



**State of Arizona
Board of Psychologist Examiners**

1400 West Washington, Suite 240
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Phone: (602) 542-8162 Fax: (602) 542-8279
<https://psychboard.az.gov>

Board Members

Frederick S. Wechsler, Ph.D., Psy.D., ABPP
Chair
Tamara Shreeve, MPA
Vice-Chair
Paul Beljan, Psy.D. ABPdN, ABN
Secretary
Bob Bohanske, Ph.D.
Janice K. Brundage, Ph.D.
Joseph C. Donaldson
Lynn L. Flowers, Ph.D.
Ramona Mellott, Ph.D.
Rob Robichaud, M.A. CJ, SHRM-CP, PHR.

Staff

Dr. Cindy Olvey
Executive Director

Lynanne Chapman
Deputy Director

Heather Broaddus
Licensing Coordinator

Krishna Poe
Administrative Assistant

REGULAR SESSION MINUTES

September 9, 2016, 7:45 a.m.
1400 W. Washington, Suite 280
Phoenix, AZ 85007

1. CALL TO ORDER

The regular session of the Arizona State Board of Psychologist Examiners was called to order by Chairman Wechsler at 7:46 a.m. on September 9, 2016.

2. ROLL CALL

Board Members Present

Frederick S. Wechsler, Ph.D., Psy.D., ABPP – Chair
Tamara Shreeve, MPA – Vice-Chair
Paul Beljan, Psy.D., ABPdN, ABN, Secretary
Bob Bohanske, Ph.D.
Joseph C. Donaldson
Lynn L. Flowers, Ph.D.
Ramona N. Mellott, Ph.D.
Rob Robichaud, M.A. CJ, SHRM-CP, PHR

Staff Present

Dr. Cindy Olvey, Executive Director
Lynanne Chapman, Deputy Director
Heather Broaddus, Licensing Coordinator
Krishna Poe, Administrative Assistant

Attorney General's Office

Jeanne Galvin, Esq.

Board Members Absent

Janice K. Brundage, Ph.D.

3. CALL TO THE PUBLIC

There were no requests to speak.

4. CONSENT AGENDA – DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION

Ms. Shreeve made a motion, seconded by Dr. Flowers, to approve the items on the Consent Agenda. The motion carried 8-0.

a. DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING PSYCHOLOGY APPLICATIONS

i. REQUESTING APPROVAL TO SIT FOR EPPP ONLY

- Angela Barteau, Ph.D.
- Panagiotis Panagakis, Psy.d.
- Shanna Sadeh, Ph.D.

ii. REQUESTING APPROVAL TO SIT FOR EPPP AND LICENSURE

- Amy Cole, Ph.D.
- Audrey Sessions, Psy.D.
- Cody Bayles, Ph.d
- Delia Avelar, Psy.D.
- Ernesto Reza, Psy.D.
- Marylene Goode, Psy.D.
- Rachel Grantham, Psy.D.

iii. REQUESTING APPROVAL OF LICENSURE BY WAIVER

- Alexandra Schilling, Psy.D.
- Tami Young, Ph.D.

iv. REQUESTING APPROVAL OF LICENSURE BY CREDENTIAL

- Lisa Isaac, Ph.D.
- Tami Young, Ph.D.

v. REQUESTING APPROVAL OF SUPERVISED PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE HOURS AND LICENSURE

- Courtney Baker, Psy.D.

b. DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING BEHAVIOR ANALYST APPLICATIONS

- Carrie Mereness, M.A.
- Hitomi Mueller, M.Ed.
- Jaimie Michaels, M.Ed.
- Jamie Burgan, M.S.
- Justin James, M.Ed.
- Mckenzie Lowrie, M.A.

c. DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING REQUEST TO WITHDRAW APPLICATION FOR LICENSURE AS A PSYCHOLOGIST FROM STEPHANIE KORFF, PH.D.

d. DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO SIT FOR THE EPPP FROM COMEL BELIN, PH.D.

e. DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING REQUEST FOR ACCOMMODATIONS TO SIT FOR THE EPPP FROM MARYLENE GOODE, PSY.D.

5. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING NOTIFICATION RECEIVED FROM MALPRACTICE INSURANCE CARRIER PERTAINING TO THOMAS SELBY, PH.D.

Ms. Chapman provided a summary stating that on July 25, 2016, the Board office received a report of a medical malpractice claim and associated documentation from Dr. Selby's professional liability insurance provider. Ms. Chapman elaborated stating that Dr. Selby had submitted a Complaint that

was filed with the Arizona Superior Court to the insurance company. Dr. Selby provided an explanation stating that, although he shared office space with the professional named in the Complaint, he (Dr. Selby) was not named in the Complaint; never had any kind of relationship with the Complainant; and never had an employment, contractual, or supervisory relationship with the professional named in the Complaint. Ms. Chapman stated this matter is before the Board for consideration. Dr. Selby and his attorney, Michael Bradford, were present telephonically and Mr. Bradford made a statement. Following deliberation, Dr. Bohanske made a motion, seconded by Dr. Flowers, to take no action at this time and to request that Dr. Selby keep the Board apprised of the matter. The motion carried 8-0.

6. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION RELATING TO CORRESPONDENCE FROM LARRY COHEN, LEGAL COUNSEL TO JOHN DENBOER, PH.D., RELATING TO DR. DENBOER'S OBJECTION TO THE BOARD'S JURISDICTION IN CASE NO. RFI 16-22 AND A DETERMINATION OF THE SAME

Dr. Beljan recused from reviewing this item. Ms. Chapman provided a summary stating that the Board office received a Claim pertaining to Dr. Denboer submitted under A.R.S. §32-2081(C), where the service provided by Dr. DenBoer was Ordered by the Family Court in 2014. The Claim was reviewed in accordance with the claim process and was subsequently opened as a complaint pursuant to A.R.S. §32-2081(C). Subsequent to initiating the complaint, the Board office received correspondence from Dr. Denboer's attorney, Larry Cohen, arguing that the Board lacks jurisdiction in this matter as the services in question were provided prior to the effective date of A.R.S. §32-2081(C). Neither Dr. Denboer nor Mr. Cohen were present. The Complainant M.Y., and his attorney, Mary Kay Grenier, were present telephonically, requested to speak and made statements. Ms. Grenier argued that services provided by Dr. Denboer in this matter were voluntary, not Court Ordered. The Board deliberated and determined that the Board previously voted to accept Claims retrospectively to the effective date of A.R.S. §32-2081(C). After deliberation, Ms. Shreeve made a motion, seconded by Dr. Flowers, to maintain jurisdiction in RFI 16-22 and to overrule the objection. The motion carries 6-1-1 with Dr. Bohanske voting no and Dr. Beljan recused.

7. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING 16-22 PERTAINING TO JOHN DENBOER, PH.D. AND, IF THE BOARD ACCEPTS JURISDICTION AND RESPONDENT DENBOER FILES A PETITION FOR SPECIAL ACTION, WHETHER THE BOARD WOULD STIPULATE TO A STAY

Dr. Beljan recused from reviewing this item. Ms. Chapman provided a summary stating that Dr. Denboer's attorney, Mr. Cohen, submitted correspondence stating that he intends to file a petition for Special Action with the Court if the Board maintains jurisdiction in RFI 16-22. Mr. Cohen asked if the Board will stipulate to a Stay. Ms. Galvin clarified stating that if the petition for Special Action is filed, the Court will Order the Board to Stay its investigation until the Court resolves the matter. Board members asked if it can impose a time-frame for Mr. Cohen to file the petition for Special Action with the Court. Ms. Galvin responded that the Board can impose a time-frame. After deliberation, Dr. Bohanske made a motion, seconded by Mr. Donaldson, to allow Mr. Cohen 60 days to file a petition for Special Action and the Board will stipulate to a Stay. If Mr. Cohen does not file a petition for Special Action within 60 days then the Board will proceed with the Request for Investigation. The motion carried 7-0-1 with Dr. Beljan recused.

8. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING APPLICATION FOR LICENSURE SUBMITTED BY AMY PROSSER, PH.D.

Dr. Mellott recused from reviewing this item. Dr. Bohanske provided a summary stating that the Application Review Committee was unable to review this application due to a lack of quorum and, as a result, the application was forwarded to the full Board for review. Upon review of her core program requirements, the Board noted that her course in *Introduction to School Psychology* may not meet the ethics requirement pursuant to A.R.S. §32-2071(A)(4)(a); the Board is requesting a syllabus for this course. Upon review of her Supervised Psychology Internship or Training Experience Verification form and written training plan, the Board expressed concern that the written training plan is written between the training site, Dr. Prosser, and the University. Further, there is no documentation that the site provides an organized internship training program. The Board requests written documentation that substantiates that the internship site was an organized training program that is designed to provide the trainee with a planned, programmed sequence of training experience, the focus and purpose of which are to assure breadth and quality of the training. This should include brochures or other written materials developed by the internship site that describes the internship, clearly designates the training director and faculty, articulates the planned programmed sequence of the training program as well as an internship training manual articulating policies and procedures of the internship. Additionally, the Board is requesting an explanation from Dr. Prosser and her supervisor as to whether the internship program was a doctoral level internship, all supervisors were doctoral level psychologists, the site offered interaction with other doctoral level interns, and clarifies whether the internship was a one-year training opportunity or if the site continued to offer training to doctoral students over multiple continuous years. Furthermore, the Board requested clarification as to how the internship experience meets the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(F) and Arizona Administrative Code R4-26-210(B). It was the consensus of the Board to send Dr. Prosser an additional information request letter regarding the deficiencies noted above with Dr. Mellott recused.

9. NEW AGENDA ITEMS FOR FUTURE MEETINGS

There were no items for future meetings.

10. ADJOURN

There being no further business to come before the Board, Mr. Donaldson made a motion, seconded by Dr. Wechsler, to adjourn the meeting at 8:32 a.m. The Motion carried 8-0.

Respectfully submitted,

Paul Beljan, Psy.D., ABPdN, ABN
Secretary