



Board Members

Frederick S. Wechsler, Ph.D., Psy.D., ABPP
Chair
Rob Robichaud, M.A. CJ, SHRM-CP, PHR
Vice-Chair
Tamara Shreeve, MPA
Secretary
Paul Beljan, Psy.D. ABPdN, ABN
Bob Bohanske, Ph.D
Janice K. Brundage, Ph.D.
Joseph C. Donaldson
Lynn L. Flowers, Ph.D.
Ramona Mellott, Ph.D.

**State of Arizona
Board of Psychologist Examiners**

1965-2015
50 Years of Service to Arizona

1400 West Washington, Suite 240
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Phone: (602) 542-8162 Fax: (602) 542-8279
www.psychboard.az.gov

Staff

Dr. Cindy Olvey
Executive Director
Lynanne Chapman
Deputy Director
Heather Duracinski
Licensing Coordinator
Krishna Poe
Administrative Assistant

REGULAR SESSION MINUTES

Corrected

August 14, 2015

8:30 a.m.

Northern Arizona University
Eastburn Education Building, Room 204
Flagstaff, AZ 86001

1. CALL TO ORDER

The regular session of the Arizona State Board of Psychologist Examiners was called to order by Chairman Wechsler at 8:30 a.m. on August 14, 2015.

2. ROLL CALL

Board Members Present

Frederick S. Wechsler, Ph.D., Psy.D., ABPP (CI) -Chair
Rob Robichaud, M.A. CJ, SHRM-CP, PHR –Vice Chair
Tamara Shreeve, MPA –Secretary
Paul Beljan, Psy.D., ABPdN, ABN
Janice K. Brundage, Ph.D.
Bob Bohanske, Ph.D.
Joseph C. Donaldson
Lynn Flowers, Ph.D.
Ramona N. Mellott, Ph.D.

Board Staff

Dr. Cindy Olvey, Executive Director
Lynanne Chapman, Deputy Director
Heather Duracinski, Licensing Coordinator

Attorney General’s Office

Jeanne Galvin, Esq.

3. REMARKS/ANNOUNCEMENTS

CE Documentation – Dr. Wechsler announced that licensees could receive CE credits in Ethics for attendance at Board meetings and explained how to obtain credit.

Board Assessment Forms – Dr. Wechsler encouraged members of the audience to complete a Board meeting Assessment Survey and place them in the survey box.

Remarks, Board Member and Staff Appreciation – Dr. Wechsler presented Dr. John DiBacco with a plaque recognizing him as Board Chair from January 2015 – April 2015. Dr. Wechsler thanked Board members and Staff for their dedication and hard work.

4. CALL TO THE PUBLIC

There were no requests to speak.

5. COUNSEL REPORT

Ms. Galvin did not have a counsel report for the Board.

6. CONSENT AGENDA - DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION

Dr. Brundage and Mr. Donaldson recused from June 5, 2015, Executive Session Minutes. Mr. Robichaud recused from the July 8, 2015, Regular Session Minutes. Mr. Donaldson and Dr. Wechsler recused from the July 10, 2015, Regular Session Minutes. Ms. Shreeve made a motion, seconded by Dr. Bohanske, to approve the items on the Consent Agenda. The motion carried 9-0.

(a) APPROVAL OF MINUTES

- June 5, 2015, Executive Session (10:10 a.m. – 10:22 a.m.) (Dr. Brundage and Mr. Donaldson recused)
- June 5, 2015, Executive Session (11:23 a.m. – 11:48 a.m.) (Dr. Brundage and Mr. Donaldson recused)
- June 5, 2015, Executive Session (3:20 p.m. – 3:28 p.m.) (Dr. Brundage and Mr. Donaldson recused)
- July 8, 2015, Regular Session (Mr. Robichaud recused)
- July 10, 2015, Regular Session (Mr. Donaldson and Dr. Wechsler recused)

(b) DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING PSYCHOLOGY APPLICATIONS

i. REQUESTING APPROVAL FOR EXAM AND/OR LICENSURE

- Christopher McBride, Psy.D.
- Emily Mulvey, Ph.D.
- Graylynn Hudson, Ph.D.
- Jamie Kobsar, Ph.D.
- Michael Bowdren, Ph.D.
- T. Renee Thompson, Psy.D.

iii. REQUESTING APPROVAL FOR LICENSURE BY WAIVER

- Beth Anne Hicks, Psy.D.
- Kate Jansen, Ph.D.

iii. REQUESTING APPROVAL FOR LICENSURE BY CREDENTIAL

- Jerry Wesch, Ph.D.
- Joseph Casciani, Ph.D.
- Suzana Flores, Psy.D.

