



Governor  
Douglas A. Ducey

## Arizona Board of Psychologist Examiners

1740 W. Adams St., Suite 3403  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007  
Phone (602) 542-8163 Fax (602) 542-8279  
<https://psychboard.az.gov>

### Board Members

Janice K. Brundage, Ph.D., Chair  
Tamara Shreeve, MPA., Vice-Chair  
Lynn L. Flowers, Ph.D. Secretary  
Bob Bohanske, Ph.D., FNAP  
Bryan Davey, Ph.D., BCBA-D  
Diana Davis-Wilson, DBH, BCBA  
Joseph Donaldson  
Ramona N. Mellott, Ph.D.  
Frederick S. Wechsler, Ph.D., Psy.D.

### Executive Director

Jenna Jones

## REGULAR SESSION MINUTES

August 4, 2017  
8:30 a.m.  
Capitol Center  
15 S. 15<sup>th</sup> Ave.  
Basement Conference Room  
Phoenix, AZ 85007

### 1. CALL TO ORDER

The regular session of the Arizona State Board of Psychologist Examiners was called to order by Chairman Bohanske at 8:31 a.m. on August 4, 2017.

### 2. ROLL CALL

#### Board Members Present

Bob Bohanske, Ph.D., – Chair  
Lynn Flowers, Ph.D. – Vice Chair  
Janice K. Brundage, Ph.D. - Secretary  
Tamara Shreeve, MPA  
Frederick S. Wechsler, Ph.D., Psy.D., ABPP (CL)

#### Board Staff

Dr. Cindy Olvey, Executive Director  
Lynanne Chapman, Deputy Director  
Heather Broaddus, Licensing Coordinator  
Krishna Poe, Administrative Assistant

#### Board Members Absent

Joseph C. Donaldson  
Ramona N. Mellott, Ph.D.

#### Attorney General's Office

Jeanne Galvin, Esq.

### 3. REMARKS/ANNOUNCEMENTS

**CE Documentation** – Dr. Bohanske announced that licensees could receive CE credits in Ethics for attendance at Board meetings and explained how to obtain credit.

**Board Assessment Forms** – Dr. Bohanske encouraged members of the audience to complete a Board meeting Assessment Survey and place them in the survey box.

**Board Member and Staff Appreciation** –Dr. Bohanske thanked Board members and staff for their dedication and hard work.

### 4. CALL TO THE PUBLIC

There were no requests to speak.

## **5. COUNSEL REPORT**

Dr. Steven Gray has filed a Special Action with the Court alleging that the Board does not have jurisdiction over anonymous complaints. The Board stayed action on the complaint pending a decision by the Court. Oral argument commenced on June 16, 2017. A motion to dismiss the case was filed and the Court granted the motion on August 3, 2017. Dr. Gray may file an appeal.

The Board summarily suspended the license of Christine Dargon, Ph.D. and the matter went to Formal Hearing on June 26-28, 2017. The Administrative Law Judge has not submitted a recommendation to the Board yet. Ms. Galvin will keep the Board apprised of this matter.

A claim was filed with the Board pertaining to John DenBoer, Ph.D. The claim moved forward to a complaint. Dr. DenBoer's attorney, Larry Cohen, filed a Complaint for Declaratory Judgment; Injunctive Relief with the Maricopa County Superior Court to obtain a ruling on the question of the Board's jurisdiction. Board action on RFI 16-22 is stayed pending complete and final court action in the court case. Ms. Galvin stated that the Board will likely have a response by the Fall of 2017.

## **6. CONSENT AGENDA - DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION**

Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Flowers to approve the items on the Consent Agenda. Dr. Brundage abstained from the March 17, 2017, Executive Session Minutes. The motion carried 5-0.

### **(a) APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

- March 17, 2017, Executive Session (Dr. Brundage abstained)
- May 5, 2017, Regular Session

### **(b) DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING PSYCHOLOGY APPLICATIONS**

#### **i. REQUESTING APPROVAL TO SIT FOR THE EPPP ONLY**

- Ashley Bradley, Psy.D.
- Christopher Adamczyk, Psy.D.
- Emma Ross, Ph.D.
- Tim Buschmann, Ph.D.

