



Governor
Douglas A. Ducey

Arizona Board of Psychologist Examiners

1400 W. Washington, Suite 240
Phoenix, Arizona 85007
Phone (602) 542-8163 Fax (602) 542-8279
<https://psychboard.az.gov>

Board Members

Bob Bohanske, Ph.D. FNAP, Chair
Lynn Flowers, Ph.D., Vice-Chair
Janice Brundage, Ph.D. Secretary
Bryan Davey, Ph.D., BCBA-D
Diana Davis-Wilson, DBH, BCBA
Joseph Donaldson
Ramona N. Mellott, Ph.D.
Tamara Shreeve, MPA
Frederick S. Wechsler, Ph.D., Psy.D.

Executive Director
Dr. Cindy Olvey

Application Review Committee

MINUTES OF TELEPHONIC MEETING

September 1, 2017

7:30 a.m.

1400 West Washington
Suite #240
Phoenix, AZ 85007

1. CALL TO ORDER

The regular session of the Arizona State Board of Psychologist Examiners Application Review Committee was called to order by Chairman Bohanske at 7:31 a.m. on September 1, 2017. One Executive Sessions was held.

2. ROLL CALL

Committee Members Participating by Telephone

Bob Bohanske, Ph.D., – Chair
Lynn Flowers, Ph.D.

Staff Present

Cindy Olvey, Psy.D., - Executive Director
Heather Broaddus – Licensing Coordinator

Assistant Attorney General

Jeanne Galvin, Esq.

3. DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING APPROVAL OF PSYCHOLOGY APPLICANTS

Requesting Approval to sit for Examination (EPPP) ONLY

Rachel Youngblom, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Youngblom's reapplication. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Youngblom's reapplication to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP.

Dr. Flowers made a motion, seconded by Dr. Bohanske, to forward the application of Rachel Youngblom, Psy.D., to the Board for review and approval to take the EPPP. The motion carried 2-0.

Requesting Approval to sit for Examination (EPPP) & Licensure

Brooke DeBoy, Psy.D. – Dr. Bohanske recused from reviewing Dr. DeBoy’s application. Due to lack of a quorum Dr. DeBoy’s application was forwarded to the Board for substantive review.

David Messer, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Messer’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Messer’s application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the prorated license fee.

Jacqueline Ford, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Ford’s application and subsequent submission. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Ford’s application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the prorated license fee.

Marylene Goode, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Goode’s reapplication. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Goode’s reapplication to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the prorated license fee.

Molly Schloderback, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Schloderback’s application. Upon review of her Supervised Psychology Internship or Training Experience Verification form from J.O. Combs Unified School District, the Committee noted that her Supervisor, Elliot Gory, Ph.D., indicated that Dr. Schloderback obtained a total of 1,859 internship hours and worked 40 hours per week for 43 weeks. The Committee noted that if Dr. Schloderback worked 40 hours per week for 43 weeks the maximum amount of hours she could have obtained is 1,720 hours. The Committee is requesting an explanation regarding the discrepancy in hours. Upon review of her Postdoctoral Professional Psychology Experience Verification form from the Chandler Unified School District), the Committee noted that her experience was from July 19, 2014 to May 27, 2015. The Committee noted that her degree was conferred on August 21, 2014 which may not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(G). The Committee is requesting an official letter from Argosy University certifying when her degree requirements were met. The letter should be submitted directly to the Board office from Argosy University. Upon review of her Postdoctoral Professional Psychology Experience Verification form from the Chandler Unified School District the Committee noted that her supervisor, Bennette Dawson, Ed.D., indicated that Dr. Schloderback obtained 1,589 hours of postdoctoral experience. Dr. Dawson’s subsequent calculations indicate that Dr. Schloderback obtained 74 hours of Individual face-to-face supervision which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(G)(5). At this time Dr. Schloderback is 6 hours deficient in meeting the individual face-to-face requirement. Additionally, the Committee noted that Dr. Dawson indicated that Dr. Schloderback obtained a total of 1,355 hours of direct client contact. The Committee noted that would only allow for 160 hours of administrative related duties (e.g. report writing). The Committee is requesting an explanation as to her administrative duties. Furthermore, the Committee noted that her Postdoctoral Training Plan did not outline specific goals which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(G)(7). The Committee is requesting that a written training plan be submitted that meets the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(G)(7).

