

Board Members

Bob Bohanske, Ph.D.
Chair
John P. DiBacco, Ph.D.
Vice-Chair
Joseph C. Donaldson
Secretary
Paul Beljan, Psy.D. ABPdN, ABN
Janice K. Brundage, Ph.D.
Ramona N. Mellott, Ph.D.
Rob Robichaud
Tamara Shreeve, MPA
Frederick S. Wechsler, Ph.D., Psy.D, ABPP



**State of Arizona
Board of Psychologist Examiners**

1400 West Washington, Suite 240
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Phone: (602) 542-8162 Fax: (602) 542-8279
www.psychboard.az.gov

Application Review Committee

Staff

Dr. Cindy Olvey
Executive Director

Lynanne Chapman
Deputy Director

Heather Duracinski
Licensing Coordinator

**MINUTES OF TELEPHONIC MEETING
June 3, 2014
7:00 a.m.**

1400 West Washington
Suite #240
Phoenix, AZ 85007

1. CALL TO ORDER

The regular session of the Arizona State Board of Psychologist Examiners Application Review Committee was called to order by Chairman Wechsler at 7:00 a.m. on June 3, 2014. No Executive Sessions were held.

2. ROLL CALL

Committee Members Participating by Telephone

Frederick S. Wechsler, Ph.D., Psy.D., ABPP – Chair
Janice K. Brundage, Ph.D.

Staff Present

Cindy Olvey – Executive Director
Heather Duracinski – Licensing Coordinator

Assistant Attorney General

Jeanne Galvin, Esq.

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

- April 29, 2014, Regular Session Minutes

Dr. Brundage made motion, seconded by Dr. Wechsler to approve the April 29, 2014, Regular Session Minutes, as drafted. The motion carried 2-0.

4. DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING APPROVAL OF PSYCHOLOGY APPLICANTS

Requesting Approval to sit for Examination (EPPP) Only

MaryAnne Belton, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Belton's reapplication. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were

complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Belton's reapplication to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP.

Natalie Hurd, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Hurd's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Hurd's application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP.

Christopher Ogle, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Ogle's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Ogle's application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP.

Megan Randall, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Randall's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Randall's application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP.

Dr. Brundage made a motion, seconded by Dr. Wechsler, to forward the applications of MaryAnne Belton, Psy.D., Natalie Hurd, Psy.D., Christopher Ogle, Psy.D., and Megan Randall, Ph.D., to the full Board for review and approval to take the EPPP. The motion carried 2-0.

Requesting Approval to sit for Examination (EPPP) & Licensure

Lindsey Barzizza, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Barzizza's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Barzizza's application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Jamie Bustamante, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Bustamante's application. Upon review of her Supervised Preinternship Experience Verification form from the Guidance Center and from NAU, Department of Educational Psychology, the Committee noted that she indicated that her working title was "Master's Intern" at the Guidance Center and "Supervisor of Master's Level Practicum Students" at NAU, Department of Educational Psychology. At this time the Committee is requesting clarification as to whether the above noted experiences were part of Dr. Bustamante's doctorate program. Upon review of her Postdoctoral Professional Psychology Experience Verification form from the Guidance Center, the Committee noted that her supervisor, Ellen Betts, Ph.D., indicated that Dr. Bustamante worked 40 hours per week for 29 weeks for a total of 1,080 hours. Dr. Betts' subsequent calculations indicate that Dr. Bustamante obtained 49 hours of individual face-to-face supervision and 297 hours of direct client contact which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(G)(5). At this time, Dr. Bustamante is 135 hours deficient in direct client contact (40% of 1,080) and 9 hours deficient in individual face-to-face supervision. The Committee is requesting clarification regarding how many hours of individual face-to-face supervision Dr. Bustamante received and how many hours of direct client contact she obtained.

Dawn Byrd, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Byrd's application. Upon review of her Supervised Psychology Internship or Training Experience Verification form from Phoenix Elementary School District, the Committee noted that her Written Training Plan does not indicate a secondary supervisor which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(F)(2). The Committee is requesting clarification as to whether

Dr. Byrd’s Internship provided a licensed psychologist as a secondary supervisor. Additionally, the Committee noted that the Argosy Director of School Psychology Training did not sign her Written Training Plan. The Committee is requesting that Dr. Byrd provide a Written Training Plan that is signed by all Institution Officials indicated on the form.