#### **ii. REQUESTING APPROVAL TO SIT FOR EPPP AND LICENSURE**

- Ashley Pelton, Ph.D.
- Bekayla Dewar, Psy.D.
- Benjamin Strader, Psy.D.
- Eboni Morris, Psy.D.
- Erica Sarr, Psy.D.
- Erin Truong, Ph.D.
- Gertha Sicobo, Psy.D.
- Gina Frohlich, Psy.D.
- Katherine Sanchez, Ph.D.
- Kelly Rodriguez, Psy.D.
- Marilyn Wiley, Ph.D.
- Michelle Mugge, Psy.D.
- Nicoleta Baila, Psy.D.
- Rosangela Salomon, Psy.D.
- Shaun Huang, Ph.D.

- Susanne Mumby, Psy.D.

**iii. REQUESTING APPROVAL FOR LICENSURE BY WAIVER**

- Ari Zakaryan, Ph.D.
- Bethany Juby, Psy.D.
- Christine Matschke, Psy.D.
- Jodi Cuneo, Ph.D.
- Laura Milliken, Ph.D.
- Natalie Campos, Psy.D.
- Sally Vogel, Ph.D.
- Scott Padilla, Psy.D.

**iv. REQUESTING APPROVAL FOR LICENSURE BY CREDENTIAL**

- Earnest Blackshear, Ph.D.
- Marla Domino, Ph.D.

**v. REQUESTING APPROVAL OF SUPERVISED PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE HOURS AND LICENSURE**

- Aubrey Rodriguez, Ph.D.
- Lauren Hayes, Ph.D.
- Natalie Gildar, Ph.D.
- Shanna Sadeh, Ph.D.
- William Wood, Ph.D.

**(c) DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD PERTAINING TO APPROVAL OF TEMPORARY LICENSE APPLICANTS AND APPROVAL OF POSTDOCTORAL WRITTEN TRAINING PLAN AND APPROVAL TO SIT FOR THE EPPP**

- Evelyn Burrell, Psy.D.

**(d) DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING BEHAVIOR ANALYST APPLICATIONS**

- Adrienne Schultz, M.A.
- Ashley Bennett, Ph.D.
- Ashley Horton, M.S.
- Ashley Sanchez, M.Ed.
- Charnell Silverman, M.A.
- Elyse LaFaralle, M.A.
- Korina Callahan, M.Ed.
- Melissa Kotarski, M.Ed.
- Michael Liby, M.A.
- Paige Breeden, M.Ed.
- Reyna Rivera, M.S.
- Shaji Haq, Ph.D.
- Sienna Van Gelder, M.Ed.
- Stephanie Guymon, M.Ed.

**(e) DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING REQUEST FOR A THIRD EXTENSION OF TIME TO SIT FOR THE EPPP FROM KELLE WATSON-ENGLISH, PSY.D.**

**(f) DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING REQUEST TO TAKE THE EPPP SUBMITTED BY STACY LAMORGESE, PSY.D.**

- (g) DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING REQUEST FOR REACTIVATION OF INACTIVE LICENSE FROM STARR MACKINNON, PH.D.**
- (h) DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING REQUEST TO TAKE THE EPPP SUBMITTED BY MARTHA LIIMATAINEN, PSY.D.**
- (i) DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO SIT FOR THE EPPP FROM ALICE PARKER, PSY.D.**
- (j) DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING REQUEST FOR REINSTATEMENT OF EXPIRED LICENSE FROM KERRY DAVIS, PH.D.**
- (k) EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT**
- (l) INVESTIGATIONS REPORT**
- (m) LICENSING REPORT**

**7. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING APPLICATION FOR LICENSURE AS A PSYCHOLOGIST SUBMITTED BY TYLER WHITNEY, PSY.D., AND POSSIBLE DENIAL OF THE SAME**

Dr. Bohanske provided a summary to the Board stating that Dr. Whitney's application was reviewed by the Board's Application Review Committee (Committee). He stated that Dr. Whitney applied for licensure by waiver due to licensure as a psychologist in Idaho, Georgia and Utah. Dr. Whitney surrendered his license in Idaho, received a Letter of Reprimand in Utah and is currently under investigation in Georgia. Additionally, the Committee noted that Dr. Whitney's EPPP score does not meet Arizona requirements. The Committee voted to forward Dr. Whitney's application to the Board for further review.

Dr. Whitney was present telephonically, requested to speak, made a statement and answered Board members' questions. Dr. Whitney stated that he underwent a Blue Cross/Blue Shield audit in Idaho. He stated that an incorrect billing code was inadvertently submitted which resulted in the audit. Dr. Whitney attested that he was no longer practicing in Idaho when the audit occurred and his attorney advised that he surrender his license. Dr. Whitney stated that he was unaware that surrender of a license is considered discipline. Dr. Whitney affirmed that he was reprimanded in Utah and that the investigation in Georgia is still pending. Board members' asked Dr. Whitney about the billing discrepancy. Dr. Whitney responded that billing is not his area of strength. He attested that he no longer accepts insurance.

