



Governor
Douglas A. Ducey

Arizona Board of Psychologist Examiners

1740 W. Adams St., Suite 3403
Phoenix, Arizona 85007
Phone (602) 542-8163 Fax (602) 926-8095
<https://psychboard.az.gov>

Board Members
Bob Bohanske, Ph.D., FNAP, Chair
Lynn L. Flowers, Ph.D., Vice-Chair
Diana Davis-Wilson, DBH, BCBA, Secretary
Janice K. Brundage, Ph.D.
Bryan Davey, Ph.D., BCBA-D
Joseph Donaldson
Matthew Meier, Psy.D.
Ramona N. Mellott, Ph.D.
Tamara Shreeve, MPA
Executive Director
Jenna Jones

Committee on Behavior Analysts

MINUTES OF MEETING

April 1, 2019

3:00 p.m.

1740 W Adams Street, Conference Room C (1st Floor)
Phoenix, AZ 85007

1. CALL TO ORDER

The regular session of the Arizona State Board of Psychologist Examiners Committee on Behavior Analysts was called to order by Chairman Davidson at 3:01 p.m.

2. ROLL CALL

Committee Members Participating by Telephone

Diana Davis-Wilson, DBH, BCBA, Acting Chair
Bryan Davey, Ph.D., BCBA-D
Paige Raetz, Ph.D., BCBA-D (3:07 p.m.)
Donald Stenhoff, Ph.D., BCBA-D

Committee Members Absent

Daniel Davidson, Ph.D., BCBA-D, Chair

Staff Present

Jenna Jones – Executive Director
Kathy Fowkes – Licensing Specialist

3. CALL TO THE PUBLIC

There were no requests to address the Committee.

4. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A. March 1, 2019, Regular Session

MOTION: Dr. Stenhoff moved to approve the minutes. Dr. Davey seconded.

VOICE VOTE: Motion approved 3-0.

5. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD PERTAINING TO APPROVAL OF BEHAVIOR ANALYST APPLICANTS

A. Requesting Approval for Licensure by Experience

- 1) Briana Jaramillo, M.Ed.
The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure.
- 2) Briana Newman, M.S.
The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure.
- 3) Jamie Lynn Mills, M.A.
The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure.
- 4) Jessica Faina Pavia, M.A.
The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure.
- 5) Kristin Lundbohm, M.A.
The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure.
- 6) Leslie Barrera Dorantes, M.S.
Dr. Davis-Wilson recused from reviewing this application. The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure.
- 7) Marco Antonio Ortiz Perez, M.S.
The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure.
- 8) Maria Holguin, M.Ed.
The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure.
- 9) Megan K. Cook, M.S.
The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. However, the Committee noted that question #9 on the verification was not correct, since California does not offer licensure for Behavior Analysts. It was the consensus

of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure, contingent on Board staff receiving correction of the verifier's answer to question #9.

10) Rebecca G. White, M.A.

Dr. Davis-Wilson recused from reviewing this application. The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure.

11) Tina Vu, M.S.

The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application and additional materials. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure.

12) Traci Webb, M.S.

The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the verification of supervised experience was completed by a custodian of records. The Committee questioned the definition of a custodian of records and the type of records to which a custodian would have access. It was the consensus of the Committee to request a copy of the BACB verification forms used for BCBA certification. Additionally, the Committee noted that the applicant answered Yes to question #20 on the application, affirming that she completed her supervised experience in 12 months or more. However, the verification indicates that the training was completed in less than 12 months. The Acting Chair noted that the Rule regarding the 12 month requirement no longer exists. Other Committee members noted that when the applicant completed her supervised experience, BACB standards regarding this were not met. The Committee was not able to come to a consensus.

MOTION: Dr. Davis-Wilson moved to forward the application of Traci Webb, M.S. to the Board for substantive review. Dr. Stenhoff seconded.

VOICE VOTE: The motion carried 4-0.

MOTION: Dr. Stenhoff moved to forward the applications of Briana Jaramillo, M.Ed., Briana Newman, M.S., Jamie Lyn Mills, M.A., Jessica Faina Pavia, M.A., Kristin Lundbohm, M.A., Leslie Barrera Dorantes, M.S., Marco Antonio Ortiz Perez, M.S., Maria Holguin, M.Ed., Rebecca G. White, M.A., and Tina Vu, M.S. to the Board for approval of licensure, and to forward the application of Megan K. Cook, M.S., to the Board for approval of licensure, contingent on Board staff receiving a corrected verification form.

VOICE VOTE: The motion carried 3-0 for the applications of Leslie Barrera Dorantes, M.S. and Rebecca G. White, M.A. with one recusal, and the motion carried 4-0 for the remainder of applications.

6. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING METHODS FOR DISSEMINATING INFORMATION TO LICENSEES THAT PERTAINS TO GUIDELINES, BEST PRACTICES, AND CONTINUING EDUCATION OPPORTUNITIES IN ARIZONA

Dr. Davey summarized the Committee's concerns regarding applicants' understanding of the licensure process and the difference between BCBA certification and state licensure. The Committee discussed its role and ways it can be proactive with new applicants and provide best-practice information to Arizona's Behavior Analyst community. Ms. Jones reported that staff has uploaded a FAQ page to the Board's website as part of the effort to provide needed information to applicants regarding the application process and licensure. The Committee considered offering

presentations to appropriate organizations. Ms. Jones discussed ways staff can implement this suggestion, including topics such as, 'what does licensure mean?', 'what is the Board's role in protecting the public?', 'ethical considerations', and other timely topics. The Committee recommended that Ms. Jones reach out to the Association. The Committee also considered how to encourage more students to attend Board meetings.

7. NEW AGENDA ITEMS FOR FUTURE MEETINGS

No new agenda items were suggested.

8. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING FIVE YEAR RULE REVIEW

Ms. Jones reported that staff is working with a rules writer. The Committee provided clarification regarding verbiage for several rules which set forth requirements in education, ethical standards, code of conduct, and continuing education.

9. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Committee, Dr. Stenhoff made a motion, seconded by Dr. Raetz, to adjourn the meeting. The motion carried 4-0. The meeting was adjourned at 4:17 p.m.