ClaireMarie Clark, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Clark’s application and subsequent submission. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Clark’s application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Marylene Goode, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Goode’s application. Upon review of her Supervised Preinternship Experience Verification forms her Training Director, Sukie Magraw, Ph.D., indicated that Dr. Goode worked twenty or more hours per week and received the following hours of individual supervision per week:

<u>Hours Worked per Week</u>	<u>Individual Supervision per Week</u>	<u>Training Site</u>
24	1	Gardner’s Health Center (Community Health Center)
40	1	Tripler Army Medical Center

The Committee noted that Goode’s number of hours of individual supervision does not meet the statutory requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(E)(4)(c). At this time, Dr. Goode does not meet the 3,000 hour supervised experience requirement. The Committee noted that Dr. Goode may wish to submit additional preinternship or postdoctoral experience to meet the 3,000 hour requirement.

Rachael Grantham, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Grantham’s application and subsequent submission. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Grantham’s application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Marlee Hoffman, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Hoffman’s application and subsequent submission. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Hoffman’s application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

John Hope, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Hope’s application. Upon review of his application, the Committee voted to forward his application to the full Board for review and possible denial as Sierra University does not appear to be regionally accredited which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(A)(1). Additionally, the Committee expressed concern that his internship and postdoctoral experience occurred during the same time period, he did not complete a residency, his transcript does not reflect that his doctorate program was clinical or counseling psychology and that the majority of his coursework was at the master’s level.

Jamie Kobsar, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Kobsar’s application. Upon review of page nine of the application, the Committee noted that he stated that he received a “minimum of one hour of individual face to face supervision every other week which does not meet the statutory requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(E)(4)(c). The Committee is

requesting clarification as to how much individual face-to-face supervision he received per week. Additionally, upon review of his Supervised Preinternship Experience Verification form from Gila River Behavioral Health Authority, the Committee noted that his secondary supervisor, Audrey Kanwischer, D.O., is not a licensed psychologist. At this time, the Committee is requesting clarification as to what percentage of time Dr. Kobsar was supervised by his secondary supervisor, Audrey Kanwischer, D.O. Furthermore, the Committee noted that on page nine of the application he listed his type of employment as "Full Time Employee". The Committee is requesting clarification as to whether Dr. Kobsar was an employee or practicum student during his preinternship experiences as he listed his title as "Full Time Employee" on page nine of the application.

Monica Kos, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Kos' application. The Committee noted that on the application she attached an explanation regarding question #19 & #20. The Committee is requesting that Dr. Kos submit additional information regarding her 2013 DWI conviction including but not limited to, Certificate of Completion for her DWI School, any and all treatment records regarding alcohol counseling, any and all probation records, police report, Court Orders and documentation of successful completion of her community service. Upon review of her Written Training Plan from Northern Arizona University for her preinternship experiences, the Committee noted that the Training Director, Eugene Moan, Ed.D., did not sign the Written Training Plan. The Committee is requesting that Dr. Kos provide a Written Training Plan that is signed by the Training Director. Upon review of her Postdoctoral Professional Psychology Experience Verification form from the Gallup McKinley County Schools, the Committee noted that her supervisor, Gary Plank, Ph.D., indicated that Dr. Kos worked 35 hours per week for 36 weeks for a total of 1,260 hours. His subsequent calculations indicate that Dr. Kos obtained 30 hours of individual face-to-face supervision which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(G)(5). At this time Dr. Kos is 33 hours deficient in individual face-to-face supervision. The Committee is requesting clarification regarding how many hours of individual face-to-face supervision Dr. Kos received. Additionally, the Committee noted that Dr. Plank answered yes to question #4 and no to questions #8, 12, 14, 15 & 18 on the postdoctoral verification form which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(G).

Christopher Margeson, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Margeson's application and subsequent submission. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Margeson's application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Farshid Moshrefi, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Moshrefi's application. Upon review of his Supervised Psychology Internship or Training Experience form from California School of Professional Psychology, his Program Director, Dr. Theodore Burnes, indicated that Dr. Moshrefi worked 28 hours per week for 104 weeks for a total of 1,984 internship hours. His subsequent calculations indicate that Dr. Moshrefi received 99.2 hours of individual face-to-face supervision which does not meet the requirement of §A.R.S. 32-2071(F)(6). At this time, Dr. Moshrefi is 46.4 hours deficient in individual face-to-face supervision. The Committee is requesting additional information that Dr. Moshrefi received one hour of face-to-face, individual supervision for each twenty hours of experience during his internship.

