3

5

6 7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

2425

26

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGIST EXAMINERS

FOR THE STATE OF ARIZONA

In the Matter of

John W. DenBoer, Ph.D.

Holder of License No. PSY-004026 For the Practice of Psychology in the State of Arizona Case Nos.: 16-39, 16-53, and 17-23

CONSENT AGREEMENT AND ORDER FOR PROBATION, SUPERVISION AND CONTINUING EDUCATION

On August 3, 2018, the Arizona Board of Psychologist Examiners ("Board") met in open session to discuss the above-referenced cases. John W. DenBoer, Ph.D. ("Respondent") was present with his legal counsel, J. Arthur Eaves. After discussion, consideration, and deliberation, the Board voted to enter into a Consent Agreement in lieu of commencing an Informal Interview in Complaint Nos. 16-39, 16-53, and 17-23.

JURISDICTION

- 1. The Board is the state agency authorized pursuant to Arizona Revised Statute ("A.R.S.") § 32-2061 et. seq., and the rules promulgated thereunder in the Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C." or "rules") at R4-26-101 et seq., to regulate and control the licensing of psychologists in the State of Arizona.
- 2. Respondent is the holder of license number PSY-004026 for the practice of psychology in the State of Arizona
- 3. The Board has personal and subject-matter jurisdiction over Respondent pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2061 et seq., and the rules at A.A.C. R4-26-101, et seq.

RECITALS

Respondent understands and agrees that:

- 4. The Board and Respondent enter into this Consent Agreement to promptly and judicio resolve these matters, consistent with the public interest and the statutory requirements of Board.
- Respondent has the right to consult with an attorney before entering into this Consent Agreement.
- 6. Respondent has a right to a formal public hearing concerning this case where he could present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. Respondent irrevocably waives any right to such a hearing upon this Consent Agreement becoming effective.
- 7. Respondent irrevocably waives any right to rehearing or review or to any judicial review or any other appeal of this matter upon this Consent Agreement becoming effective.
- 8. Although Respondent does not agree that all of the allegations in Complaint Nos. 16-39, 16-53, and 17-23 are supported by the evidence, Respondent acknowledges that it is the Board's position that if these matters proceeded to formal hearing, the Board could offer sufficient evidence to support a conclusion that certain of Respondent's conduct constituted unprofessional conduct. Therefore, Respondent has agreed to enter into this Consent Agreement as an economical and practical means of resolving the issues alleged in the Complaints.
- 9. This Consent Agreement shall be subject to the Board's approval and shall be effective only when signed by the Executive Director and accepted by the Board. In the event that the Board does not approve this Consent Agreement, it is withdrawn and shall be of no evidentiary value and shall not be relied upon nor introduced in any action by any party, except that the parties agree that if the Board rejects this Consent Agreement and this case proceeds to hearing, Respondent shall assert no claim that the Board was prejudiced by its review and discussion of this document or any records relating thereto.

- 10. The Consent Agreement, once approved by the Board, evidenced by the signature of the Executive Director or her designee and signed by the Respondent, shall constitute a public record that may be disseminated as a formal action of the Board and shall be reported to the National Practitioner Data Bank.
- 11. Respondent voluntarily enters into this Consent Agreement for the purpose of avoiding the expense, uncertainty, and prolonged time involved in further administrative proceedings. The issues contained herein are resolved by settlement and not actually litigated. Any allegations and findings herein may not be used for *res judicata* or collateral estoppel effect in any subsequent civil proceedings for any claims of professional liability or negligence by or on behalf of Complainant(s).

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 12. On October 14, 2016, the Board received Complaint No. 16-39 that alleged acts of unprofessional conduct that, if found by the Board to be factually supported, could justify the Board taking action against Respondent.
- 13. On December 22, 2016, the Board received Complaint No. 16-53 that alleged acts of unprofessional conduct that, if found by the Board to be factually supported, could justify the Board taking action against Respondent.
- 14. On August 31, 2017, the Board received Complaint No. 17-23 that alleged acts of unprofessional conduct that, if found by the Board to be factually supported, could justify the Board taking action against Respondent.
- 15. Respondent is confident that he could demonstrate that some of the allegations in these Complaints are not supported by the facts, but Respondent concedes that the Board could find that other allegations are supported by the facts.
- 16. The allegations in Complaint No. 16-39 raise issues concerning Respondent's evaluation of an examinee referred by Arizona Adult Protective Services; billing practices; communication with examinee; lack of proper informed consent; incomplete assessments; and

lack of treatment contracts regarding the Respondent's roles and purpose of treatment both with examinee and Arizona Adult Protective Services.