Roberto Villegas-Gold, Ph.D. – Committee members proceed with a substantive review of Dr. Villegas-Gold’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Villegas-Gold’s application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the prorated license fee.

Samara Cerven, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Cerven’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Cerven’s application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the prorated license fee.

Sarah Jutrzonka, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Jutrzonka’s application. Upon review of her Postdoctoral Professional Psychology Experience Verification forms from the Charlie Norwood VA Medical Center, the Committee noted that her experiences were from August 21, 2016 to the present. The Committee noted that her degree was conferred on November 15, 2014 which may not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(G). The Committee is requesting an official letter from Palo Alto University certifying when her degree requirements were met. The letter should be submitted directly to the Board office from Palo Alto University. Upon review of her Postdoctoral Professional Psychology Experience Verification form from the Charlie Norwood VA Medical Center the Committee noted that her supervisor, Angela Coriano, Psy.D., indicated that Dr. Jutrzonka obtained 281 hours of postdoctoral experience. Dr. Coriano’s subsequent calculations indicate that Dr. Jutrzonka obtained 67.5 hours of direct client contact which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(G)(5). At this time Dr. Jutrzonka is 45 hours deficient in meeting the direct client contact requirement. Upon review of her Postdoctoral Professional Psychology Experience Verification form from the Charlie Norwood VA Medical Center the Committee noted that her Fellowship Director, Dustin Wright, Ph.D., indicated that Dr. Jutrzonka obtained 1,264 hours of postdoctoral experience. Dr. Wright’s subsequent calculations indicate that Dr. Jutrzonka worked 32 hours per week for 40 weeks and received 41 hours of individual face-to-face supervision which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(G)(5). At this time Dr. Jutrzonka is 22 hours deficient in meeting the individual face-to-face requirement. Additionally, the Committee noted that Dr. Wright indicated that Dr. Jutrzonka obtained 251.5 hours of direct client contact which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(G)(5). At this time Dr. Jutrzonka is 254 hours deficient in meeting the direct client contact requirement.

Sarah Opuroku, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Opuroku’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that Dr. Opuroku took graduate courses from the University of Phoenix’s Marriage and Family Therapy program. The Committee noted that those courses were transferred into her psychology doctoral program. Additionally, the Committee noted that it does not have Dr. Opuroku’s transcript from the University of Phoenix. It was the consensus of the Committee to request that her University of Phoenix transcript be submitted to the Board office. Additionally, the Committee requested that Board staff contact Argosy University to inquire about their policy on accepting and recoding transfer credits from other universities. Furthermore, it was the consensus of the Committee to forward her application to the Board for further review of her course work that was completed at the University of Phoenix.

Sheri Orrahood, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Orrahood’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the

Committee to move Dr. Orrahood’s application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the prorated license fee.

Sonya Willis, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Willis’ reapplication. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Willis’ application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the prorated license fee.

Dr. Bohanske made a motion, seconded by Dr. Flowers, to forward the applications of David Messer, Ph.D., Jacqueline Ford, Ph.D., Marylene Goode, Psy.D., Roberto Villegas-Gold, Ph.D., Samara Cerven, Psy.D., Sheri Orrahood, Psy.D., and Sonya Willis, Psy.D., to the Board for review and approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the prorated license fee, to issue additional information request letters to Molly Schloderback, Psy.D., and Sarah Jutrzonka, Ph.D., regarding the deficiencies discussed in their applications, to forward the application of Brooke DeBoy, Psy.D., to the full Board due to lack of a quorum and to forward the application of Sarah Opuroku, Psy.D., to the full Board for further review of her application. The motion carried 2-0.

