BEFORE THE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGIST EXAMINERS FOR THE STATE OF ARIZONA

2

In the Matter of

John W. DenBoer, Ph.D.

in the State of Arizona.

Holder of License No. PSY-004026

For the Practice of Psychology

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

1617

18

19 20

2122

23

24

25

26

Case Nos.: 16-22, 16-39, 16-53, 17-23, 18-25,

18-26, 19-02, 19-04, 20-11, 20-17, 20-42

CONSENT AGREEMENT AND ORDER FOR VOLUNTARY SURRENDER OF PSYCHOLOGIST LICENSE

The Arizona Board of Psychologist Examiners ("Board") has met in open session on multiple occasions to discuss the above-referenced cases. John W. DenBoer, Ph.D. ("Respondent") was present with his legal counsel, J. Arthur Eaves on some occasions and Jeffrey S. Hunter on other occasions. After discussion, consideration, and deliberation, the Board voted to enter into a Consent Agreement in lieu of commencing further informal interviews and formal Board hearings regarding Complaint Nos. 16-22, 18-25, 18-26, 19-02, 19-04, 20-11, 20-17, and 20-42.

JURISDICTION

- 1. The Board is a state agency authorized pursuant to Arizona Revised Statute ("A.R.S.") 32-2061 *et. seq.* and the rules promulgated thereunder in the Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C." or "Rules") at R4-26-101 *et. seq.*, to regulate and control the licensing of psychologists in the State of Arizona.
- 2. Respondent is a holder of License No. PSY-004026 for the practice of psychology in the State of Arizona.
- 3. The Board has personal and subject matter jurisdiction over Respondent pursuant to A.R.S. & 32-2061 *et. seq.*, and the Rules A.A.C. R4-26-101, *et. seq.*

RECITALS

Respondent understands and agrees that:

1. The Board and Respondent enter into this Consent Agreement to promptly and judicially resolve these matters, consistent with the public interest and the statutory requirements of the Board.

- 2. Respondent has the right to consult with an attorney before entering into this Consent Agreement.
- Respondent has a right to a formal public hearing concerning these cases where he could present evidence and cross examine witnesses. Respondent irrevocably waives any right to such hearing upon this Consent Agreement becoming effective.
- 4. Respondent irrevocably waives any right to rehearing or review or to any judicial review or any other appeal these matters upon this Consent Agreement becoming effective.
- 5. Although Respondent does not agree that the allegations in Complaint Nos. 16-22, 18-25, 18-26, 19-02, 19-04, 20-11, 20-17, and 20-42 are supported by the evidence, Respondent acknowledges that it is the Board's position that if these matters proceed to formal hearing, the Board could offer sufficient evidence to support a conclusion that certain of Respondent's conduct constituted unprofessional conduct. Therefore, Respondent has agreed to enter into this Consent Agreement as an economical and practical means of resolving the issues alleged in the complaints.
- 6. Respondent further agrees to enter into this Consent Agreement as he has relocated from the State of Arizona and has no further intent to practice psychology in the State of Arizona for at least the next five (5) years.
- 7. This Consent Agreement shall be subject to the Board's approval and shall be effective only when signed by the Executive Director and accepted by the Board. In the event that the Board does not approve this Consent Agreement, it is withdrawn and shall be of no evidentiary value and should not be relied upon or introduced in any action by any party, except that the parties agree that if the Board rejects this Consent Agreement and this case proceeds to hearing, Respondent shall assert no claim that the Board was prejudiced by its review and discussion of this document or any records relating thereto.
- 8. The Consent Agreement, once approved by the Board, evidenced by the signature of the Executive Director or her designee and signed by the Respondent, shall constitute a public record that may be disseminated as a formal action of the Board and shall be reported to the National Practitioner Data Bank.
- 9. Respondent voluntarily enters into this Consent Agreement for the purposes of avoiding the expense, uncertainty, and prolonged time involved in further administrative proceedings. Respondent further

voluntarily enters into this Consent Agreement for the purpose that he has relocated from the State of Arizona and has no plans to practice or maintain a license in Arizona for at least the next five (5) years. The issues contained herein are resolved by settlement and not actually litigated. Any allegations or finding herein may not be used for *res judicata* or *collateral estoppel* effect in any subsequent civil proceeding for any claims of professional liability of negligence by or on behalf of Complainant(s).

