m.

The Board observed that SB1253 has successfully passed the Senate and Committee review in the House. HB2067 involving criminal convictions has passed the House and is currently moving through the Senate. Dr. Meier questioned how HB 2067 would interact with the Board's bill, and AAG Galvin stated that she would research Dr. Meier's concerns and report back to the Board. HB2128, which expands the initial licensure fee waiver based on income and eligibility, has passed the House and may be stalled in the Senate.

HB2267 requires licensure boards on an annual basis to evaluate its fees against their funds to reduce and maintain the Board's fund balance at only 50% of its annual appropriation. This bill passed the House and will be heard next by the Senate Finance committee. Vice-Chairman Davey questioned whether there is a timeframe

associated with when the fund balance must be decreased and proposed awarding bonuses to staff in an effort to reduce the balance. Dr. Mellott proposed offering a COVID relief-type package to individuals who have been licensed through the pandemic. Executive Director Herbst Paakkonen reported that such an analysis will take place to adjust the Board's fees after the bill becomes effective, which she anticipates occurring prior to September 1, 2021. Ms. Shreeve spoke in favor of increasing staff salaries. Executive Director Herbst Paakkonen reported that staff salary adjustments were included in this year's budget and that the Governor's Office recently lifted the previous prohibition from implementing such a change.

HB2454 regarding the expansion of telehealth services and allowing individuals licensed elsewhere to provide such services to Arizona residents provided that they register with their respective regulatory authority in this State. Executive Director Herbst Paakkonen explained that the registry process will create more work for staff and that the bill will come before the Board again at a future meeting for further discussion. HB2561 involving the PCSAS accreditation has passed the House and has been stalled in the Senate. SB1149 has been signed into law and requires the Board to issue licenses to individuals applying through this pathway who meet the requirements.

15. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING FUTURE TERMINATION OF TEMPORARY LICENSES GRANTED PURSUANT TO §32-3124, AND TEMPORARY LICENSE EXEMPTIONS AUTHORIZED BY BOTH A.R.S. § 32-2075(A)(4) AND BY A.R.S. § 32-2091.08(4)

This item was considered around 2:54 p.m.

The Board observed that temporary licenses have been issued for the duration of the state of emergency, and discussed how to prevent a sudden or abrupt stop to an individual's practice when the state of emergency is rescinded to allow for a grace period to arrange for patient transfers. The Board also discussed temporary license exemptions authorized by statute. Ms. Shreeve spoke in support of allowing for a grace period in order to transfer patients. Dr. Gill suggested communicating with the Governor's Office regarding how the lifting of the state of emergency would impact the profession. Mr. Dynar stated his concerns regarding the Board's ability to extend licensure waivers beyond the declared state of emergency.

Chairwoman Davis-Wilson noted that the federal state of emergency has been extended to the end of April, and suggested the Board revisit this topic at its April 2021 meeting. Executive Director Herbst Paakkonen reported that the April agenda will also include a topic related to the Governor's Executive Order 2021-02.

16. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING STATUS OF VACANT POSITION ON BOARD

This item was tabled to a future Board meeting.

17. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON CLAIMS REVIEW PROCESS ESTABLISHED BY A.R.S. § 32-2081

This item was tabled to a future Board meeting.

18. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING LICENSURE AND REGULATORY ISSUES RELATIVE TO COVID-19

This item was considered around 3:09 p.m.

Executive Director Herbst Paakkonen reported that some boards have returned to in-person meetings, including some that allow for in-person and remote participation. She stated that Board staff has discussed potentially holding hybrid sessions, but had identified concerns regarding reliability issues with the technology needed to facilitate such. Dr. Mellott reported that she is not permitted to travel officially at this time and that it would be difficult for her to attend any in-person sessions until such restrictions are lifted in her area.

