



Governor
Douglas A. Ducey

Arizona Board of Psychologist Examiners

1740 W. Adams St., Suite 3403
Phoenix, Arizona 85007
Phone (602) 542-8163 Fax (602) 926-8095
<https://psychboard.az.gov>

Board Members
Bob Bohanske, Ph.D., FNAP, Chair
Lynn L. Flowers, Ph.D., Vice-Chair
Diana Davis-Wilson, DBH, BCBA, Secretary
Janice K. Brundage, Ph.D.
Bryan Davey, Ph.D., BCBA-D
Joseph Donaldson
Matthew Meier, Psy.D.
Ramona N. Mellott, Ph.D.
Tamara Shreeve, MPA
Executive Director
Jenna Jones

Committee on Behavior Analysts

FINAL MINUTES OF MEETING

July 8, 2019

9:30 a.m.

1740 W Adams Street, Conference Room C (1st Floor)
Phoenix, AZ 85007

1. CALL TO ORDER

The regular session of the Arizona State Board of Psychologist Examiners Committee on Behavior Analysts was called to order by Chairman Davidson at 9:30 a.m.

2. ROLL CALL

Committee Members Participating by Telephone

Daniel Davidson, Ph.D., BCBA-D, Chair
Diana Davis-Wilson, DBH, BCBA
Bryan Davey, Ph.D., BCBA-D
Paige Raetz, Ph.D., BCBA-D
Donald Stenhoff, Ph.D., BCBA-D

Staff Present

Jenna Jones – Executive Director
Kathy Fowkes – Licensing Specialist

Attorney General

Jeanne Galvin, Esq.

3. CALL TO THE PUBLIC

There were no requests to address the Committee.

4. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A. May 31, 2019, Regular Session

Dr. Stenhoff abstained from approval of minutes.

MOTION: Dr. Raetz moved to approve the minutes. Dr. Davis-Wilson seconded.

VOICE VOTE: Motion approved 4-0-1, with one abstention.

**5. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING
RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD PERTAINING TO APPROVAL OF
BEHAVIOR ANALYST APPLICANTS**

A. Requesting Approval for Licensure by Experience

1) Andrea Yolanda Geissler, M.S.

Dr. Raetz recused from reviewing this application. The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure.

2) Brooke Mackenzie Blakeman, M.Ed.

The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. However, no business address was provided on page 3. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure, contingent on a business address being provided.

3) Elaine Helton, M.S.

Dr. Stenhoff recused from reviewing this application. The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure.

4) Erin Bradley, M.S.

Dr. Stenhoff recused from reviewing this application. The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure.

5) Jane K. DeToma, M.A.

The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the verification of supervised experience received from Leslie Birdwhistell includes discrepant information. The start date of the experience, March 1, 2015, indicates that the experience began prior to the issuance of Ms. Birdwhistell's Kentucky license and prior to Ms. Birdwhistell's BCBA certification, and prior to when Ms. Birdwhistell met BACB's supervision requirements. The Committee was not satisfied that the supervised experience of the applicant met the requirements of A.A.C. R4-26-404.2(C)(4) or (6). It was the consensus of the Committee to request additional information.

6) Katarzyna Motylewicz, M.S.

The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application and additional materials. Upon review, the Committee noted that the start date of the applicant's supervised experience on page 6 of her application was provided as "07/2009". However, her Penn State University transcript indicated a start date for her Applied Behavior Analyst (ABA) coursework to be "Fall 2010". Additionally, the verification of her supervised experience indicated a start date of August 24, 2010. The Committee noted that these discrepancies indicate that the applicant's supervised experience may not meet the requirements of Arizona Administrative Code (Rule) R4-26-404.2(C). It was the consensus of the Committee to request additional information.

7) Kristina S. Judie, M.Ed.

The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure.

8) Martin D. Myers, M.Ed.

The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure.

9) Michel Reed, M.Ed.

