

Board Members

Frederick S. Wechsler, Ph.D., Psy.D., ABPP
Chair
Tamara Shreeve, MPA
Vice-Chair
Paul Beljan, Psy.D., ABPdN, ABN
Secretary
Bob Bohanske, Ph.D.
Janice K. Brundage, Ph.D.
Joseph C. Donaldson
Lynn L. Flowers, Ph.D.
Ramona Mellott, Ph.D.
Rob Robichaud, M.A. CJ, SHRM-CP, PHR



**State of Arizona
Board of Psychologist Examiners**

1400 West Washington, Suite 240
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Phone: (602) 542-8162 Fax: (602) 542-8279

<https://psychboard.az.gov>

Application Review Committee

Staff

Dr. Cindy Olvey
Executive Director

Lynanne Chapman
Deputy Director

Heather Broaddus
Licensing Coordinator

Krishna Poe
Administrative Assistant

MINUTES OF TELEPHONIC MEETING

August 9, 2016

7:30 a.m.

1400 West Washington

Suite #240

Phoenix, AZ 85007

1. CALL TO ORDER

The regular session of the Arizona State Board of Psychologist Examiners Application Review Committee was called to order by Chairman Wechsler at 7:30 a.m. on August 9, 2016. No Executive Sessions were held.

2. ROLL CALL

Committee Members Participating by Telephone

Frederick S. Wechsler, Ph.D., Psy.D., ABPP – Chair

Paul Beljan, Psy.D., ABPdN, ABN

Staff Present

Cindy Olvey, Psy.D. – Executive Director

Heather Broaddus – Licensing Coordinator

Attorney General's Office

Jeanne Galvin, Esq.

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

- May 31, 2016, Regular Session

Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Beljan to approve the May 31, 2016, Regular Session minutes as drafted. The motion carried 2-0.

4. DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING APPROVAL OF PSYCHOLOGY APPLICANTS

Requesting Approval to sit for Examination (EPPP) Only

Anglea Barteau, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Barteau’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that Dr. Barteau submitted additional information (corrected pages 10 and 11 and course descriptions) on August 5, 2016. The Committee determined that it did not have sufficient time to review the materials. The Committee will review the additional information at a future meeting. Upon review of question #35 B. of the application, “Professional Experience in Psychology”, the Committee noted that she listed her title as “Doctoral Intern” and that she worked 40 hours per week at “Paul Simpson, Ed.D./Center for Life Skills” from September 2014 – February 2015. On her Summary of Pre-Internship Supervised Professional Experiences the Committee noted that she worked 40 hours per week at “Paul Simpson, Ed.D./Center for Life Skills” from September 2014 – February 2015. The Committee noted that she is claiming her experience at “Paul Simpson, Ed.D./Center for Life Skills” as her preinternship experience from September 2014 – February 2015, and also listed that she was a “Doctoral Intern” at “Paul Simpson, Ed.D./Center for Life Skills” from September 2014 – February 2015. The Committee is requesting clarification and an explanation from Dr. Barteau and Dr. Simpson regarding the discrepancy. Upon review of her Supervised Preinternship Verification form, the Committee noted that her Field Placement Coordinator, Rodney K. Ford, indicated that Dr. Barteau worked 37.5 hours per week. The Committee determined that it is uncommon for a practicum student to work full time. At this time, the Committee is requesting confirmation that Dr. Barteau worked full time as a practicum student and is requesting an explanation from Dr. Barteau and Dr. Ford as to Dr. Barteau’s job duties as a full time practicum student. Additionally, the Committee is requesting clarification as to how much of her individual supervision was provided by a licensed psychologist. Upon review of her Supervised Psychology Internship or Training Experience Verification form from the Psychology Practice of Paul Simpson, Ed.D., and the written training plan the Committee noted the following:

- The written training plan is between Walden University and her supervisor Paul Simpson, Ed.D.
- There is no indication regarding whether her internship was a paid position
- There is no indication regarding whether her internship was an organized training program; the site does not have an internship training manual, there is no description of the training program itself or from the site nor of the psychologists on staff as part of the training program and no indication that she had the opportunity to interact with other interns.
- There is no indication that there was an agreement between her and the internship site
- There is no indication as to whether or how her secondary supervisor provided supervision

At this time the Committee is requesting a written training plan be submitted that is an agreement between Dr. Barteau and her internship site, brochures and internship training manual that show this site was an organized training program designed to provide the trainee with a planned programmed sequence of training experience, whether her internship was a paid position and how her secondary supervisor provided supervision. Additionally, the Committee is requesting clarification as to how her internship experience meets the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(F) and Arizona Administrative Code R4-26-210(B).

Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Beljan, to issue an additional information request letter to Angela Barteau, Ph.D., and to review the additional material submitted at a future meeting. The motion carried 2-0.

Requesting Approval to sit for Examination (EPPP) & Licensure

Ernesto Reza, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Reza’s application and subsequent submission. Upon review of his Postdoctoral Professional Psychology Experience Verification form from the New Mexico VA Health Care Services, the Committee noted that his supervisor, Dr. Aaron Joyce, indicated that Dr. Reza worked 40 hours per week and obtained a total of 1,720 hours. His subsequent calculations indicate that Dr. Reza received 84 hours of individual face-to-face supervision and that Dr. Reza obtained 672 hours of direct client contact which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(G)(5). At this time Dr. Reza is 2 hours deficient in meeting the individual face-to-face supervision requirement and 16 hours deficient in meeting the direct client contact requirement. The Committee is requesting clarification as to the number of individual face-to-face supervision and direct client contact hours he received.

Gertha Sicobo, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Sicobo’s application and subsequent submission. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Sicobo’s application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the prorated license fee.

Jennifer Planck, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Planck’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Planck’s application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the prorated license fee.

Jueta McCutchan, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. McCutchan’s application and subsequent submission. Upon review of her documentation she submitted of her supervision hours, the Committee noted that the documentation does not reflect the additional supervision hours she claims in her response to the additional information request. Additionally, upon review of the documentation of her supervision hours it does not appear that she received one hour of individual supervision per twenty hours worked per week which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(E)(4)(c). At this time the Committee is requesting an explanation from Dr. McCutchan and an attestation from her supervisor as to whether she received the appropriate amount of individual supervision each week. Additionally, the Committee is requesting documentation be submitted of the additional supervision hours she claims she received.

Katherina Brazaitis, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Brazaitis’ application and subsequent submission. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Brazaitis’ application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the prorated license fee.

Michelle Mugge, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Mugge’s application. Upon review of question #25 of the application the Committee noted that she did not list the degrees she received. At this time the Committee is requesting that she list the degrees she received on question #25. Upon review of her core program requirements, the Committee noted that her course titled *Professional Issues in Ethics* was in counseling which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(4)(a). Additionally, the Committee noted that she did not list the number of credit hours she received for her course in *Professional Issues in*

Ethics. Furthermore, the Committee noted that she listed *Neuropsychological Assessment* for both “Biological Basis of Behavior” and “Assessment”. At this time, the Committee is requesting that she submit evidence of an ethics course she took in psychology and list the number of credit hours she received for the ethics course. The Committee noted that she is unable to apply her course in *Neuropsychological Assessment* to more than one category to meet her core program requirements. Upon review of her Supervised Preinternship Experience Verification form from West Valley Family Development Center/AZ Psychology Training Consortium, the Committee noted that her Senior Licensure Consultant, Mark Anderson, J.D., indicated that she worked 20-32 hours per week for a total of 1,329.59 preinternship hours. The Committee noted that because her weekly hours worked were inconsistent it is unable to determine if she received the appropriate amount of individual supervision each week. At this time, the Committee is requesting that she submit documentation (supervision logs) as proof of the hours and supervision she obtained each week. Upon review of her Supervised Psychology Internship or Training Experience Verification form from West Valley Family Development Center, the Board noted that her Supervisor, Valerie Kemper, Psy.D., indicated that Dr. Mugge worked 40 hours per week for 13 months for a total of 2,043 internship hours. Her subsequent calculations indicate that Dr. Mugge received a total of 2 hours of individual, face-to-face supervision which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(F)(6). The Committee is requesting clarification as to the number of individual face-to-face supervision Dr. Mugge received.

