



**Governor**  
Douglas A. Ducey

## **Arizona Board of Psychologist Examiners**

1740 W. Adams St., Suite 3403  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007  
Phone (602) 542-8163 Fax (602) 926-8095  
<https://psychboard.az.gov>

**Board Members**  
Bob Bohanske, Ph.D., FNAP, Chair  
Lynn L. Flowers, Ph.D., Vice-Chair  
Diana Davis-Wilson, DBH, BCBA, Secretary  
Janice K. Brundage, Ph.D.  
Bryan Davey, Ph.D., BCBA-D  
Joseph Donaldson  
Matthew Meier, Psy.D.  
Ramona N. Mellott, Ph.D.  
Tamara Shreeve, MPA  
**Executive Director**  
Jenna Jones

### **Committee on Behavior Analysts**

#### **MINUTES OF MEETING**

**February 1, 2019**

**9:30 a.m.**

1740 W Adams Street, Conference Room C (1<sup>st</sup> Floor)  
Phoenix, AZ 85007

#### **1. CALL TO ORDER**

The regular session of the Arizona State Board of Psychologist Examiners Committee on Behavior Analysts was called to order by Chairman Davidson at 9:30 a.m.

#### **2. ROLL CALL**

##### **Committee Members Participating by Telephone**

Daniel Davidson, Ph.D., BCBA-D, Chair  
Bryan Davey, Ph.D., BCBA-D  
Diana Davis-Wilson, DBH, BCBA (12:32 p.m.-1:07 p.m.)  
Donald Stenhoff, Ph.D., BCBA-D  
Paige Raetz, Ph.D., BCBA-D (joined at 9:36 a.m.)

##### **Staff Present**

Jenna Jones – Executive Director  
Heather Broaddus – Deputy Director  
Kathy Fowkes – Licensing Specialist

##### **Assistant Attorney General**

Jeanne Galvin, Esq.

#### **3. CALL TO THE PUBLIC**

There were no requests to address the Committee.

#### **4. APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

##### **A. January 7, 2019, Regular Session**

Dr. Davis-Wilson recused from approval of minutes.

**MOTION:** Dr. Davey moved to approve the minutes. Dr. Stenhoff seconded.

**VOICE VOTE:** Motion approved 4-0-1, with one recusal.

- B. January 7, 2019, Executive Session (10:34 am – 10:46 am)
- C. January 7, 2019, Executive Session (12 14 pm – 12:22 pm)
- D. January 7, 2019, Executive Session (12:45 pm – 12:49 am)

Items B, C and D of this section were tabled until the March 1, 2019 meeting of the Committee on Behavior Analysts.

**5. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD PERTAINING TO APPROVAL OF BEHAVIOR ANALYST APPLICANTS**

**A. Requesting Approval for Licensure by Experience**

1. Adeana Leigh Sczerba, M.A.Ed.  
The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.
2. Anne Melissa Scott, M.A.T.  
The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.
3. Kathryn A. Hoyle, M.A.  
The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.
4. Mary Makenna Hill, M.Ed.  
The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.
5. Rodrigo Esteban Mendoza, M.S.  
Dr. Raetz recused from reviewing this application. The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.
6. Sara Tackett, M.A.  
The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

7. Shiran Asulin, M.S.

The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

8. Susan Lombness, M.Ed.

The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

9. Tina Vu, M.S.

The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that on her Supervised Work Experience and Independent Fieldwork Verification form (verification), indicates she worked from 07/03/2016 to 06/03/2017. It was noted she received 1,540 hours of supervised experience within 48 weeks, which averages 32 hours worked per week which does not meet the requirement of R4-26-404.2. Additionally, the Committee noted the Applicant answered Yes to question 20 of the application, which asks if the applicant completed the supervised experience in NO LESS THAN 12 months. The Committee noted this answer is not supported by the verification's start and end dates. Furthermore, on the verification, the Committee noted the Supervisor answered Yes to question 9 regarding licensure and listed California as the state of licensure. However, California does not license Behavior Analysts. It was the consensus of the Committee to request additional information regarding the above discrepancies.

**MOTION:** Dr. Davey moved to forward the applications of Adeana Leigh Sczerba, M.A.Ed, Anne Melissa Scott, M.A.T., Kathryn A. Hoyle, M.A., Mary Makenna Hill, M.Ed., Rogrigo Esteban Mendoza, M.S., Sara Tackett, M.A., Shiran Asulin, M.S. and Susan Lombness, M.Ed. to the Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee. Also, to request additional information from Tina Vu, M.S. as discussed. Dr. Davis-Wilson seconded.

**VOICE VOTE:** The motion carried 4-0 with 1 recusal for Mr. Mendoza, and carried 5-0 for the remaining applicants.

6. **DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING UPDATE TO BA APPLICATION QUESTION 21 TO CONFORM TO LANGUAGE IN A.A.C. R4-26-404.2(C)(2)**

The Committee discussed the Rule and what the BACB currently requires. The Committee noted that R4-26-404.2(C)(2) does not apply to someone who received supervision prior to January 1, 2015, to which Ms. Galvin concurred, and requested staff to include this language in the application for question 21. Additionally, the Committee noted that the Supervised Experience or Independent Fieldwork Verification form asks the same question and also needs to be updated accordingly. The Committee also noted that the BACB has released their updated standards for 2022, and have made these requirements strongly suggested, rather than required.

**7. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING THE BOARD'S FIVE YEAR RULE REVIEW**

Ms. Jones summarized that the Committee was not exempt from the five-year Rule review. Ms. Jones informed the Committee that she would be sending forms to each of them, and asked that they review the questions and come prepared to discuss them at the next meeting of the Committee.

**8. NEW AGENDA ITEMS FOR FUTURE MEETINGS**

The Committee requested that an item be placed on the March 29, 2019, Committee agenda to discuss ideas for licensed Behavior Analysts regarding guidelines, best practices, and continuing education opportunities. Additionally, the Committee requested that the application with changes as discussed be available for discussion, consideration and action on the March 1, 2019, agenda. Furthermore, the Committee requested that discussion, consideration and possible action regarding the five year Rules review be on the March 1, 2019, agenda.

**9. ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business for the Committee, the meeting adjourned at 10:34 a.m.