(c) DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING BEHAVIOR ANALYST APPLICATIONS

- Allie Zeller, M.A.
- Colleen Duffy, M.Ed.
- Dan Holbery, M.Ed.
- Jessica Rydberg, M.Ed.
- Lena Sankovich, Ph.D.
- Lindsay Traurig, M.Ed.
- Mallory Smith, M.A.
- Nicolette Piano, M.S.
- Tisha Denton, M.Ed.
- Vanessa Fessenden, Ph.D.

- (d) EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR’S REPORT**
- (e) INVESTIGATIONS REPORT**
- (f) LICENSING REPORT**
- (g) DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING A RECOMMENDATION BY THE BOARD’S COMPLAINT SCREENING COMMITTEE TO OPEN A COMPLAINT AGAINST MONIKA KOS, PH.D.**
- (h) DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING A REQUEST FROM LLOYD WILLIAMS, PH.D., TO WITHDRAW HIS LICENSE APPLICATION**
- (i) DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING RECOMMENDATION BY THE BOARD’S COMPLAINT SCREENING COMMITTEE TO OPEN A COMPLAINT AGAINST DAVID MASELLI, PH.D.**
- (j) DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING RECOMMENDATION FOR RELEASE OF ORDER FOR PRACTICE RESTRICTION, SUPERVISION, AND MENTOR FOR DR. THOMAS THOMPSON**
- (k) DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING REQUEST FOR REACTIVATION OF INACTIVE LICENSE FROM JULIA LANGDAL, PSY.D.**
- (l) DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO SIT FOR THE EPPP FROM STEVEN CARUSO, PH.D.**
- (m) DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING RENEWAL APPLICATIONS FOR REVIEW BY THE BOARD AND RECOMMENDATION THAT NO ACTION BE TAKEN**
 - **Sereta Robinson, Ph.D.**
 - **Christina Hibbert, Psy.D.**
 - **Philip Gibeau, Ph.D.**
- (n) DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING A REQUEST FROM SANDRA HARRIS, PH.D. TO CANCEL HER LICENSE**
- (o) DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING REQUEST FOR REACTIVATION OF INACTIVE LICENSE FROM KEVIN BLAKLEY, PSY.D.**

7. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING COMMEMORATING 50 YEARS (1965-2015) SINCE ENACTMENT OF ENABLING LEGISLATION REGULATING PSYCHOLOGY AS A PROFESSION

Dr. Bohanske provided a summary stating that the Board is working on recognizing the work of licensees, Board members and Board staff for the 50th anniversary. Dr. Bohanske displayed a sample of the Commemorative Certificate and stated that order forms are available on the Board’s website.

8. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION RELATING TO INITIAL CASE REVIEWS OF THE FOLLOWING INVESTIGATIONS

a) RFI 15-05, Marcus Earle, Ph.D.

Dr. Wechsler provided a summary of the complaint and stated that the Complaint Screening Committee forwarded this matter to the full Board for possible violations of A.R.S. §32-2061(15)(o)(q).

R.G. was present telephonically, requested to speak, made a statement and answered Board members' questions. R.G. stated that the facility represented itself as an intensive in-patient treatment facility but there is no after hours emergency coverage/plan. As a result, R.G. stated he had to go to an emergency room. R.G. expressed concerns with the treatment received.

Dr. Earle was present, requested to speak, made a statement and answered Board members' questions. Dr. Earle stated that he has made changes to documentation and protocols. Dr. Earle elaborated that the facility has been in business for 20 years and an emergency plan was never needed. Dr. Earle attested that an emergency plan is now in place and there are licensed professionals at the facility on the weekends. Dr. Earle addressed Board concerns regarding supervision.

Board members deliberated and expressed concern that this case may have fallen below the standard of care due to the facility not having an emergency plan in place.

After deliberation, Mr. Robichaud made a motion, seconded by Dr. Beljan, to move this matter to an Informal Interview for possible violation of A.R.S. §32-2061(15)(e) for possible gross negligence in the practice of a psychologist.; A.R.S. §32-2061(15) (g) for possibly engaging or offering to engage as a psychologist in activities not congruent with the psychologist's professional education, training and experience; for possible violation of A.R.S. §32-2061(15)(o) for possibly providing services that are unnecessary or unsafe or otherwise engaging in activities as a psychologist that are unprofessional by current standards of practice. The motion failed 4-5 on a roll call vote with Dr. Bohanske, Dr. Brundage, Mr. Donaldson, Dr. Flowers and Dr. Mellott voting no.