Sami Lyn Worssam, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Worssam’s application. Upon review of her Supervised Psychology Internship or Training Experience Verification form from the Flagstaff Unified School District and the written training plan, the Committee expressed concern that the written training plan is written between the site and Dr. Worssam and the University and there is no documentation that the site itself has an organized internship training program. At this time the Committee is requesting a written training plan be submitted that is an agreement between Dr. Worssam and her internship site and brochures and internship training manual that show this site was an organized training program designed to provide the trainee with a planned programmed sequence of training experience. Additionally, the Committee is requesting clarification as to how her internship experience meets the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(F) and Arizona Administrative Code R4-26-210(B).

Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Beljan, to forward the applications of Gertha Sicobo, Psy.D., Jennifer Planck, Ph.D., and Katherine Brazaitis, Ph.D., to the full Board for review and approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the prorated license fee and to issue additional information request letters to Ernesto Reza, Psy.D., Jueta McCutchan, Psy.D., Michelle Mugge, Psy.D., and Sami Lyn Worssam, Ph.D., regarding the deficiencies discussed in their applications. The motion carried 2-0.

Requesting Approval of Licensure by Waiver

Amanda Courvoisier, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Courvoisier’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Courvoisier’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Christopher Awosika, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Awosika’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Awosika’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Heather Pedersen, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Pedersen’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Pedersen’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

lore dickey, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. dickey’s application and subsequent submission. Upon review of her Supervised Preinternship Experience Verification form from Bemidji State University, the Committee noted that his primary supervisor, Jan Guggenheimer, is not licensed as a psychologist which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(E)(4)(d). At this time the Committee can apply 2,000 of his internship hours from Duke University, CAPS and 535 of his preinternship hours from Assessment & Therapy Associates of Grand Forks toward licensure. Dr. dickey remains 465 deficient in meeting the 3,000 hour requirement. The Committee is requesting that he provide a detailed letter as to what hours he intends to apply toward licensure and how those hours meets statutory requirements.

Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Beljan, to forward the applications of Amanda Courvoisier, Psy.D., Christopher Awosika, Psy.D., and Heather Pedersen, Ph.D., to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon payment of the pro-rated licensure fee, and to issue an additional information request letter to lore dickey, Ph.D., regarding the deficiencies discussed in his application. The motion carried 2-0.

Requesting Approval of Licensure by Credential

Anthony Iazzo, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Iazzo’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Iazzo’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Beljan, to forward the application of Anthony Iazzo, Ph.D., to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon payment of the prorated license fee. The motion carried 2-0.

Requesting Approval of Supervised Work Experience

Suzanne Botello, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Botello’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Botello’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Beljan, to forward the application of Suzanne Botello, Ph.D., to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon payment of the pro-rated licensure fee. The motion carried 2-0.

5. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD PERTAINING TO APPROVAL OF BEHAVIOR ANALYST APPLICANTS

Requesting Approval of Licensure by Experience

Staci Rybacki, M.Ed. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Rybacki’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Ms. Rybacki’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Beljan, to forward the application of Staci Rybacki, M.Ed., to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee. The motion carried 2-0.

6. NEW AGENDA ITEMS FOR FUTURE MEETINGS

Dr. Wechsler requested that an item regarding late submissions be placed on a future agenda of the Board.

7. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Committee, Dr. Beljan made motion, seconded by Dr. Wechsler, to adjourn the meeting at 8:43 a.m. The motion carried 2-0.

Frederick S. Wechsler, Ph.D., Psy.D., ABPP (cl)
Application Review Committee Chair