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. On December 20, 2015 the Board received a Claim against Respondent regarding court-ordered therapy services, which was subsequently opened as a complaint, no. 16-22, which alleged acts of unprofessional conduct that, if found by the Board to be valid, could justify the Board taking action against the Respondent. The complaint was filed by the minor client's father, MY, which contained allegations regarding Respondent's professionalism in his role as counselor, boundary violations, Respondent creating a dual role by serving as an evaluator and counselor at the same time, and failure to maintain and produce clinical records for the client. Respondent denies these allegations.
- 2. On August 30, 2018, the Board received Complaint No. 18-25 that alleged acts of unprofessional conduct that, if found by the Board to be valid, could justify the Board taking action against the Respondent. The complaint was filed a patient, KC, who was referred to Respondent for a neurological evaluation and alleged that Respondent did not act with professionalism throughout the treatment or evaluation process, that his report and assessment was not accurate, and that the reports had numerous errors. Respondent denies these allegations.
- 3. On September 28, 2018, the Board received Complaint No. 18-26 that alleged acts of unprofessional conduct that, if found by the Board to be valid, could justify the Board taking action against the Respondent. The complaint was filed by Blue Cross Blue Shield of Arizona, which contained allegations regarding Respondent's improper billing practices that were reviewed as part of an audit. Respondent denies these allegations.
- 4 On March 11, 2019, the Board received Complaint No. 19-02 that alleged acts of unprofessional conduct that, if found by the Board to be valid, could justify the Board taking action against the Respondent. The complaint was filed by a patient, LM, which contained allegations that the neurological evaluation performed by

Respondent did not meet the standard of care, that Respondent's report was inaccurate and did not support the diagnosis, and that Respondent was not present for the evaluation nor conducted any of the testing himself.

Respondent denies these allegations.

- 5. On April 1, 2019, the Board received Complaint No. 19-04 that alleged acts of unprofessional conduct that, if found by the Board to be valid, could justify the Board taking action against the Respondent. The complaint was filed by SS, a former employee of Respondent's practice from September 2018 March 2019, which alleged that SS witnessed various unethical conduct by Respondent and his staff during her employment. Respondent denies these allegations.
- 6. On October 10, 2019, the Board received Complaint No. 20-11 that alleged acts of unprofessional conduct that, if found by the Board to be valid, could justify the Board taking action against the Respondent. The complaint was filed by TM, a licensed psychologist who was hired on at the practice following Respondent's departure, which included allegations of copyright infringement, psychological testing improperly administered and analyzed incorrectly, staff failing to follow the appropriate treatment guidelines, treatments used were not supported by the patients' testing data, copyright laws violations as materials were photocopied rather than ordered from the publisher, and improper delegation of tasks to staff and not providing the required supervision. Respondent denies these allegations.
- 7. On November 4, 2019, the Board received Complaint No. 20-17 that alleged acts of unprofessional conduct that, if found by the Board to be valid, could justify the Board taking action against the Respondent. The complaint was filed by GF, who purchased Respondent's company in 2019, which included allegations of waiver of required insurance copayments, copyright laws violations, scoring of test results not following established guidelines, Respondent misrepresenting he was no longer on probation, and improper billing practices. Respondent denies these allegations.
- 8. On March 24, 2020, the Board received Complaint No. 20-42 that alleged acts of unprofessional conduct that, if found by the Board to be valid, could justify the Board taking action against the Respondent. The complaint was filed by LB, who was a patient of Respondent's from 2017 2018 and was subsequently hired by Respondent in 2018 as a part-time customer advocate for approximately eight months. LB alleged ethical issues

regarding her prior treatment, as well as various ethical concerns that were raised during her employment.

Respondent denies these allegations.