The Board recognized that any in-person sessions would need to be accessible to the public and that while

Board members and staff may have received the vaccine by the time the Board meets in person, the same may not be true for the public wishing to attend the Board's meetings. Ms. Shreeve suggested that the Board table this discussion until the Summer. Dr. Gill encouraged individuals receiving the vaccine to maintain their own record of it as confirmation. Mr. Dynar spoke in favor of tabling this discussion until a later time. Chairwoman Davis-Wilson stated that the current process was working well, and that staff would continue to monitor the situation and report any new developments back to the Board at a future meeting.

19. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON REQUEST TO WAIVE APPLICATION REQUIREMENT OF EPPP PART 2 – SKYLER LEONARD, Ph.D.

This item was considered around 9:38 a.m.

Dr. Leonard participated in the virtual meeting during the Board's consideration of this matter.

Ms. Fowkes reported that Dr. Leonard has submitted a request for a waiver of the licensing requirement to pass EPPP Part 2. She stated that Dr. Leonard has passed EPPP Part 1 and is eligible for licensure in Oregon, but received a job offer in Arizona. Dr. Leonard currently does not hold licensure in any other jurisdiction. The Board observed that in July of 2020, the Board determined that anyone applying for Arizona licensure after November 1, 2020 are required to take EPPP Part 2 in order to qualify for licensure if they have only taken EPPP Part 1 and are not licensed in any other jurisdiction. Ms. Fowkes clarified that Dr. Leonard was seeking the waiver since he is eligible for licensure in Oregon without having to take EPPP Part 2. Ms. Fowkes also pointed out that modification of the licensing application was warranted to clarify that a waiver was available for individuals who have passed EPPP Part 1 and are licensed elsewhere, as it does not specify in its current form that the individual must be licensed elsewhere. She stated that the waiver would no longer apply, and individuals would have an opportunity to apply for licensure under examination to take EPPP Part 2.

Dr. Gill pointed out that ASPPB recommended candidates be exempt from EPPP Part 2 if they passed Part 1 prior to December of 2019. The Board noted that Dr. Leonard passed Part 1 on December 19, 2019. Dr. Meier stated that the Board has already set forth rules that require individuals to take EPPP Part 2 if they have passed Part 1 but are not licensed elsewhere.

MOTION: Dr. Mellott moved for the Board to enter into Executive Session to obtain legal advice pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.03(A).

SECOND: Mr. Dynar

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson, Vice-Chairman Davey, Dr. Meier, Dr. Caterino, Mr. Dynar, Dr. Gill, Dr. Mellott and Ms. Shreeve. The following Board member was absent: Ms. Laboy.

VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse, 1-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

The Board entered into Executive Session at 9:47 a.m.

The Board returned to Open Session at 9:54 a.m.

No legal action was taken by the Board during Executive Session.

Dr. Mellott stated that Dr. Leonard would be required to take EPPP Part 2 in order to become eligible for Arizona licensure according to the Board's current requirements. She proposed that Dr. Leonard either change the application to examination licensure and take Part 2 or withdraw his license application. Dr. Meier pointed out that Dr. Leonard would be eligible for Arizona licensure without having to take Part 2 if he first obtained licensure in Oregon and then reapplied to this Board. Chairwoman Davis-Wilson clarified that Dr. Leonard currently does not have a pending application for Arizona licensure, and was only seeking guidance as to whether he would be required to take EPPP Part 2 in order to obtain an Arizona license.

MOTION: Dr. Meier moved for the Board to deny the request for waiver of the licensing requirement to take EPPP Part 2.

SECOND: Dr. Caterino

The Board clarified that this was not a formal license denial, but rather, a denial of the request to waive the licensing requirement for the individual to complete EPPP Part 2 in order to become eligible for Arizona licensure.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson, Vice-Chairman Davey, Dr. Meier, Dr. Caterino, Mr. Dynar, Dr. Gill, Dr. Mellott and Ms. Shreeve. The following Board member was absent: Ms. Laboy.

VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse, 1-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

20. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING THE COMMITTEE ON BEHAVIOR ANALYSTS RECOMMENDATION TO OPEN A COMPLAINT AGAINST JEFFREY SIEGEL, BCBA, LICENSED BEHAVIOR ANALYST

This item was considered around 3:16 p.m.