- 17. The allegations in Complaint No. 16-53 raise issues concerning Respondent's evaluation of an examinee referred for medicolegal purposes; inadequate business or professional records; lack of informed consent to release personal or otherwise confidential information to a third party; inadequate evaluation documentation; and inadequate communication regarding release of evaluation to examinee.
- 18. The allegations in Complaint No. 17-23 raise issues concerning Respondent's evaluation of an examinee/resident of an assisted living facility following Respondent's presentation at the facility regarding a cognitive rehabilitation program; inappropriate solicitation of clients; potential exploitation of clients; inadequate procedures for obtaining information regarding a client's designated representative; failing to make available an examinee's evaluation or record to an examinee's designated representative; inadequate evaluation documentation; and bases for assessments.
- 19. Respondent has indicated that, since the events that gave rise to the allegations in these complaints, Respondent has taken steps to implement new practices that directly address the concerns implicated in these matters. Among other measures, Respondent revised his Informed Consent forms for neuropsychological evaluations, which were previously submitted to this Board. Specifically, these consent forms identify Respondent's role in evaluating the examinee, the scope of the evaluation, and circumstances dictating delivery of the evaluation to a client's health care provider, personal representative, or third party authorized to receive such report. Respondent also instituted additional safeguards to receive consent for services for clients presenting to Respondent following presentations at facilities, such as those described in Complaint No. 17-23. In addition to Informed Consent, Respondent also requires clients to consent to Respondent's communication with a facility to ensure that the client has authority to make treatment decisions for themselves. With respect to record keeping and client

communication in-office, Respondent has restructured his staff to better serve client questions regarding their evaluations and their access to medical records.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 20. The conduct and circumstances described above in Complaint 16-39, if supported by the facts alleged, would constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to: 1) A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(o), for possibly providing services that are unnecessary or unsafe or otherwise engaging in activities as a psychologist that are unprofessional by current standards of practice; 2) A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(x), for possibly engaging in false, deceptive or misleading advertising; and 3) A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(dd), for possibly violating an ethical standard adopted by the Board, as it pertains to the American Psychological Association's 2002 Ethical Standards of Psychologists and Code of Conduct, Standards 3.06 (Conflict of Interest); 3.08 (Exploitative Relationships), 3.10 (Informed Consent), 4.01 (Maintaining Confidentiality), 5.01 (Avoidance of False or Deceptive Statements), 5.06 (In-Person Solicitation), 9.02 (b) and (c) (Use of Assessments), and 9.06 (Interpreting Assessment Results).
- 21. The conduct and circumstances described above in Complaint No. 16-53, if supported by the facts alleged, would constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to: 1) A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(h), for possibly failing or refusing to maintain and retain adequate business, financial or professional records pertaining to the psychological services provided to a client or patient; A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(o), for possibly providing services that are unnecessary or unsafe or otherwise engaging in activities as a psychologist that are unprofessional by current standards of practice; A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(r), for possibly failing to obtain a client's or patient's informed and written consent to release personal or otherwise confidential information to another party unless the release is otherwise authorized by law; and A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(dd), for possibly violating an ethical standard adopted by the Board, as it pertains to the American Psychological Association's 2002 Ethical Standards of Psychologists and Code of Conduct, Standards 6.01 (Documentation of Professional and Scientific Work and Maintenance of Records); 6.03

1

13

10

19

2324

2526

(Withholding Records for Nonpayment), and 9.10 (Explaining Assessment Results) and A.A.C. R4-26-106, for possibly conditioning the release of a client or patient record on payment for services by the client, patient, or a third party.