Mary Orias, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Orias' application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move

Dr. Orias' application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Sarah Petty, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Petty's reapplication. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Petty's reapplication to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Mark Peugeot, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Peugeot's application and subsequent submission. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Peugeot's application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Karen Putnam, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Putnam's application. Upon review of her application, the Committee noted that on page 6 question #25 of the application she did not list her degrees. The Committee is requesting that Dr. Putnam list her degrees on question #25 and submit it to the Board office. Upon review of her application, the Committee noted that she obtained two internship experiences. Dr. Putnam's internship experience at the University of Arizona Medical Center, supervised by Hal Arkowitz, Ph.D. was from January 2012 – February 2013 and her internship experience at the University of Arizona Department of Psychiatry, supervised by John Leipsic, M.D., was from March 2013 – July 2013 which may not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32071(F)(10). The Committee is requesting clarification as to whether Dr. Putnam completed a 1,500 hour internship within 24 consecutive months. Additionally, the Committee is requesting that Dr. Putnam submit her certificate of completion for her internships as well as an explanation from her supervisor(s) as to whether there was a period of time (February 2013-March 2013) in which Dr. Putnam did not participate in an internship. Furthermore, the Committee noted that Dr. Leipsic is not a licensed psychologist, which does not meet the statutory requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(F)(1). The Committee is requesting clarification as to whether Dr. Putnam was supervised by a licensed psychologist during her internship at the University of Arizona Department of Psychiatry.

Timothy Ralston, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Ralston's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Ralston's application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Christopher Simpson, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Simpson's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Simpson's application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Vickie Spitzer, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Spitzer's reapplication. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Spitzer's reapplication to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Dr. Brundage made a motion, seconded by Dr. Wechsler, to forward the applications of Lindsey Barzizza, Ph.D., ClaireMarie Clark, Ph.D., Rachael Grantham, Psy.D., Marlee Hoffman, Ph.D., Christopher Margeson, Ph.D., Mary Orias, Psy.D., Sarah Petty, Psy.D., Mark Peugeot, Ph.D., Timothy Ralston, Ph.D., Christopher Simpson, Psy.D., and Vickie Spitzer, Psy.D. to the full Board for review and approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee, to issue RAID letters to Jamie Bustamante, Ph.D., Dawn Byrd, Psy.D., Marylene Goode, Psy.D., Jamie Kobsar, Ph.D., Monika Kos, Ph.D., Farshid Moshrefi, Ph.D., and Karen Putnam, Ph.D. regarding the deficiencies noted in their applications and to move the application of John Hope, Ph.D., to the full Board for further review. The motion carried 2-0.

Requesting Approval of Licensure by Waiver

Diane LeMont, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. LeMont's application and subsequent submission. Upon review of her Supervised Psychology Internship or Training Experience Verification form from Turning Point Crisis Center, the Committee noted that her Director of Professional Training, Steven Bucky, Ph.D., indicated that Dr. LeMont worked 20 hours a week for 38 weeks. The Committee noted that in the written training plan it is indicated that 16 hours worked per week were the "Hours Per Week Required". At this time, the Committee is requesting clarification as to how many hours per week Dr. LeMont worked. Additionally, the Committee noted that Dr. Bucky answered "no" to question #13 & #25 on the Supervised Psychology Internship or Training Experience Verification form which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(F)(2) and R4-26-210(A)(7). The Committee is requesting clarification as to whether Dr. LeMont's Internship provided a licensed psychologist as a secondary supervisor. Additionally, the Committee is requesting clarification as to whether the written training plan for Dr. LeMont's internship was established before she began her internship. Furthermore the Committee noted that Dr. LeMont obtained two internship experiences. Her internship experience at the Turning Point Crisis Center was from August 1990-June 1991 and her internship experience at Professional Community Services was from July 1992-June 1993, which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32071(F)(10). The Committee is requesting clarification as to whether Dr. LeMont completed a 1,500 hour internship within 24 consecutive months.

The Committee recessed at 8:24 a.m. and reconvened at 8:00 a.m. on June 4, 2014.