- 9. With respect to complaint nos. 16-39, 16-53, and 17-23, the Board and Respondent entered into a Consent Agreement and Order dated October 9, 2018. Under that Consent Agreement Respondent worked with a Board-approved Practice Monitor to address issues that were the subject of the Board's findings. The October 9, 2018 Consent Agreement and Order is incorporated herein by reference and adjudicated with the Board and Respondent entering into the current Consent Agreement and Order.
- 10. Respondent's confident that it could demonstrate that some or all of the allegations in these complaints are not supported by the facts, but the Respondent concedes that the Board could find other allegations that are supported by the facts.
- 11. Respondent has indicated that, since the events that gave rise to the allegations in these complaints, Respondent took steps to implement new practices that directly addressed concerns implicated in these matters. Respondent revised his informed consent forms for neuropsychological evaluations, instituted additional safeguards to receive consent for services from clients presenting to Respondent following presentations at facilities, consent allowing Respondent communication to ensure that the client has authority to make treatment decisions. With respect to issues of recordkeeping, Respondent instituted changes in recordkeeping practices. Further, Respondent maintained a practice monitor as required by the Consent Agreement executed by Respondent and the Board on October 9, 2018. The practice monitor, Dr. Toma, reported at the end of the time period for the mandated practice monitor, that Respondent's practice was compliant with the standard of care.
- 12. The Board and Respondent acknowledge that Respondent, for a substantial time period, has not been in care, custody or control of patient records. The Board and Respondent acknowledge that the care, custody and control and mandated maintenance of all records of Respondent's former patients have been in care, custody and control of a third party despite Respondent's statutory responsibility to maintain control over his patients' records.
 - 13. Certain of the complaints have raised allegations of fraudulent billing practices. Respondent and

the Board acknowledge that Respondent retained expert witnesses in the field of medical billing who concluded the billing practices for Respondent were not fraudulent. Respondent and Board acknowledge that the Board at no time retained or provided an opinion of an expert in the area of billing for psychological services.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The conduct and circumstances described in Complaints 16-22, 18-25, 18-26, 19-02, 19-04, 20-11, 20-17, 20-42 may constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to 1) A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(o), for possibly providing services that are unnecessary, unsafe or otherwise engaging in activities as a psychologist that are unprofessional by current standards of practice; 2) A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(x) for possibly engaging in false, deceptive or misleading advertising; and 3) A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(dd) for possibly violating ethical standard adopted by the Board, as it pertains to the American Psychological Association's 2002 Ethical Standards of Psychologists and Code of Conduct, Standards 3.06 (Conflict of Interest); 3.08 (Exploitative Relationships), 3.10 (Informed Consent), 4.01 (Maintaining Confidentiality); 5.01 (Avoidance of False or Deceptive Statements), 5.06 (In-Person Solicitation), 9.02(b) and (c) (Use of Assessments), and 9.06 (Interpreting Assessment Results).
- 2. The Board and Respondent acknowledge that Complaints Nos. 16-22, 18-25, 18-26, 19-02, and 19-04 contain allegations that preexist the date that Respondent's Consent Agreement was entered into on October 9, 2018. The Board and Respondent acknowledge that the allegations in the aforementioned complaints are same or similar to the allegations in the complaints that were the basis of the Consent Agreement signed by the Board and Respondent on October 9, 2018. The Board and Respondent agree that the practice monitor, Dr. Toma, determined at the end of the practice monitoring time period, Respondent's practice was compliant with the standard of care. Accordingly, Board and Respondent hereby agree and stipulate that Complaint Nos. 16-22, 18-25, 18-26, 19-02, and 19-04 are hereby dismissed with prejudice.
- 3. Complaint Nos. 20-11 and 20-17 arguably concern allegations subsequent to determination of the practice monitor. The Board and Respondent agree, however, that many of the allegations pertain to issues preexisting the entry of the Consent Agreement executed on October 9, 2018. Respondent and the Board agree that the Board could present evidence to support failure to adequately retain patient records and adequately document patient care and treatment. However, Respondent has and does deny allegations.

VOLUNTARY SURRENDEROF LICENSE

1. Respondent hereby agrees, stipulates, and voluntarily surrenders his license to practice psychology in the State of Arizona.

ORDER

- 2. Based on the foregoing findings of facts and conclusions of law, It Is Ordered That:
- 3. Case Nos. 16-39, 16-53, and 17-23, which are the subject of the October 9, 2018 Consent Agreement and Order, are adjudicated with the entry of this Order.
- 4. **VOLUNTARY SURRENDER OF LICENSE:** Respondent's license, no. PSY-004026, is voluntarily surrendered.
- 5. **APPLICATION FOR LICENSURE:** Upon application for licensure, the Board shall reserve the right to review all pending complaints and licensing history in determining Respondent's qualification for licensure, as well as any terms of probation that the Board may wish to order. Whether to grant Respondent's application for licensure shall be within the sole discretion of the Board.
- 6. **PROBATION**: Upon application for licensure and the Board's granting of same, Respondent's license as psychologist may be placed on probation for a period of time to be determined by the Board.
- 7. **CONTINUING EDUCATION**: Within twelve (12) months prior to application for licensure, and in addition to continuing education requirement required by rule for license application and/or renewal, Respondent shall complete an additional eighteen (18) hours of continuing education to address the issues raised in the complaints. Six (6) hours of continuing education shall be recordkeeping and/or maintaining business records; six (6) hour of continuing education shall be in informed consent; and six (6) hours of continuing education shall be in the areas of ethics/billing practices.
- 8. **PRACTICE MONITOR**: While on probation, Respondent shall work with a practice monitor preapproved by the Board's Executive Director who will provide professional guidance and input with Respondent with respect to his practice in performing evaluations, informed consent, recordkeeping and billing practices. The terms of the appointment of a practice monitor shall be determined by the Board at the time of licensure.