After discussion and deliberation, the Board determined that Dr. Whitney is not eligible for licensure pursuant to A.R.S. §32-2071.01(A)(6)). Additionally, the Board noted that Dr. Whitney's EPPP score does not meet Arizona requirements. Dr. Brundage made a motion, seconded by Dr. Wechsler, to allow Dr. Whitney to withdraw his application within seven days. If Dr. Whitney does not withdraw his application it will be denied. The Board instructed Dr. Whitney that he may apply anew once the Georgia investigation has been resolved. The motion carried 5-0 on a roll call vote.

**8. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING APPLICATION FOR LICENSURE AS A PSYCHOLOGIST SUBMITTED BY AMY PROSSER, PH.D.**

Dr. Bohanske provided a summary stating that at the Application Review Committee Dr. Mellott recused from reviewing Dr. Prosser's application. Due to lack of a quorum, her application was forwarded to the Board for review. The Board proceeded with a substantive review of her application and subsequent submission. Dr. Prosser was present and answered Board members' questions. After deliberation, the Board determined that the materials

submitted meet the requirements of statute and rule. Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Ms. Shreeve, to approve Dr. Prosser to take the EPPP and for licensure upon a passing score and payment of the prorated license fee.

**9. INFORMAL INTERVIEW – MONIKA KOS, PH.D. RFI 15-37  
DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION RELATING TO PENDING APPLICATION  
AND ALLEGATIONS OF UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT, AND DECISION ON THE PENDING  
APPLICATION INCLUDING POSSIBLE DENIAL OF THE SAME**

Dr. Kos was present telephonically and her legal counsel, David Hernandez, appeared in-person. Board members and staff introduced themselves. Dr. Bohanske explained Informal Interview procedures and provided a summary of the allegations. Dr. Bohanske swore in Dr. Kos. Dr. Kos and her legal counsel, Mr. Hernandez, made a brief opening statement. Dr. Kos alleged that her civil rights have been violated. She stated that she has original documentation signed by her former supervisor, Dr. Gary Plank, attesting to her postdoctoral experience supervision hours. Dr. Kos indicated that Dr. Plank is not being honest with the Board.

Dr. Flowers provided a summary to the Board. Dr. Flowers stated that this Complaint arose during the review of Dr. Kos' initial application for licensure as a psychologist. He elaborated on Dr. Kos' education and training and the timeline of her training. Dr. Flowers stated that Dr. Plank indicated on the postdoctoral verification form that Dr. Kos' experience did not meet the postdoctoral requirements. The Board determined that Dr. Plank did not violate any statute or rule pertaining to Dr. Kos' supervision. Subsequently, the Board opened a Complaint against Dr. Kos for possible violation of A.R.S. §32-2061(15)(j) and (m). The Board's Complaint Screening Committee reviewed the Complaint and forwarded it to the Board for possible violation of A.R.S. §32-2061((15)(c), (j), and (m). The Board reviewed the Complaint and voted to forward the Complaint to an Informal Interview.

Board members asked Dr. Kos who prepared and signed the postdoctoral training agreement. Dr. Kos stated that she prepared the document. Board members asked Dr. Kos about her internship supervision for school psychology. Dr. Kos indicated that Dr. Plank supervised her during her school psychology internship and during her postdoctoral experience. Dr. Kos attested that there were two separate training plans for each experience. Board members noted that the only one provided was for Dr. Kos' school psychology internship.

Dr. Plank was present telephonically and answered Board members' questions. Board members asked Dr. Plank to elaborate on his supervision of Dr. Kos. Dr. Plank attested that he supervised Dr. Kos for her school psychology internship, not for postdoctoral experience. Dr. Plank indicated that he did not keep supervision logs for her school psychology internship. Board members asked Dr. Plank about certain documentation that purported to have his signature on it and Dr. Plank replied.

Board members deliberated and expressed concern about the lack of documentation and with the authenticity of some of the documentation. Additionally, Board members stated that Dr. Kos' postdoctoral experience does not meet statutory requirements.

