

**Board Members**

Frederick S. Wechsler, Ph.D., Psy.D., ABPP  
Chair  
Tamara Shreeve, MPA  
Vice-Chair  
Paul Beljan, Psy.D., ABPdN, ABN  
Secretary  
Bob Bohanske, Ph.D  
Janice K. Brundage, Ph.D.  
Joseph C. Donaldson  
Lynn L. Flowers, Ph.D.  
Ramona Mellott, Ph.D.  
Rob Robichaud, M.A. CJ, SHRM-CP, PHR



**State of Arizona  
Board of Psychologist Examiners**

1400 West Washington, Suite 240  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Phone: (602) 542-8162 Fax: (602) 542-8279  
<https://psychboard.az.gov>

Application Review Committee

**Staff**

Dr. Cindy Olvey  
Executive Director  
  
Lynanne Chapman  
Deputy Director  
  
Heather Duracinski  
Licensing Coordinator  
  
Krishna Poe  
Administrative Assistant

**MINUTES OF TELEPHONIC MEETING  
May 31, 2016  
7:30 a.m.**

1400 West Washington  
Suite #240  
Phoenix, AZ 85007

**1. CALL TO ORDER**

The regular session of the Arizona State Board of Psychologist Examiners Application Review Committee was called to order by Chairman Wechsler at 7:37 a.m. on May 31, 2016. No Executive Sessions were held.

**2. ROLL CALL**

**Committee Members Participating by Telephone**

Frederick S. Wechsler, Ph.D. – Chair  
Paul Beljan, Psy.D., ABPdN, ABN

**Staff Present**

Cindy Olvey, Psy.D. – Executive Director  
Heather Duracinski – Licensing Coordinator

**Attorney General’s Office**

Jeanne Galvin, Esq.

**3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

- April 26, 2016, Regular Session

Dr. Beljan made a motion, seconded by Dr. Wechsler to approve the April 26, 2016, Regular Session minutes as drafted. The motion carried 2-0.

#### 4. **DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING APPROVAL OF PSYCHOLOGY APPLICANTS**

##### **Requesting Approval to sit for Examination (EPPP) Only**

Courtney Baker, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Baker’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Baker’s application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP.

Emily Bashah, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Bashah’s application and subsequent submission. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Bashah’s application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP.

Soffia Palsdottir, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Palsdottir’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Palsdottir’s application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP.

Suzanne Botello, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Botello’s reapplication. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Botello’s reapplication to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP.

Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Beljan, to forward the applications of Courtney Baker, Psy.D., Emily Bashah, Psy.D., Soffia Palsdottir, Psy.D., and Suzanne Botello, Ph.D., to the full Board for review and approval to take the EPPP. The motion carried 2-0.

##### **Requesting Approval to sit for Examination (EPPP) & Licensure**

Adam Kristevski, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Kristevski’s application. Upon review of page 10 of the application, question #’s 34 C. & D., the Committee noted that he listed the following:

- Advanced Extern at Northshore Integrative Healthcare from July 14, 2013 - June 1, 2014
- Intermediate Extern at Northshore Integrative Healthcare from July 13, 2012 – July 13, 2013

Upon review of his Summary of Pre-Internship Supervised Professional Experiences form he indicated that his preinternship experience at Northshore Integrative Healthcare was from July 2013 – June 2014. Upon review of his Supervised Preinternship Experience Verification form from Northshore Integrative Healthcare, the Committee noted that his Director of APP & Training Consortia, Dr. Dina Glaser, appears to indicate that Dr. Kristevski’s experience was from July 2012 – June 2014. Upon review of his “Clinical PsyD Practicum Training Agreement”, the Committee noted that his agreement was “entered into on June 18, 2013”. At this time, the Committee is requesting clarification as to whether Dr. Kristevski is applying both of his practicum experiences noted above toward licensure. If so, the Committee is requesting that he correct the Summary of Pre-Internship Supervised Professional Experiences form and return it to the Board office. Additionally, if he is applying both of his practicum experiences toward licensure, the Committee is requesting that he submit a written training plan for his experience