Deputy Director Michaelsen reported that Board staff and an applicant for behavior analyst licensure were not successful in contacting the applicant's former supervisor, Jeffrey Siegel, to obtain supervisor verification documentation. The CBA asked the Board to consider initiating a complaint against Mr. Siegel. The Board noted that the applicant's file remained incomplete without any verification documentation. Mr. Siegel later responded on February 22nd and submitted the supervise work experience forms for the applicant. The Board observed that Mr. Siegel last renewed his license in May of 2020, and that his contact information in the Board's database regarded a Phoenix location while the forms recently submitted by Mr. Siegel included a California address.

MOTION: Vice-Chairman Davey moved for the Board to initiate an investigation against Mr. Siegel based on violations of A.R.S. § 32-2091.12(K), (BB), and (DD).

SECOND: Ms. Shreeve

Chairwoman Davis-Wilson stated that the CBA was concerned regarding the delay in the applicant's ability to obtain verification of their supervision hours.

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson, Vice-Chairman Davey, Dr. Meier, Dr. Caterino, Mr. Dynar, Dr. Gill, Dr. Mellott and Ms. Shreeve. The following Board member was absent: Ms. Laboy.

VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse, 1-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

21. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING THE COMMITTEE ON BEHAVIOR ANALYSTS RECOMMENDATION TO OPEN A COMPLAINT AGAINST BRANDY COLLINS, BCBA

This item was considered around 3:21 p.m.

Deputy Director Michaelsen reported that the CBA reviewed an application that contained information indicating that Ms. Collins had provided remote supervision for the Arizona license candidate. Ms. Collins is licensed in Texas and does not hold Arizona licensure. The CBA recommended that the Board open a complaint for Ms. Collins practicing without a license by providing remote supervision for the Arizona applicant. Chairwoman Davis-Wilson observed that the supervision was being provided for an individual that was providing services to patients in Arizona.

MOTION: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson moved for the Board to initiate an investigation against Ms. Collins for possible violation of A.R.S. § 32-2091.12(A).

SECOND: Vice-Chairman Davey

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson, Vice-Chairman Davey, Dr. Meier, Dr. Caterino, Mr. Dynar, Dr. Gill, Dr. Mellott and Ms. Shreeve. The following Board member was absent: Ms. Laboy.

VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse, 1-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

22. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL TO SIT FOR THE EPPP AND LICENSURE UPON A PASSING SCORE FROM CARLOS O. CALDERON, PH.D.

This item was considered around 8:44 a.m.

Dr. Caterino was recused from this matter.

Dr. Meier reported that the applicant graduated from ASU in 2012 in education psychology and has completed 1,500 internship hours.

MOTION: Dr. Meier moved for the Board to approve the application to sit for EPPP and licensure upon a passing score.

SECOND: Dr. Gill

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson, Vice-Chairman Davey, Dr. Meier, Mr. Dynar, Dr. Gill, Dr. Mellott and Ms. Shreeve. The following Board member was recused: Dr. Caterino. The following Board members were absent: Ms. Laboy and Dr. Mellott.

VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 1-recuse, 2-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

23. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL TO SIT FOR THE EPPP AND LICENSURE UPON A PASSING SCORE FROM RACHELLE C. BEARD, PH.D.

This item was considered around 9:26 a.m.

Dr. Mellott reported that the Application Review Committee was unable to review this matter due to lack of a quorum, as Dr. Caterino is recused. The Board observed that the applicant obtained her doctoral degree in school psychology at ASU in a program that is no longer available, but at the time was accredited and met the appropriate requirements. The Board also noted that the applicant has completed over 3,000 internship hours.

MOTION: Dr. Mellott moved for the Board to approve the application to sit for EPPP and licensure upon a passing score.

SECOND: Dr. Meier

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson, Vice-Chairman Davey, Dr. Meier, Mr. Dynar, Dr. Gill, Dr. Mellott and Ms. Shreeve. The following Board member was recused: Dr. Caterino. The following Board member was absent: Ms. Laboy.