*At 10:56 a.m. Ms. Shreeve made a motion, seconded by Dr. Wechsler, to into Executive Session to obtain confidential legal advice from the Board's attorney. Open session reconvened at 11:10 a.m.*

Board members voiced concern that Dr. Kos has indicated in the past that she cannot produce original documentation of her postdoctoral supervision hours but is now stating that she has original documentation of her postdoctoral supervision hours. After deliberation, Dr. Bohanske made a motion, seconded by Dr. Flowers, to deny Dr. Kos' Application for Licensure as Psychologist for violation of A.R.S. §32-2061(15)(c), for making or using statements of a character tending to deceive or mislead; A.R.S. §32-2061(15)(j), for making a fraudulent or untrue statement to the board or its investigators, staff or consultants; and A.R.S. §32-2061(15)(m), for using fraud, misrepresentation or deception to obtain or attempt to obtain a psychology license or to pass or attempt to pass a psychology licensing examination or in assisting another person to do so. The motion carried 5-0 on a roll call vote.

## **10. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING RENEWAL APPLICATIONS AND/OR REINSTATEMENT REQUESTS FOR REVIEW BY THE BOARD**

### **a. Jesus Greer, Ph.D.**

Ms. Chapman provided a summary stating that Dr. Greer reported a driving under the influence charge on his 2017 renewal application. Dr. Greer did not report his charge within the mandatory reporting time-frame. Additionally, Dr. Greer answered “yes” to question #5 of the renewal application indicating that he is unable to practice safely at this time. Dr. Greer’s license is currently on inactive status and Dr. Greer is requesting that his license be renewed on inactive status.

Dr. Greer was present, requested to speak, made a statement and answered Board members’ questions. Board members asked Dr. Greer if he completed the Court-ordered requirements. Dr. Greer responded stating that he is still completing the community service requirements but all other requirements have been met. Dr. Greer stated that he is currently staying active in the community and seeking professional help. Board members asked Dr. Greer if he is aware of the mandatory reporting time-frame. Dr. Greer said he was not aware.

After deliberation, Dr. Brundage made a motion, seconded by Ms. Shreeve, to approve renewal of his inactive license and to open a Complaint and simultaneously offer a Letter of Concern. If Dr. Greer signs the Letter of Concern the Complaint will be closed. The motion carried 5-0 on a roll call vote.

### **b. Jeanine Copperstone, Ph.D.**

Ms. Chapman provided a summary stating that Dr. Copperstone initially requested retirement of her active license. Subsequently, the Board office received Dr. Copperstone’s 2017 renewal requesting reinstatement of her active license. Dr. Copperstone reported a driving under the influence charge and provided all supporting documentation. Dr. Copperstone did not report the charge within the mandatory reporting time-frame.

Dr. Copperstone was not present. After deliberation, Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Brundage, to approve reinstatement of her active license and to open a Complaint and simultaneously offer a Letter of Concern. If Dr. Copperstone signs the Letter of Concern the Complaint will be closed. The motion carried 5-0 on a roll call vote.

## **11. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING APPLICATION FOR LICENSURE AS A PSYCHOLOGIST SUBMITTED BY ROSALBA MADA, PH.D. AND POSSIBLE DENIAL OF THE SAME**

Dr. Bohanske provided a summary to the Board stating that the Board’s Application Review Committee forwarded Dr. Mada’s application to the Board for further review of her internship. Dr. Mada’s internship does not appear to meet the requirements of A.R.S. §32-2071(F). Board members deliberated and expressed concern that there was only one licensed psychologist providing supervision for the first half of her internship which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(F)(2). After deliberation, Dr. Bohanske made a motion, seconded by Ms. Shreeve, to allow Dr. Mada to withdraw her application within seven days. If Dr. Mada does not withdraw her application it will be denied. The motion carried 5-0 on a roll call vote.

## **12. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING REQUEST FOR RELEASE FROM PROBATION AND PRACTICE MONITOR FROM JULIA LESSELYONG, PH.D.**

Ms. Chapman provided a summary stating that Dr. Lesselyong entered into a Consent Agreement with the Board effective June 2016. The Consent Agreement stipulated 12 months of probation and practice monitoring. Dr. Lesselyong has completed the terms of the Consent Agreement and is now requesting release from the terms. After deliberation, Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Brundage, to release Dr. Lesselyong from the terms of the Consent Agreement. The motion carried 5-0.

