



Governor
Douglas A. Ducey

Arizona Board of Psychologist Examiners

1740 W. Adams St., Suite 3403
Phoenix, Arizona 85007
Phone (602) 542-8163 Fax (602) 926-8095
<https://psychboard.az.gov>

Board Members
Bob Bohanske, Ph.D., FNAP, Chair
Lynn L. Flowers, Ph.D., Vice-Chair
Diana Davis-Wilson, DBH, BCBA, Secretary
Janice K. Brundage, Ph.D.
Bryan Davey, Ph.D., BCBA-D
Joseph Donaldson
Matthew Meier, Psy.D.
Ramona N. Mellott, Ph.D.
Tamara Shreeve, MPA
Executive Director
Jenna Jones

Committee on Behavior Analysts

MINUTES OF MEETING

April 26, 2019

9:30 a.m.

1740 W Adams Street, Conference Room B (1st Floor)
Phoenix, AZ 85007

1. CALL TO ORDER

The regular session of the Arizona State Board of Psychologist Examiners Committee on Behavior Analysts was called to order by Chairman Davidson at 9:30 a.m.

2. ROLL CALL

Committee Members Participating by Telephone

Daniel Davidson, Ph.D., BCBA-D, Chair
Diana Davis-Wilson, DBH, BCBA, Acting Chair
Bryan Davey, Ph.D., BCBA-D
Paige Raetz, Ph.D., BCBA-D (3:07 p.m.)
Donald Stenhoff, Ph.D., BCBA-D

Staff Present

Jenna Jones – Executive Director
Kathy Fowkes – Licensing Specialist

Attorney General

Jeanne Galvin, Esq. (joined call at 10:10 a.m.)

3. CALL TO THE PUBLIC

There were no requests to address the Committee.

4. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A. April 1, 2019, Regular Session

Dr. Davidson recused from approval of minutes.

MOTION: Dr. Raetz moved to approve the minutes. Dr. Davis-Wilson seconded.

VOICE VOTE: Motion approved 4-0, with one recusal.

5. **DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING
RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD PERTAINING TO APPROVAL OF BEHAVIOR
ANALYST APPLICANTS**

A. Requesting Approval for Licensure by Experience

1) Brandie Austin, M.A.

Dr. Davis-Wilson recused from reviewing this application. The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure.

2) Elizabeth Wright Turner, M.A.

The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure.

3) Hillary J. Hinds, M.A.

Dr. Davis-Wilson recused from reviewing this application. Ms. Hinds was present on the phone. The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that two of the applicant's supervisors were not licensed in Arizona during the time the supervision took place, and that there were not sufficient hours to meet licensure requirements. Ms. Hinds answered the Board's questions, which gave the Committee reason to believe at least one verification form sent by Ms. Hinds did not arrive at the Board's office. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for substantive review contingent on Board staff receiving the missing verifications.

4) Hiroyo Hattori Weber, M.Ed.

Dr. Davis-Wilson recused from reviewing this application. The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure.

5) Kaisa Lynn Weathers, M.Ed.

The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the applicant answered Yes to the question, "Have you ever been involuntarily terminated or have you resigned in lieu of termination from any behavioral health position or related employment?" The Committee expressed concern at the brevity of the applicant's explanation accompanying the Yes answer, and the lack of additional documentation in support of her Yes answer. It was the consensus of the Committee to request a more detailed written explanation of events that led to her decision to resign in lieu of termination, and any and all documentation available regarding the matter.

6) Michele Clarke, M.Ed.

The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure.

7) Michelle F. Connors, M.S.

The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules, except that the verifier answered Yes to question #10 on the verification form, which asks if the supervisor was a relative, subordinate or employee of the applicant. The Committee directed staff to contact the verifier to see if this was a misunderstanding or typo. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure contingent on a correction to #10 by the supervisor, if in fact this was a misunderstanding of the question or a typo.

8) Patricia Piaszczyński, M.A.

The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure.

9) Staci B. Marquez, M.Ed.

Dr. Davis-Wilson recused from reviewing this application. The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules, except that the verifier answered Yes to question #10 on two verification forms, which asks if the supervisor was a relative, subordinate or employee of the applicant. The Committee directed staff to contact the verifier to see if this was a misunderstanding or typo. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure contingent on a correction to #10 by the supervisor, if in fact this was a misunderstanding of the question or a typo.

10) Vaishali N. Shah, M.A.

Dr. Davis-Wilson recused from reviewing this application. The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure.

MOTION: Dr. Davey moved to forward the applications of Brandie Austin, M.A., Elizabeth Wright Turner, M.A., Hiroyo Hattori Weber, M.Ed., Michele Clarke, M.Ed., Patricia Piaszczyński, M.A. and Vaishali N. Shah, M.A. to the Board for approval of licensure; to forward the applications of Michelle F. Connors, M.S. and Staci B. Marquez, M.Ed. to the Board for approval of licensure, contingent on Board staff receiving corrected verification forms; to forward the application of Hillary J. Hinds, M.A. to the Board for substantive review contingent on receiving missing verification forms; and to request

additional information from Kaisa Lynn Weathers, M.Ed. as noted above. Dr. Stenhoff seconded.

VOICE VOTE: The motion carried 4-0 for the applications of Brandie Austin, M.A., Hillary J. Hinds, M.A., Hiroyo Hattori Weber, M.Ed., Staci B. Marquez, M.Ed., and Vaishali N. Shah, M.A. with one recusal, and the motion carried 5-0 for the remainder of applications.

6. NEW AGENDA ITEMS FOR FUTURE MEETINGS

Dr. Davidson requested to have a new agenda item for discussion of the new reciprocity law affects Behavior Analysts. Ms. Galvin reported that the Attorney General's office is preparing a memorandum for all licensing Boards. It was the consensus of the Committee to add this agenda item after the Attorney General's memorandum has been received.

Dr. Davis-Wilson requested to have a new agenda item to develop and review tools for application analysis and review the various BACB forms being received for verification of supervised experiences.

Dr. Davey requested to have a new agenda item to discuss and revise application questions that are prone to verifier errors.

7. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Committee, Dr. Davey made a motion, seconded by Dr. Davis-Wilson, to adjourn the meeting. The motion carried 5-0. The meeting was adjourned at 10:45 a.m.