from July 13, 2012 – July 13, 2013, as the written training plan he submitted was entered into on June 18, 2013, and would not apply to his experience from July 13, 2012 – July 13, 2013. If Dr. Kristevski is applying only his practicum experience from July 14, 2013 – June 1, 2014, the Committee noted that he worked 20 hours per week and obtained 1,009.75 total supervised hours. The Committee noted that if he worked 20 hours per week for 46 weeks (July 14, 2013 – June 1, 2014) the maximum amount of hours he could have obtained is 920 hours. The Committee is requesting clarification of the total supervised hours he obtained and how many hours he worked per week. Upon review of his Supervised Psychology Internship or Training Experience Verification form from Central Arkansas VA, the Board noted that his Director of Doctoral Training, Garrett Andrews, Psy.D., indicated that Dr. Kristevski worked 40 hours per week for 52 weeks for a total of 2,080 internship hours. His subsequent calculations indicate that Dr. Kristevski received a total of 208 hours of individual, face-to-face supervision and 1,800 hours of direct client contact hours. The Committee noted that 208 individual face-to-face supervision and 1,800 hours of direct client contact hours total 2,008 hours which only leaves 72 hours for administrative tasks such as report writing. At this time, the Committee is requesting an explanation from Dr. Kristevski and his supervisor, as to how Dr. Kristevski had sufficient time to complete administrative tasks during his internship.

Aida Fernandez, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Fernandez’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Fernandez’s application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the prorated license fee.

Christina Ortega, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Ortega’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Ortega’s application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the prorated license fee.

Deborah Richardson, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Richardson’s application and subsequent submission. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Richardson’s application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the prorated license fee.

Eric Biscoglio, Psy.D. – Dr. Wechsler recused from reviewing Dr. Biscoglio’s application. Due to lack of a quorum, his application was forwarded to the full Board for substantive review.

Holly Gartler, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Gartler’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Gartler’s application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the prorated license fee.

Jacqueline Ford, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Ford’s application. Upon review of question #34 of the application “professional experience in psychology”, the Committee noted the following:

- Between September 2012 – June 2015, she worked as a doctoral intern at “Community Provider of Enrichment Services”
- Between May 2011 – July 2014, she worked as a consultant at “CPES”

Given Dr. Ford's work history, the Committee noted that while she was an intern at CPES it appears that she was also employed at CPES. The Committee is requesting clarification as to whether she was employed at CPES while obtaining her internship experience and to provide an explanation of her role as an intern and as an employee. Upon review of her core program requirements (enclosed), the Committee noted that Psychology of Personality does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(A)(4). Upon review of her curriculum vitae, the Committee noted that she listed her title as "Psychologist Resident". The Committee expressed concern that this term may be misleading to the public. The Committee noted that terms such as "Postdoctoral Fellow" or "Postdoctoral Resident" are more commonly used to describe the training. Additionally, the Committee noted that on her curriculum vitae she listed her title as "DBS Consultant" between 2011 – 2014. The Committee noted that her preinternship experience at Community Provider of Enrichment Services was from September 2012 – March 2013 and that she worked 34+ hours per week. The Committee noted that her employment at Counseling & Consulting Services from 2011-2014 was at the same time as her preinternship experience. The Committee is requesting that she provide an explanation as to how many hours she worked during her employment at Counseling & Consulting Services. Additionally, the Committee is requesting clarification as to her role in her employment versus her role in her preinternship experience. Upon review of her Supervised Psychology Internship or Training Experience Verification form from Community Provider of Enrichment Services, the Committee noted that her Lead Psychologist, Marion Baker, Psy.D., indicated that Dr. Ford worked 40 hours per week for 60 weeks for a total of 2,400 internship hours. Her subsequent calculations indicate that Dr. Ford received a total of 449 hours of individual, face-to-face supervision. The Committee noted that if Dr. Ford received 449 hours of individual, face-to-face supervision she would have received approximately 7.5 hours of supervision each week. At this time, the Committee is requesting that Dr. Ford and her supervisor confirm the supervision Dr. Ford received each week. Additionally, the Committee is requesting that Dr. Ford submit documentation of her supervision (supervision logs) as proof of the hours she obtained. Additionally, upon review of Dr. Ford's written training plan for her internship at Community Provider of Enrichment Services, the Committee noted that several pages of the written training plan were not signed by her supervisor. The Committee is requesting that her supervisor sign the written training plan and that the entire written training plan be returned to the Board office. Furthermore, the Committee noted that the written training plan is titled "Psychology Doctoral Intern Job Description" but appears to resemble an employment contract as the attestation on the second page states "I have read and understand the job duties and responsibilities of the position of Psychologist". The Committee is requesting an explanation from Dr. Ford and her supervisor as to why a written training plan for an internship would ask an intern to attest that the intern understands the duties of a psychologist. The Committee is also requesting clarification from Dr. Ford and her supervisor as to how Dr. Ford's internship experience meets the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(F) and Arizona Administrative Code R4-26-210(B). Upon review of her Postdoctoral Professional Psychology Experience Verification form from Community Provider of Enrichment Services, the Board noted that Sarah Leone, Ph.D., completed the form and answered "no" to questions #6, 8, 12, 13 & 15. The Committee noted that Marion Baker, Psy.D., was Dr. Ford's primary supervisor for her postdoctoral experience. At this time the Committee is requesting an explanation as to why Dr. Baker did not complete the Postdoctoral Professional Psychology Experience Verification form.