### **13. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING APPLICATION FOR LICENSURE AS A PSYCHOLOGIST SUBMITTED BY ALANI RABAINO, PH.D.**

Dr. Flowers provided a summary stating that at the Application Review Committee meeting Dr. Mellott recused from reviewing Dr. Rabaino's application therefore it was forwarded to the Board due to lack of a quorum. The Board proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Rabaino's application. Upon review of her Core Program Requirements the Board noted that Ethics, Legal Standards and Responsibility does not meet the requirement of a psychology course in ethics pursuant to A.R.S. §32-2071(4)(a). At this time, the Board is requesting that she submit evidence that she completed a course that meets the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(4)(a). Additionally, she has the option to provide evidence that her comprehensive exam included ethics to meet the requirement (R4-26-202). Upon review of her Supervised Preinternship Experience Verification forms from Springall Academy and the San Diego Center for Children, the Board noted that the experiences were part of her Master's program which does not meet the requirement of Arizona Administrative Code R4-26-210(A)(1). Upon review of her Supervised Psychology Internship or Training Experience Verification form, the Board noted that her supervisor, Kim Kalas, Ed.D., indicated that Dr. Rabaino worked 40 hours per week for 54 weeks. Dr. Kalas indicated that Dr. Rabaino's total hours of experience was 40 hours which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §-3271(F). Additionally, upon review of the written training plan for Dr. Rabaino's internship at Dr. Kim Kalas' Private Practice and Northern Arizona University, the Board noted that the written training plan does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(F)(9) and Arizona Administrative Code R4-26-210(B). At this time the Board is requesting a written training plan be submitted that is an agreement between Dr. Rabaino and her internship site, brochures and an internship training manual that show this site was an organized training program designed to provide the trainee with a planned programmed sequence of training experience. Upon review of the Psychology Resident Training Agreement for her postdoctoral experience at Dr. Kim Kalas' Private Practice the Board noted that the Psychology Resident Training Agreement was not signed by her or her supervisor. Additionally, the Psychology Resident Training Agreement does not include the effective date of the supervisor's professional liability insurance. The Board is requesting that Dr. Rabaino and her supervisor provide the effective date of the supervisor's professional liability insurance and sign the Psychology Resident Training Agreement and submit it to the Board office.

### **14. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION RELATING TO CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED FROM DR. DIANA DAVIS-WILSON PERTAINING TO REVISION OF BEHAVIOR ANALYST RULES; POSSIBLE RESCISSION OF PREVIOUS MOTION TO APPROVE DRAFT RULES AS PROPOSED RULES AND POSSIBLE APPROVAL OF PROPOSED RULES WITH REVISION PROPOSED BY DR. DAVIS-WILSON**

Dr. Olvey provided a summary stating that s at the June 2, 2017, Board meeting the Board reviewed public comment on the draft rules for behavior analysts and the Board made revisions based on those comments. The Board voted to move the draft rules as proposed rules. Subsequently, Dr. Davis-Wilson requested that original language remain in the rule that pertains to individuals who can verify supervision hours. The proposed rules have not yet been submitted. After deliberation, Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Bohanske, to review the draft rules, to review the Behavior Analyst Certification Board's requirements and to rescind the Board's previous motion to move the draft rules as proposed rules. The motion carried 5-0.

### **15. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING NOTIFICATION FROM THE ASSOCIATION FOR STATE AND PROVINCIAL PSYCHOLOGY BOARDS (ASPPB) FOR AWARD AND NOMINATION TO THE ASPPB BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND ATTENDANCE AT THE ASPPB ANNUAL MEETING**

Dr. Olvey announced that Dr. Bohanske has been awarded the ASPPB State and Provincial Service Award for his service to the Profession. Dr. Olvey elaborated on Dr. Bohanske's work regarding training programs, his work on telepractice rules, his work on PsyPact, his work on developing temporary licensure, his work on numerous ASPPB task forces and his work on the EPPP step 2. Dr. Olvey stated that her nomination for the Member at Large position is pending. Dr. Olvey requested that the Board allow expenditure of funds to send her and Dr. Bohanske to the ASPPB

annual meeting in October. Ms. Shreeve made a motion, seconded by Dr. Wechsler, to send Dr. Bohanske and Dr. Olvey to the ASPPB annual meeting in October. The motion carried 5-0.

#### **16. NEW AGENDA ITEMS FOR FUTURE MEETINGS**

There were no new items at this time.

#### **17. ADJOURN**

There being no further business to come before the Board, Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Ms. Shreeve, to adjourn the meeting at 2:04 p.m. The motion carried 5-0.

Respectfully submitted,

Lynn L. Flowers, Ph.D.  
Secretary