Ms. Reed was present. The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the applicant's supervisor, Karen Barnett, provided remote supervision for 1288.5 hours of supervised experience at site locations in Mesa, Arizona. The Committee also noted that Ms. Barnett was licensed in Alaska, but was not licensed in Arizona during the period of supervision from 01/10/2017 to 03/03/2018, which does not meet the requirements of Arizona Administrative Code R4-26-404.2(C)(4). Ms. Reed stated she understood the rule regarding supervision to mean that the supervisor was providing supervision from Alaska remotely, and therefore the supervision was taking place in Alaska. The Committee clarified that supervision is inclusive of all activities of a supervised experience, which occurs where the supervisee is located; supervised experiences in Arizona require that the supervisor be licensed in Arizona, regardless of the state in which the supervisor is located. The applicant answered the Committee's questions. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and possible denial, or to allow the applicant to withdraw her application.

MOTION: Dr. Raetz moved to forward the application to the Board with the recommendation of denial or to allow Michel Reed, M.Ed. to withdraw her application because her supervised experience does not meet requirements in A.A.C. R4-26-404.2(C)(4). Dr. Davey seconded.

VOICE VOTE: The motion carried unanimously.

10) Mindy K. Kraus, M.Ed.

The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure.

11) Oascha Monae Cross, M.Ed.

The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure.

12) Patricia Weigand, Ph.D.

The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. However, the Committee noted that the verifier listed her BCBA certification number for a license number on the verification form. The Committee directed staff to note this in the file. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure.

13) Quincy Richter, M.S.

The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure.

14) Rahil Hristos Roussos, M.A.

The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that there appeared to be a discrepancy between the start date of the supervised experience reported by the applicant on the application, and the start date on the verification of supervised experience. Additionally, the Committee noted that on the applicant's transcript from the University of West Florida, the start of Applied Behavior Analysis coursework is listed as "Spring 2006" and the verified start date of supervised experience is 6/05/2006, which may not meet the requirement of A.A.C. R4-26-404.2(C). It was the consensus of the Committee to request additional information.

15) Rebecca Pawlowski, M.Ed.

The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure.

16) Shawna M. Nutter, M.S.

Dr. Davey recused from reviewing this application. The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and

rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure.

17) Stephen C. Shubert, M.Ed.

The Committee proceeded with a substantive review of the application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward the application to the Board for review and approval of licensure.

MOTION: Dr. Davey moved to forward the applications of Andrea Yolanda Geissler, M.S., Brooke Mackenzie Blakeman, M.Ed., Elaine Helton, M.S., Erin Bradley, M.S., Kristina S. Judie, M.Ed., Martin D. Myers, M.Ed., Mindy K. Kraus, M.Ed., Oascha Monae Cross, M.Ed., Patricia Weigand, Ph.D., Quincy Richter, M.S., Rebecca Pawlowski, M.Ed., Shawna M. Nutter, M.S., and Steven C. Shubert, M.Ed. to the Board for approval of licensure; and to request additional information from Jane K. DeToma, M.A., Katarzyna Motylewicz, M.S., and Rahil Hristos Roussos, M.A. as discussed. Dr. Davis-Wilson seconded.

VOICE VOTE: The motion carried 4-0 for the applications of Andrea Yolanda Geissler, M.S., Elaine Helton, M.S., Erin Bradley, M.S. and Shawna M. Nutter, M.S.; 5-0 for all other applications.

6. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING 5-YEAR RULE REVIEW UPDATE

Ms. Jones provided the draft report to the Committee regarding the 5-year rule review update. The Committee had no questions or comments.

10. NEW AGENDA ITEMS FOR FUTURE MEETINGS

Dr. Davidson reported that he would be absent from the August 2, 2019, meeting and asked for a volunteer to chair the meeting. Dr. Davis-Wilson volunteered to chair.

11. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Committee, Dr. Stenhoff made a motion, seconded by Dr. Raetz, to adjourn the meeting. The motion carried 5-0. The meeting was adjourned at 10:55 a.m.