Justin Chen, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Chen's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Chen's application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the prorated license fee.

Maria Mendoza-Rodriguez, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Mendoza-Rodriguez’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Mendoza-Rodriguez’s application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the prorated license fee.

Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Beljan, to forward the applications of Aida Fernandez, Ph.D., Christina Ortega, Psy.D., Deborah Richardson, Ph.D., Holly Gartler, Ph.D., Justin Chen, Psy.D and Maria Mendoza-Rodriguez, Psy.D., to the full Board for review and approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the prorated license fee, to issue additional information request letters to Adam Kristevski, Psy.D., and Jacqueline Ford, Ph.D., regarding the deficiencies discussed in their applications and to move the application of Eric Biscoglio, Psy.D., to the full Board for substantive review due to lack of a quorum. The motion carried 2-0.

### **Requesting Approval of Licensure by Waiver**

Alison Paules-Rettew, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Paules-Rettew’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Paules-Rettew’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Dara Rampersad, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Rampersad’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Rampersad’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Debra Goldfine, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Goldfine’s application and subsequent submission. Upon review, the Committee noted that she did not disclose criminal history on her application instead the information was submitted to the Board office by a third party. Additionally, the Committee noted that Dr. Goldfine’s training is in counseling psychology and that she listed her intended area of professional practice is in “Clinical/Counseling Psychology and Teaching”. Furthermore, the Committee noted that the reference letter from Joan Pulakos, Ph.D., was not signed. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward Dr. Goldfine’s application to the full Board for further review, to request clarification of her training and to request that the letter from Joan Pulakos, Ph.D. be signed. The Committee directed Board staff to request Dr. Goldfine’s presence at the in-person Board meeting in which her application will be reviewed.

Despina Klocko, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Klocko’s application. Upon review of her application the Committee noted that she wrote the phrase “you have this” in response to questions #35, 28 & 34. Additionally, the Committee noted that she typed her course work instead of listing her courses on pages 11 & 12 of the application. At this time, the Committee is requesting that she complete the Application for Licensure and/or Exam in its entirety and return it to the Board office. Furthermore, the Committee reviewed her request to waive her supervised work experience. The Committee noted that pursuant to A.R.S. §32-2071(J) her Supervised Preinternship and Professional Postdoctoral Psychology Experience can be waived. The Committee noted that she does not meet the requirement to waive her Supervised Psychology Internship requirement. The Committee is requesting that Dr. Klocko have her supervisor, or another psychologist knowledgeable of her internship training, complete the Supervised Psychology Internship or Training Experience Verification form and submit it to

the Board office. Upon review of her core program requirements, the Committee noted that the following courses may not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(4):

- Scientific and Professional Ethics and Standards in Psychology
  - Advanced Counseling Theory and Methods (History and Systems)
- Biological Basis of Behavior
  - Professional and Personal Stress Transformation
  - Mind/Body Connection of Stress and Disease
  - Advanced Developmental Psychology
- Cognitive-Affective Basis of Behavior
  - Emotions: A Seminar for Health Professionals
  - Psychobiology of Mental Control
  - Dynamics of Addictions and Treatment
  - Doctoral Seminar – (WSU) Educational Psychology
- Individual Differences
  - Psychopathology and DSM IV
  - Succeeding with Difficult Clients

The Committee also noted that she did not list whether her courses were Semester, Quarter or Trimester hours. At this time, the Committee is requesting that she provide syllabi for the above noted courses as well as indicate whether all of her courses were Semester, Quarter or Trimester hours. Additionally, pursuant to A.R.S. §32-2071(A)(4) and Arizona Administrative Code R4-26-202(C), she has the option to provide evidence that her Comprehensive Exam included ethics to meet the statutory requirement in lieu of providing her syllabi.