Requesting Approval of Licensure by Waiver

Dev Ashish, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Ashish’s application. Upon review of his Supervised Preinternship Experience Verification forms from the Behavioral Health Clinic, Psychology Department and the University of Arizona Medical Center, the Committee noted that he did not receive any individual supervision which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(E)(4)(c)(d). At this time Dr. Ashish’s preinternship experiences at the Behavioral Health Clinic, Psychology Department and the University of Arizona Medical Center do not meet statutory requirement. Upon review of his Supervised Preinternship Experience Verification forms, his Director of Clinical Training, David Sbarra, Ph.D. indicated the following:

<u>Site</u>	<u>Direct Patient/Client Contact Hours</u>	<u>Supervision Hours</u>	<u>Total Hours</u>
Casa De Vide Treatment Center	23	20	43
Arizona State Prison Complex, Tucson	334	50	384
Neuropsychology Limited	375	116	491
Southern Arizona VA Health Care System	130	88	218

The Committee noted that in each instance, Dr. Ashish’s direct patient/client contact hours and face-to-face supervision hours equals his total experience hours. The Committee noted that this would not allow Dr. Ashish to complete administrative duties, such as report writing. The Committee is requesting an explanation as to how administrative duties were completed during his experience. Upon review of his Postdoctoral Professional Psychology Experience documentation, the Committee noted that his “Plan of Study” was submitted for the written training plan which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(G)(7). The Committee is requesting that a written training plan be submitted that meets the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(G)(7).

Eddie Taylor, Ph.D., – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Taylor’s application and subsequent submission. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Taylor’s application to the full Board for approval of licensure upon payment of the prorated license fee.

Edward Waldrep, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Waldrep’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Waldrep’s application to the full Board for approval of licensure upon payment of the prorated license fee.

Erin Kube, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Kube’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Kube’s application to the full Board for approval of licensure upon payment of the prorated license fee.

Hiroko Tanaka, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Tanaka’s application and subsequent submission.

At 8:40 a.m. Dr. Flowers made a motion, seconded by Dr. Bohanske, to go into Executive Session to obtain confidential legal advice from the Board’s Assistant Attorney General. Open session reconvened at 8:45 a.m.

After review of her supplemental materials and her Supervised Psychology Internship or Training Experience Verification form, the Committee noted that it cannot accept more than 40 hours of work per week pursuant to A.R.S. §32-2071(H). The Committee noted that it can only accept 2,000 of her internship hours. The Committee is requesting that a new Supervised Psychology Internship or Training Experience Verification form be submitted directly to the Board office by your supervisor. Upon review of her supplemental materials and her Postdoctoral Professional Psychology Experience Verification form from the Children’s Healthcare of Atlanta/Emory University, the Committee noted that her supervisor, Kathleen O’Toole, Ph.D., indicated that Dr. Tanaka obtained 5,000 hours of postdoctoral experience. Dr. O’Toole’s subsequent calculations indicate that Dr. Tanaka obtained 1,300 hours of direct client contact hours which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(G)(5). At this time Dr. Tanaka is 700 hours deficient in meeting the direct client contact requirement. Additionally, the Committee noted that Dr. O’Toole indicated that Dr. Tanaka worked 50 hours per week which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(H). At this time the Committee can only accept 40 hours of work per week. The Committee is requesting that a new Postdoctoral Professional Psychology Experience form be completed that reflects only 40 hours of work per week. Furthermore, the Committee noted that it can apply 2,000 internship hours toward licensure therefore Dr. Tanaka only needs an additional 1,000 supervised experience hours. She may wish to apply only 1,000 postdoctoral hours that meets the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(G).

Jeremiah Isbell, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Isbell’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Isbell’s application to the full Board for approval of licensure upon payment of the prorated license fee.