VOTE: 7-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 1-recuse, 1-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

24. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL TO SIT FOR THE EPPP AND LICENSURE UPON A PASSING SCORE FROM MARVIN JIM, PH.D.

This item was considered around 9:58 a.m.

Dr. Mellott reported that the application was complete, but questions were raised regarding a series of criminal convictions that occurred over 15 years ago. She stated that the Committee wanted Dr. Jim to appear before the Board to expand on how his life has changed since the prior events took place and how the Board can be assured

there will be no reoccurrence of past events. Dr. Jim stated that he accepted the repercussions of his past behaviors.

MOTION: Dr. Caterino moved for the Board to enter into Executive Session to review and discuss confidential health information pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.03(A)(2).

SECOND: Ms. Shreeve

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson, Vice-Chairman Davey, Dr. Meier, Dr. Caterino, Mr. Dynar, Dr. Gill, Dr. Mellott and Ms. Shreeve. The following Board member was absent: Ms. Laboy.

VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse, 1-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

The Board entered into Executive Session at 10:02 a.m.

The Board returned to Open Session at 10:12 a.m.

No legal action was taken by the Board during Executive Session.

Dr. Mellott spoke in favor of approving the application in light of Dr. Jim's explanations and the information received by the Board, and given the applicant's remediation efforts and commitment to public safety while maintaining his health and ability to practice as a psychologist.

MOTION: Dr. Mellott moved for the Board to approve the application to sit for the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score for Dr. Jim.

SECOND: Dr. Meier

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson, Vice-Chairman Davey, Dr. Meier, Dr. Caterino, Mr. Dynar, Dr. Gill, Dr. Mellott and Ms. Shreeve. The following Board member was absent: Ms. Laboy.

VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse, 1-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

The Board recessed from 10:14 a.m. to 10:27 a.m.

25. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING APPLICATION FOR TEMPORARY LICENSURE AND TO SIT FOR THE EPPP FROM WEI LUO, PH.D.

This item was considered around 9:32 a.m.

Dr. Mellott was recused from this matter.

Dr. Caterino reported that Dr. Luo applied for temporary licensure and to sit for the EPPP, and that the only information missing from the application was his predoctoral practicum training plan. Ms. Fowkes clarified that a training plan is typically not needed for temporary licensure, and confirmed that the Board received Dr. Luo's postdoctoral training agreement that is required for temporary licensure.

MOTION: Dr. Caterino moved for the Board to approve the application for temporary licensure and to sit for the EPPP for Dr. Luo.

SECOND: Ms. Shreeve

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson, Vice-Chairman Davey, Dr. Meier, Dr. Caterino, Mr. Dynar, Dr. Gill and Ms. Shreeve. The following Board member was recused: Dr. Mellott. The following Board member was absent: Ms. Laboy.

VOTE: 7-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 1-recuse, 1-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

26. NEW AGENDA ITEMS FOR FUTURE MEETINGS

This item was considered around 3:25 p.m.

Mr. Dynar requested staff provide court filings in the Board's meeting packet relative to matters being reported on under the Legal Advisor's Report.

Chairwoman Davis-Wilson noted that a number of topics were discussed during today's meeting that shall be placed on a future agenda along with items that were tabled.

27. ADJOURNMENT

MOTION: Dr. Meier moved for the Board to adjourn.

SECOND: Ms. Shreeve

VOTE: The following Board members voted in favor of the motion: Chairwoman Davis-Wilson, Vice-Chairman Davey, Dr. Meier, Dr. Caterino, Mr. Dynar, Dr. Gill, Dr. Mellott and Ms. Shreeve. The following Board member was absent: Ms. Laboy.

VOTE: 8-yay, 0-nay, 0-abstain, 0-recuse, 1-absent.

MOTION PASSED.

The Board's meeting adjourned at 3:27 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,



Matt Meier, Psy.D.
Secretary