Dr. Mellott made a motion, seconded by Dr. Bohanske, to offer Dr. Earle a Consent Agreement with a Letter of Concern for possibly providing services that are unnecessary or unsafe or otherwise engaging in activities as a psychologist that are unprofessional by current standards of practice.

At 10:00 a.m. Dr. Brundage made a motion to go into Executive Session to receive confidential legal advice from the Board's attorney, Dr. Flowers seconded the motion. Open session reconvened at 10:23 a.m.

Dr. Mellott withdrew her motion and Dr. Bohanske withdrew his second to the motion.

Mr. Robichaud made a motion, seconded by Dr. Beljan, to move this matter to an Informal Interview for possible violation of A.R.S. §32-2061(15)(o) for possibly providing services that are unnecessary or unsafe or otherwise engaging in activities as a psychologist that are unprofessional by current standards of practice. The motion carried 6-3 on a roll call vote with Dr. Brundage, Mr. Donaldson and Dr. Mellott voting no.

b) RFI 15-15, Leslie Donnelly, Ed.D.

Dr. Wechsler provided a summary to the Board stating that the Board initiated this investigation due to the fact that Dr. Donnelly did not disclose on her initial application for licensure that she was under investigation by the Maryland Board of Examiners of Psychologists. Subsequently, Dr. Donnelly disclosed on her 2015-2017 Psychologist Renewal Application that she was disciplined by the Maryland Board of Examiners of Psychologists. The Complaint Screening Committee voted to forward this matter to the full Board for possible violation of A.R.S. §32-2061(15)(m)(n).

Dr. Donnelly and her legal counsel, Faren Akins, were present, requested to speak, made a statement and answered Board member's questions. Dr. Donnelly stated that she completed her one-year of supervision with the Maryland Board of Examiners of Psychologists. Dr. Donnelly stated that she does not reside nor does she

practice in Arizona. She denied intent to misrepresent or deceive the Board and indicated she misunderstood the question on the application.

Board members deliberated and expressed concern that Dr. Donnelly misrepresented her initial application for licensure and may have obtained her license by deceit/fraud. After deliberation, Dr. Brundage made a motion, seconded by Dr. Mellott, to move this matter to an Informal Interview and to allow the Board’s legal counsel to enter into negotiations for a Consent Agreement, for approval by the Board, in lieu of the Informal Interview for possible violation of A.R.S. §32-2061(m) using fraud, misrepresentation or deception to obtain or attempt to obtain a psychology license or to pass or attempt to pass a psychology licensing examination or in assisting another person to do so; for possible violation of A.R.S. §32-2061(15) (n) unprofessional conduct in another jurisdiction that resulted in censure, probation or a civil penalty or in the denial, suspension, restriction or revocation of a certificate or license to practice as a psychologist. The motion carried 9-0 on a roll call vote.

9. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION CONCERNING PROPOSED CONSENT AGREEMENT SUBMITTED BY DR. DARGON

Ms. Chapman provided a summary of the proposed Consent Agreement to the Board. Ms. Chapman stated that the Consent Agreement identifies violations of A.R.S. §§32-2061(15)(h)(v) and (dd) for violation of the American Psychological Association Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct 10.02 Therapy Involving Couples or Families. Additionally the proposed Consent Agreement proposes 12 months of probation and prohibition from seeing patients while on probation and supervision.

Neither Dr. Dargon nor her legal counsel were present. Board members deliberated and expressed concern with the stipulations of the Consent Agreement. Board members clarified that Dr. Dargon has been disciplined in another jurisdiction for engaging in similar behavior. The Board’s Assistant Attorney General, Jeanne Galvin, clarified that prohibition from seeing patients would be suspension of a license, not probation. Additionally, Board members expressed concern as to how a licensee can be supervised if they are prohibited from seeing patients. After deliberation, Dr. Bohanske made a motion, seconded by Mr. Robichaud, to reject the proposed Consent Agreement. The motion carried 9-0.

10. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION PERTAINING TO REQUEST FOR CONTINUANCE FROM DR. DARGON REGARDING INFORMAL INTERVIEWS

Dr. Olvey and Ms. Chapman summarized the basis for Dr. Dargon’s continuance request. Neither Dr. Dargon nor her attorney were present. Dr. Dargon previously requested a continuance, which was granted; however, Dr. Dargon appeared at the meeting for which the continuance was granted. Board members deliberated and expressed concern with Dr. Dargon’s request due to the severity of the allegations in these matters. After deliberation, Mr. Robichaud made a motion, seconded by Dr. Wechsler, to deny Dr. Dargon’s request to continue the Informal Interview. The motion carried 9-0.