Gregory Regts, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Regts’s application. Upon review of his Summary of Pre-Internship Supervised Professional Experiences form, the Committee noted that he indicated that he worked 30 hours per week at LLUMC Behavioral Medical Center and received one hour of face-to-face individual supervision. Additionally, upon review of his Supervised Preinternship Experience Verification form from LLUMNC Behavioral Medical Center, the Committee noted that his Director of Clinical Training, David Vermeersch, Ph.D., indicated that Dr. Regts worked 20-30 hours per week and received 1 hour of individual supervision per week which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(4)(c). If Dr. Regts worked more than 20 hours per week and received only one hour of individual supervision, he does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(4)(c). The Committee is requesting clarification as to the number of individual supervision hours he received. Additionally, the Committee is requesting an explanation as to why Dr. Vermeersch indicated that Dr. Regts worked 20-30 hours per week and only received one hour of individual supervision. Furthermore, the Committee is requesting that Dr. Regts provide an explanation as to the discrepancy between what he reported on the Summary of Pre-Internship Supervised Professional Experiences form and what Dr. Vermeersch reported on the Supervised Preinternship Experience Verification form.

Lindsay Lennertz, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Lennertz’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Lennertz’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Ryan Adams, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Adams’ application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move

Dr. Adams' application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Steven Marrinson, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Marrinson's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Marrinson's application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Beljan, to forward the applications of Alison Paules-Rettew, Psy.D., Dara Rampersad, Ph.D., Lindsay Lennertz, Psy.D., Ryan Adams, Ph.D., and Steven Marrinson, Ph.D., to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon payment of the pro-rated licensure fee, to issue additional information request letters to Despina Klocko, Ph.D., and Gregory Regts, Ph.D., regarding the deficiencies discussed in their applications and to forward the application of Debra Goldfine, Ph.D. to the full Board for further review regarding the deficiencies noted in her application and possible denial. The motion carried 2-0.

#### **Requesting Approval of Licensure by Credential**

Sonya Bruner, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Bruner's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that she did not list a business address and that she indicated that she does not currently have a business address. Upon review of her curriculum vitae, the Committee noted that she indicated that she is currently employed with an online organization. The Committee directed Board staff to ask Dr. Bruner for clarification regarding information pertaining to her employment history.

Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Beljan, to direct Board staff to ask Dr. Bruner for clarification regarding information pertaining to her employment history. The motion carried 2-0.

#### **Requesting Approval of Supervised Work Experience**

Holly Doolin, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Doolin's application and subsequent submission. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Doolin's application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Kristen Sergeant, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Sergeant's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Sergeant's application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of a passing score on the EPPP and upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Beljan, to forward the application of Holly Doolin, Psy.D., to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon payment of the pro-rated licensure fee, and to forward the application of Kristen Sergeant, Ph.D., to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of a passing score on the EPPP and upon receipt of the prorated license fee. The motion carried 2-0.

**5. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD PERTAINING TO APPROVAL OF LICENSED ASSOCIATE PSYCHOLOGIST APPLICANTS AND APPROVAL OF POSTDOCTORAL WRITTEN TRAINING PLAN**

**Requesting Approval for Temporary Licensure & EPPP**

M. Patricia Liimatainen, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Liimatainen’s application. Upon review of question #34 of the application, “professional experience in psychology”, the Committee noted the following:

- Between April 2015 - March 2016, she worked 10-30 hours per week at “Dr. Elizabeth Ott Psychologist and Associates, LLC”
- Between April 2015 – March 2016, she worked 10-30 hours per week at “Yuma Elementary School District One”
- Between August 2012 – Present she worked 40 hours per week at “Yuma Union High School District:

Given the above noted work history, the Committee noted that between April 2015 – March 2016 she would have worked between 60-100 hours per week. The Committee is requesting that Dr. Liimatainen confirm the above noted dates and hours worked and provide an explanation. Upon review of her Supervised Psychology Internship or Training Experience Verification forms the Committee noted the following:

- Between April 13, 2015 – March 18, 2016, she worked 40 hours per week at “Yuma Union High School District”
- Between April 13, 2015 – March 18, 2016, she worked 10-30 hours per week at “Dr. Elizabeth K. Ott Psychologist & Associates, LLC”
- Between April 13, 2015 – March 18, 2016, she worked ~20 hours per week at “Yuma Elementary School District One”

The Committee noted that it cannot accept more than 40 hours worked per week pursuant to A.R.S. §32-2071(H). At this time the Committee can only accept 40 hours of work per week for her internship experiences. Additionally, upon review of her Supervised Psychology Internship or Training Experience Verification form from Yuma Elementary School District One, her Supervisor, Stacey Anderson, Ph.D., answered “no” to question #13. At this time, the Committee is requesting clarification as to whether Dr. Liimatainen’s internship at Yuma Elementary School District One had two psychologists on staff as supervisors. Additionally, the Committee is requesting confirmation from Dr. Anderson that question #13 on the Supervised Psychology Internship or Training Experience Verification form was answered appropriately. Furthermore, the Committee is requesting clarification as to how all of her internship experiences meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(F) and Arizona Administrative Code R4-26-210(B).

**6. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD PERTAINING TO APPROVAL OF BEHAVIOR ANALYST APPLICANTS**

**Requesting Approval of Licensure by Experience**

Debra Villa, M.Ed. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Villa’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move

Ms. Villa's application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Erin Bartosik, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Bartosik's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Bartosik's application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Jenny Kim, M.Ed. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Kim's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that she did not submit a request for the exemption that her supervisor licensed as her supervision took place in state that does not license behavior analysts. Otherwise the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to direct Board staff to contact Ms. Kim and request that she submit the exemption request and to move her application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Mandana Kajian, M.Ed. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Kajian's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that she did not submit a request for the exemption that her supervisor licensed as her supervision took place in state that does not license behavior analysts. Otherwise the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to direct Board staff to contact Ms. Kajian and request that she submit the exemption request and to move her application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Maria Earley, M.A.T. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Earley's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Ms. Earley's application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Mary DeCarlo, M.Ed. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. DeCarlo's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Ms. DeCarlo's application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Michele Vail, M.A. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Vail's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that she did not list the correct accrediting agency on question #22 of the application otherwise the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to direct Board staff to contact Ms. Vail and request that she list the correct accrediting agency on question #22 of the application and to move her application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Nicole Henson, M.S.Ed. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Henson's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Ms. Henson's application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Ramona Lopez, M.S. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Lopez’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that she did not complete question #5 of the application correctly and did not list her start and end dates for her experience at Holding Hands Pediatric Diagnostics, otherwise the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to direct Board staff to contact Ms. Lopez and request that she answer question #5 of the application correctly and that she list her start and end dates for her experience at Holding Hands Pediatric Diagnostics and to move her application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Samantha Lemons, M.A. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Lemons’ application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Ms. Lemons’ application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Beljan, to forward the applications of Debra Villa, M.Ed., Erin Bartosik, Ph.D., Maria Earley, M.A.T., Mary DeCarlo, M.Ed., Nicole Henson, M.S.E.d. and Samantha Lemons, M.A., to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee and to forward the applications of Jenny Kim, M.Ed., Mandana Kajian, M.Ed., Michele Vail, M.A., and Ramona Lopez, M.A., to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the requested information discussed in their applications and upon receipt of the prorated license fee. The motion carried 2-0.

## **7. NEW AGENDA ITEMS FOR FUTURE MEETINGS**

Dr. Wechsler requested that Board staff consult with the Board’s Assistant Attorney General regarding whether a behavior analyst applicant is required to submit a written request to the Board requesting an exemption from the supervision requirement that the supervisor be a licensed behavior analyst.

## **8. ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business to come before the Committee, Dr. Wechsler made motion, seconded by Dr. Beljan, to adjourn the meeting at 9:38 a.m. The motion carried 2-0.

---

**Frederick S. Wechsler, Ph.D., Psy.D., ABPP (cl)**  
**Application Review Committee Chair**