Jon Noordeloos, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Noordeloos’ application. Upon review of his application the Committee noted that he did not list his degrees on question #25 of the application. The Committee is requesting that he list his degrees on question #25 and return it to the Board office. Upon review of his application the Committee noted that he did not answer question #34 of the application. The Committee is requesting that he list his professional experience on question #34 and return it to the Board office. Upon review of the Verification of Experience Form for his internship at Kids First, the Committee noted that his delegated supervisor, Jennifer Evans, license type is LCSM which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(F)(2). The Committee is requesting clarification as to whether his internship provided two psychologists on staff as supervisors. If his internship provided two psychologists on staff as supervisors documentation must be submitted to Board office indicating such. Additionally, Dr. Wright may wish to submit an attestation to the Board confirming that the internship provided two psychologists on staff as supervisors.

Kelly Donohue, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Donohue’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Donohue’s application to the full Board for approval of licensure upon payment of the prorated license fee.

Valerie Ogborn, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Ogborn’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Ogborn’s application to the full Board for approval of licensure upon payment of the prorated license fee.

Dr. Flowers made a motion, seconded by Dr. Bohanske, to forward the applications of Eddie Taylor, Ph.D., Edward Waldrep, Ph.D., Erin Kube, Ph.D., Jeremiah Isbell, Psy.D., Kelly Donohue, Ph.D., and Valerie Ogborn, Ph.D., to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon payment of the prorated licensure fee, and to issue request for additional information letters to Dev Ashish, Ph.D., Hiroko Tanaka, Ph.D., and Jon Noordeloos, Ph.D., regarding the deficiencies discussed in their applications. The motion carried 2-0.

Requesting Approval of Supervised Experience

Sheridyn Miller, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Miller’s application and subsequent submission. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Miller’s application to the full Board for review and approval of her supervised experience and licensure upon a passing score on the EPPP and payment of the prorated license fee.

Dr. Bohanske made a motion, seconded by Dr. Flowers, to forward the application of Sheridyn Miller, Psy.D., to the full Board for approval of her supervised work experience and licensure upon payment of the prorated license fee. The motion carried 2-0.

4. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD PERTAINING TO APPROVAL OF TEMPORARY LICENSE APPLICANTS AND APPROVAL OF POSTDOCTORAL WRITTEN TRAINING PLAN

Molly Minson, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Minson’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Minson’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee and to sit for the EPPP.

Nicole Mirkin, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Mirkin’s application. Upon review of her application the Committee noted that she answered “yes” to question #20. The application states to provide an explanation if answered “yes”. The Committee noted that she did not provide an explanation. The Committee is requesting that she provide an explanation to her “yes” answer. Additionally, it is requested that she provide any and all documentation relevant to her explanation (e.g. police reports, court minutes, disposition and report(s)).

Paul Nockels, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Nockel’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Nockel’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee and to sit for the EPPP.

Dr. Flowers made a motion, seconded by Dr. Bohanske, to forward the applications of Molly Minson, Psy.D., and Paul Nockels, Ph.D. to the full Board to sit for the EPPP and for approval of licensure upon receipt of the license fee and to issue an additional information request letter to Nicole Mirkin, Psy.D., regarding the deficiency discussed in her application. The motion carried 2-0.

5. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD PERTAINING TO APPROVAL OF BEHAVIOR ANALYST APPLICANTS

Requesting Approval of Licensure by Experience

Ashley Miller, M.S.Ed. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Miller’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Ms. Miller’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Cary Chatham-Hahn, M.Ed. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Chatham-Hahn’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Ms. Chatham-Hahn’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Dr. Bohanske made a motion, seconded by Dr. Flowers, to forward the applications of Ashley Miller, M.S.Ed., and Cary Chatham-Hahn, M.Ed., to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee. The motion carried 2-0.

6. NEW AGENDA ITEMS FOR FUTURE MEETINGS

There were no items for future meetings.

6. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Committee, Dr. Flowers made motion, seconded by Dr. Bohanske, to adjourn the meeting at 9:15 a.m. The motion carried 2-0.

Bob Bohanske, Ph.D.
Application Review Committee Chair