**11. INFORMAL INTERVIEWS – CHRISTINE DARGON, PH.D.
DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION RELATING TO ALLEGATIONS OF UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT, PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, POSSIBLE DISCIPLINE AND/OR OFFER A CONSENT AGREEMENT OR REFERRAL TO A FORMAL HEARING**

- a) RFI 14-32 and b) RFI 15-02

The Board heard both cases simultaneously as the Complaints are related. Neither the licensee nor her attorney were present. The Complainant for RFI 14-32 was present, requested to speak and made a statement. Complainant summarized the allegations. The Board had no questions for the Complainant.

After deliberation, Dr. Bohanske made a motion, seconded by Dr. Beljan, to move RFI 14-32 and RFI 15-02 to a Formal Hearing for license suspension or license revocation for violation of A.R.S. §32-2061(15)(a) obtaining a fee by fraud or misrepresentation; for violation of A.R.S. §32-2061(15) (b) betraying professional

confidences; for violation of A.R.S. §32-2061(15)(e) gross negligence in the practice of a psychologist; for violation of A.R.S. 32-2061(15)(h) failing or refusing to maintain and retain adequate business, financial or professional records pertaining to the psychological services provided to a client or patient; for violation of A.R.S. §32-2061(15)(v) abandoning or neglecting a client or patient in need of immediate care without making suitable arrangements for continuation of the care; for violation of A.R.S. 32-2061(15)(dd) as it pertains to the American Psychological Association Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct 3.05 Multiple Relationships; for violation of A.R.S. §32-2084. The motion carried 9-0 on a roll call vote.

12. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION PERTAINING TO RENEWAL APPLICATIONS FOR REVIEW BY THE BOARD

a) Michael McGowan, Psy.D.

Ms. Chapman provided a summary to the Board stating that Dr. McGowan answered “yes” to question #9 of the 2015-2017 Psychologist Application for License Renewal. The Department of Health and Human Services, Office for Civil Rights received a complaint alleging that Dr. McGowan violated the Federal Standards for Privacy of Individually Identifiable Health Information and/or the Security Standards for the Protection of Electronic Protected Health Information. Dr. McGowan was not present but provided a written response and documentation. Board members deliberated and noted that the case was closed. After deliberation, Dr. Mellott made a motion, seconded by Dr. Bohanske, to take no action in this matter and to approve Dr. McGowan’s license renewal. The motion carried 9-0.

b) Cristobal Eblen, Ph.D.

Ms. Chapman provided a summary to the Board stating that Dr. Eblen answered “yes” to question #14 of the 2015-2017 Psychologist Application for License Renewal. Dr. Eblen reported an ongoing lawsuit within the prison system. Dr. Eblen was not present but provided a written response and documentation. After deliberation, Dr. Brundage made a motion, seconded by Dr. Wechsler, to take no action in this matter and to approve Dr. Eblen’s license renewal. The motion carried 9-0.

c) Tina Garby, Psy.D.

Ms. Chapman provided a summary to the Board stating that Dr. Garby answered “yes” to question #14 of the 2015-2017 Psychologist Application for License Renewal. Dr. Garby reported an ongoing lawsuit involving herself and a number of defendants. Dr. Garby was not present but provided a written response. Additionally, Dr. Garby provided documentation indicating that the matter was dismissed. After deliberation, Dr. Bohanske made a motion, seconded by Dr. Brundage, to take no action in this matter and to approve Dr. Garby’s license renewal. The motion carried 9-0.

d) Mayday Levine-Mata, Psy.D.

Ms. Chapman provided a summary to the Board stating that Dr. Levine-Mata answered “yes” to question #14 of the 2015-2017 Psychologist Application for License Renewal. Dr. Levine-Mata reported a lawsuit. Dr. Levine-Mata was present telephonically and attested that the lawsuit was not related to her work or conduct but was related to the agency in which she is employed. After deliberation, Dr. Flowers made a motion, seconded by Mr. Robichaud, to take no action in this matter and to approve Dr. Levine-Mata’s license renewal. The motion carried 9-0.

e) Nicole Taylor, Ph.D.