Heather Brydges, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Brydges' application and subsequent submission. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Brydges' application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Lauren Canniff, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Canniff's application. Upon review of her Postdoctoral Professional Psychology Experience Verification form from Northern Arizona University Counseling Services/Campus Health Services, the Committee noted that her supervisor, Laura Lyn, Ph.D., indicated that Dr. Canniff worked 40 hours per week for 48 weeks and that Dr. Canniff is applying 1,000 of those hours towards licensure. Her subsequent calculations indicate that Dr. Canniff obtained 50 hours of individual face-to-face supervision and 250 hours of direct client contact which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(G)(5). At this time Dr. Canniff is 150 hours deficient in direct client contact (40% of 1,000) and 46 hours deficient in individual face-to-face supervision. The Committee is requesting clarification regarding how many hours of individual face-to-face supervision Dr. Canniff received and how many hours of direct client contact she obtained.

Mia Gregor, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Gregor’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Gregor’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Natalie Roweihieb, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Roweihieb’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Roweihieb’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Staci Davis, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Davis’ application and subsequent submission. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Davis’ application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Tava Arnold, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Arnold’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Arnold’ application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Valerie Gold-Neil, Ed.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Gold-Neil’s application and subsequent submission. Upon review, the Committee noted that she answered “yes” to question #14, 15 & 19 of the application. The Committee reviewed the disciplinary information provided by the California Board of Psychology and it was the consensus of the Committee to forward Dr. Gold-Neil’s application to the full Board for further review.

Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Brundage, to forward the applications of Heather Brydges, Psy.D., Mia Gregor, Psy.D., Natalie Roweihieb, Psy.D., Staci Davis, Psy.D. and Tava Arnold, Ph.D. to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon payment of the pro-rated licensure fee and to issue RAID letters to Diane LeMont, Psy.D. and Lauren Caniff, Psy.D., regarding the deficiencies noted in their applications and to move the application of Valerie Gold-Neil, Ed.D., to the full Board for further review. The motion carried (2-0).

5. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD PERTAINING TO APPROVAL OF BEHAVIOR ANALYST APPLICANTS

Requesting Approval of Licensure by Experience

Allison Hensel, M.S. - Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Hensel’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Ms. Hensel’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Marja Huzevka, MS.Ed. - Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Huzevka’s application. Upon review of her application, the Committee noted that on page 4

question #19 of the application she indicated that she does not remember her Major Advisor's name. The Committee is requesting that Ms. Huzevka contact her program at Northern Illinois University and obtain the name of her Major Advisor and submit it to the Board office. At this time, the Committee is requesting that Ms. Huzevka submit documentation of her supervision as proof of the hours she obtained.

Tara Kiener, MA - Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Kiener's application. Upon review of her application, the Committee noted that on page 3 question #6 of the application she did not provide her original certification date of her behavior analyst certification. The Committee is requesting that Ms. Kiener provide the original certification date of her behavior analyst certification. Upon review of her Supervised Work Experience or Independent Fieldwork Verification form from Home and Clinic-Based in Phoenix Environments, the Committee noted that her supervisor, Eric Frey, M.A., corrected the total hours of experience from 1,500 to 105 total hours of experience. At this time, the Committee is requesting that Ms. Kiener submit documentation of her supervision as proof of the hours she obtained.

Andrew King, M.S. - Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Mr. King's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Mr. King's application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Birgit Lurie, MS.Ed. - Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Lurie's application. Upon review of her Supervised Work Experience or Independent Fieldwork Verification form from Scottsdale Unified, the Committee noted that her supervisor, Shannon Cernich, Ph.D., is located in California and answered "yes" to question #4 of the Supervised Work Experience or Independent Fieldwork Verification form. According to Board records Dr. Cernich has never had an Arizona address. At this time, the Committee is requesting clarification as to how Ms. Lurie was observed.

Laura Mann, Ph.D. - Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Mann's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Mann's application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Adel Najdowski, Ph.D. - Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Najdowski's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Najdowski's application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Brundage, to forward the applications of Allison Hensel, M.S., Andrew King, M.S., Laura Mann, Ph.D. and Adel Najdowski, Ph.D. to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee and to issue RAID letters to Marja Huzevka, MS.Ed., Tara Kiener, MA, and Birgit Lurie, MS.Ed. regarding the deficiencies discussed in their applications. The motion carried (2-0).

6. NEW AGENDA ITEMS FOR FUTURE MEETINGS

Dr. Wechsler requested that an item regarding postdoctoral experience be placed on a future agenda of the Board.

7. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Committee the meeting adjourned at 8:47 a.m.

Frederick S. Wechsler, Ph.D., Psy.D., ABPP
Application Review Committee Chair