- 9. **TERMINATION OF PROBATION**: At the end of one year, unless otherwise ordered by the Board, Respondent may petition by the Board, in writing, and request termination from probation. If the Board determines that the respondent has not complied with the requirements of this Consent Agreement, the Board may either (a) continue the probation, or (b) institute proceedings for non-compliance of this Consent Agreement, which may result in suspension, revocation or other disciplinary remedial action.
- 10. **EFFECTIVE DATE**: Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement shall not become effective unless and until adopted by the Arizona Board of Psychologist Examiners and executed on behalf of the Board. Any modification to this original document is ineffective and void unless mutually approved by the parties in writing.
- 11. **CONSIDERATION OF FUTURE ACTIONS**: Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement, or any part thereof, may be considered any future disciplinary action against Respondent.
- 12. **FINAL RESOLUTION**: This Consent Agreement constitutes a final resolution of these disciplinary matters but does not constitute a dismissal resolution of the matters currently pending before the Board, if any, and does not constitute any waiver, express or implied, the Board's statutory authority of jurisdiction regarding any other pending or future investigations, actions, or proceeds. Further, this Consent Agreement does not preclude any other agency, subdivision, or officer of this state from institute other civil or criminal proceedings with respect to the conduct that is subject of this Consent Agreement.
 - 13. <u>TIME</u>: Time is of the essence with regard to this Consent Agreement.
- 14. <u>COSTS</u>: The Respondent shall be responsible for all costs incurred as a result of his compliance with this Consent Agreement.
- 15. **NONCOMPLIANCE**: If Respondent fails to comply with the terms of this Consent Agreement, the Board may promptly institute proceedings for noncompliance, which may result in suspension, revocation or other disciplinary or remedial actions. Violation of this Consent Agreement is a violation of A.R.S. § 32-2061(15)(aa) ("Violating a formal board order, consent agreement, or term of probation or stipulated agreement").
- 16. **PUBLIC RECORD**: This Consent Agreement is a public record that may be publicly disseminated as a formal action of the Board and reported to the National Practitioner Data Bank.

| 1 | DATED THIS 10 day of December , 2020. | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | | ARIZONA BOARD OF |
| 3 | | PSYCHOLOGIST EXAMINERS |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | thidi House Paackonin |
| 47 | John W. DenBoer, Ph.Dr | Heidi Herbst Paakkonen Executive Director |
| 8 | Respondent | Executive Director |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | ORIGINAL filed electronically this 10 day of December , 2020 to: | |
| 11 | Arizona State Board of Psychologist Examiners | |
| 12 | 1740 W. Adams St., Suite 3403 | |
| 13 | Phoenix, Arizona 85007 | |
| 14 | COPY of the foregoing mailed by Certified Mail No. 94 this 10 day of December , 2020 to: | 89009000276155081426 |
| 15 | STATE OF THE PARTY | |
| 16 | John DenBoer, Ph.D. Address on Record | |
| 17 | Respondent | |
| 18 | COPY of the foregoing mailed by USPS regular mail this 10 day of December , 2020 to: | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | Jeffrey Hunter, Esq. RENAUD COOK DRURY MESAROS, PA | |
| 21 | One North Central, Ste. 900 Phoenix, AZ 85004-4117 | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | COPY of the foregoing sent via email this ¹⁰ day of December , 2020 to: | |
| 24 | Jeanne M. Galvin | |
| 25 | Assistant Attorney General | |
| 26 | 2005 North Central Ave. SGD/LES Phoenix, Arizona 85004 | |

<u>Jeanne.galvin@azag.gov</u> Attorney for the State of Arizona

pering appeladen

By:_