Dr. Flowers recused from hearing this matter and exited the meeting room. Ms. Chapman provided a summary to the Board stating that Dr. Taylor answered “yes” to question #14 of the 2015-2017 Psychologist Application for License Renewal. Dr. Taylor works for the Department of Corrections and reported a lawsuit wherein she was sued by an inmate. Dr. Taylor was not present but provided a written response and documentation indicating that the case was dismissed. After deliberation, Dr. Brundage made a motion, seconded by Dr.

Beljan, to take no action in this matter and to approve Dr. Taylor's license renewal. The motion carried 8-0-1 with Dr. Flowers recused.

f) David Weinstock, Ph.D.

Ms. Chapman provided a summary to the Board stating that Dr. Weinstock answered "yes" to question #14 of the 2015-2017 Psychologist Application for License Renewal. Dr. Weinstock was present telephonically and attested that the case was dismissed. After deliberation, Dr. Bohanske made a motion, seconded by Mr. Donaldson, to take no action in this matter and to approve Dr. Weinstock's license renewal. The motion carried 9-0.

g) Ralph Mertens, Ph.D.

Dr. Flowers recused from hearing this matter and exited the meeting room. Ms. Chapman provided a summary to the Board stating that Dr. Mertens answered "yes" to question #14 of the 2015-2017 Psychologist Application for License Renewal. Dr. Mertens previously reported a lawsuit. Dr. Mertens was not present but provided a written response and documentation indicating that the case was dismissed. Additionally, Dr. Mertens answered "yes" to question #7 of the 2015-2017 Psychologist Renewal Application and provided a written response. After deliberation, Dr. Bohanske made a motion, seconded by Mr. Donaldson, to take no action in this matter and to approve Dr. Mertens' license renewal. The motion carried 8-0-1 with Dr. Flowers recused.

h) Amy Phenix, Ph.D.

Ms. Chapman provided a summary to the Board stating that Dr. Phenix answered "yes" to questions # 9 and 14 of the 2015-2017 Psychologist Application for License Renewal. Dr. Phenix reported a lawsuit that was filed with the US District Court against her and reported that a complaint was made against her with the Washington State Department of Health. Dr. Phenix was not present but provided a written response and documentation indicating that the lawsuit was dismissed. After deliberation, Dr. Mellott made a motion, seconded by Dr. Bohanske, to approve Dr. Phenix's renewal and to keep the Board apprised of the complaint filed in Washington. The motion carried 9-0.

i) Catherine Heard, Ph.D.

Ms. Chapman provided a summary to the Board stating that Dr. Heard answered "yes" to questions # 9 and 11 of the 2015-2017 Behavior Analyst Application for License Renewal. Ms. Chapman stated that Dr. Heard's "yes" response to question #11 was reviewed and adjudicated before May 1, 2013. Dr. Heard reported that a complaint was made against her with the Louisiana Behavior Analyst Board. Dr. Heard was not present but did provide a written response and documentation. After deliberation, Dr. Mellott made a motion, seconded by Dr. Bohanske, to approve Dr. Heard's license renewal and to keep the Board apprised of the complaint filed in Louisiana. The motion carried 9-0.

13. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION RELATING TO COMPLAINTS, INVESTIGATIONS, AND QUESTION 9 OF THE LICENSE RENEWAL APPLICATION

Dr. Olvey summarized stating that the proposed rules change the wording of question #9. Board members deliberated with discussion regarding whether an RFI dismissed at the Complaint Screening Committee constitutes a complaint as well as whether not reporting an RFI previously heard by the Board constituted an intent to deceive or mislead the Board. It was the consensus of the Board to direct Board staff to revise the question and bring it to the Board for review at a future meeting.

14. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION CONCERNING THE FOLLOWING LICENSE RENEWAL APPLICATIONS CONSIDERED AT PREVIOUS BOARD MEETINGS

a) Considered at the May 8, 2015, Board Meeting

- i. Robin Dilley, Ph.D.
- ii. Marcus Earle, Ph.D.
- iii. Ralph Earle, Ph.D.
- iv. Shanna Ratzburg, psy.D.
- v. Andrea Gould, Ph.D.
- vi. John Stapert, Ph.D.

Dr. Mellott made a motion, seconded by Dr. Brundage, to rescind all action that was taken at the May 8, 2015, Board meeting regarding the above noted licensees. The motion carried 9-0.

b) Considered at the June 5, 2015, Board Meeting

- i. Valerie Hannemann, Ph.D.
- ii. Grace Jasin, Ph.D.
- iii. Rebecca Burden, M.S.
- iv. David M. Anderson, Psy.D.
- v. Ronald Miller, Psy.D.
- vi. David Leighton, Ph.D.
- vii. John Toma, Ph.D.
- viii. Susan Swann, Ph.D.

After deliberation, Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Brundage, to take no action regarding the above noted licensees. The motion carried 9-0.

15. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION PERTAINING TO PROCESSING CLAIMS FILED UNDER A.R.S. §32-2081(C)

Dr. Wechsler provided a summary to the Board stating that a claim can be filed against a psychologist that has provided court ordered services. Ms. Shreeve, Ms. Galvin, and Dr. Olvey drafted policies/guidelines on the Claim process for the Board to review.

Ms. Shreeve reviewed the draft policies/guidelines to include:

- Notifying Psychologists

Ms. Shreeve stated that the Board is asked to determine when a psychologist is notified that a Claim has been submitted against them. After deliberation, Dr. Bohanske made a motion, seconded by Dr. Beljan, to notify a psychologist that a Claim has been made after the Claim has been reviewed. The motion carried 7-1-1 with Mr. Donaldson voting no and Dr. Brundage abstaining.

- Deadlines

Ms. Shreeve stated that the Board is asked to determine the time-frame in which a Claim should be reviewed. After deliberation, the Board determined that a Reviewer has 30 days to review a Claim and two weeks to determine whether additional information is needed. If additional information is needed the Reviewer will contact Board staff within the first two weeks and request the information. The additional information received will be submitted to all three Reviewers. Mr. Robichaud made a motion, seconded by Ms. Shreeve, to adopt the draft policy. The motion carried 9-0.

- When can a Claim be filed

Ms. Shreeve stated that the Board is asked to determine whether a Claim can be filed at any time regardless of when the services were provided. Board members deliberated whether A.R.S. §32-2081(C) is retrospective or prospective.

At 2:42 p.m. Dr. Beljan made a motion to go into Executive Session to receive confidential legal advice from the Board's attorney, Mr. Donaldson seconded the motion. Open session reconvened at 2:58 p.m.

Dr. Bohanske made a motion, seconded by Mr. Donaldson, to apply A.R.S. §32-2081(C) prospectively and to add a question to the Claim form as to whether the complaint has been filed with the Court. The motion carried 5-4 on a roll call vote with Dr. Beljan, Dr. Flowers, Ms. Shreeve and Dr. Wechsler voting no.

7. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION RELATING TO INITIAL CASE REVIEWS OF THE FOLLOWING INVESTIGATIONS (Continued)

c) RFI T-14-26, John Dore

Mr. Robichaud provided a summary to the Board stating that this complaint was anonymously submitted to the Board. The complaint alleges that Mr. Dore is representing himself as a psychologist and practicing psychology without a license. The Complaint Screening Committee forwarded this case to the full Board for further review and possible violation of A.R.S. §32-2084.

Mr. Dore was not present. Board members deliberated and expressed concern with Mr. Dore's website as it may be misleading to the public. Board members recognized that Mr. Dore has made some changes to his website but determined that certain words and phrases are misleading, such as "treating wide range of emotional issues". Board members indicated that Mr. Dore is not licensed or certified in any profession. After deliberation, Dr. Brundage made a motion, seconded by Mr. Donaldson, to direct Board staff to contact Mr. Dore and express the Board's concerns, request that he make changes to the website, and request that he place a disclaimer on his website that he is not licensed or certified in any profession. The motion carried 7-2 on a roll call vote with Dr. Beljan and Mr. Robichaud voting no.

16. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING 2015 BOARD MEETING CALENDAR INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, MEETINGS SCHEDULED FOR OCTOBER

Dr. Olvey provided a summary to the Board stating that Board members requested that this item be placed on a future agenda. Dr. Olvey elaborated stating that there are several meetings scheduled in October including an in-person Board meeting, the Annual Meeting of the Association of State and Provincial Psychology Boards as well as the Arizona Psychological Association annual convention. After deliberation, it was the consensus of the Board to reschedule the October 2, 2015, Board meeting and to hold the Board meeting on October 7, 2015.

17. NEW AGENDA ITEMS FOR FUTURE MEETINGS

There were no new items for future Board meetings.

18. ADJOURN

There being no further business to come before the Board, Dr. Brundage made a motion, seconded by Dr. Beljan, to adjourn the meeting at 3:57 p.m. The motion carried 9-0.

Respectfully submitted,

Tamara Shreeve, MPA
Board Secretary