22. The conduct and circumstances described above in Complaint No. 17-23, if supported by the facts alleged, would constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to: 1) A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(o) for possibly providing services that are unnecessary or unsafe or otherwise engaging in activities as a psychologist that are unprofessional by current standards of practice; 2) A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(w) for possibly engaging in direct or indirect personal solicitation of clients or patients through the use of coercion, duress, undue influence, compulsion or intimidation practices; 3) A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(y) for possibly exploiting a client or patient, a student or a supervisee; 4) A.R.S. § 32-2061(cc) for possibly failing to make available to a client or patient or to the client's or patient's designated representative, on written request, a copy of the client's or patient's record, including raw test data, psychometric testing materials and other information as provided by law, and 5) A.R.S. § 32-2061 (dd) for possibly violating an ethical standard adopted by the Board as it pertains to the American Psychological Association Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct; 3.10 Informed Consent; 5.06 In-Person Solicitation; 6.01(4) Documentation of Professional and Scientific Work and Maintenance of Records and 9.01(a)(b) Bases for Assessments.

ORDER FOR PROBATION AND SUPERVISION

- 23. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2081(S) the Board has determined that the Respondent's conduct in Complaint Nos. 16-39, 16-53, and 17-23 warrants disciplinary action. Based on the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, IT IS ORDERED THAT:
- 24. **PROBATION:** Respondent's license as a psychologist is placed on probation for a minimum period of one year from the effective date of this Consent Agreement, unless otherwise ordered by the Board. The effective date of this Consent Agreement is the date that it is signed by the Board's Executive Director, or her designee, on behalf of the Board.

26.

- 25. <u>CONTINUING EDUCATION:</u> Prior to the end of the term of probation and, in addition to the continuing education requirements that are required by rule for license renewal, Respondent shall complete an additional eighteen (18) hours of continuing education to address the issues raised in the Complaints. Six (6) hours of continuing education shall be in record keeping and/or maintaining business records; six (6) hours of continuing education shall be in informed consent; and six (6) hours of continuing education shall be in the areas of ethics/ billing practices. Within fourteen (14) days of completing this continuing education, Respondent shall submit to the Board's Executive Director the certificates of completion.
- Practice Monitor pre-approved by the Board's Executive Director and who will provide professional guidance and input to Respondent with respect to his practice in performing evaluations, Informed Consent, record keeping and billing practices.
- 27. Within twenty (20) business days of the effective date of this Consent Agreement, Respondent shall enter into agreement with the following psychologist to serve as his Practice Monitor, or Respondent may self-select a psychologist who is approved by the Board's Executive Director. Respondent shall submit the name of the psychologist to the Board's Executive Director for approval within ten (10) days of the effective date of this agreement. The Practice Monitor shall have no familial or business relationship with Respondent:

John Toma, Ph.D. 207 East Monterey Way Phoenix, AZ 85012

602-957-8822

28. Respondent shall meet with the Practice Monitor at least twice per month for a minimum of two hours each session during the first sixth months of the probationary period. Thereafter, the Respondent shall meet with the Practice Monitor once per month for a minimum of two hours. The Practice Monitor shall review a minimum of three of Respondent's recent

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

patient records during the course of the first six months of the probationary period and discuss with Respondent the Monitor's findings, conclusions, and recommendations for improvement. The Practice Monitor shall also discuss Respondent's informed consent policies and forms, procedures for presenting clients with such forms, and business record keeping. Thereafter, the Practice Monitor shall review at least two of Respondent's patient records during the course of the second sixth-month probationary period. The Practice Monitor shall submit to the Board quarterly written reports of the meetings, to include topics covered and any modifications made to Respondent's practice. The Practice Monitor shall provide written reports to the Board 30 days after the end of each quarter. The first quarter shall begin on the date of the first session between Respondent and the Practice Monitor. Before the conclusion of the probationary period, the Practice Monitor is to complete a final written report to the Board summarizing his or her interaction with Respondent, topics discussed, areas of progress, matters of remaining concern, and overall impressions. The final report shall be submitted to the Board within 30 days of the end of the probation. Respondent shall present this Consent Agreement to the Board-approved Practice Monitor before the first meeting. The first meeting between Respondent and the Practice Monitor shall occur within 45 days of the effective date of this Consent Agreement.

- 29. If, during the probationary period, the Practice Monitor is unable or unwilling to continue to act as Respondent's Practice Monitor, within 10 business days of the Practice Monitor's termination of the practice monitor relationship, Respondent shall contact the Board in writing and request additional names of other potential Practice Monitors approved by the Board. Respondent shall notify the Board of his new Practice Monitor within 20 business days after the names of additional Practice Monitor(s) are provided.
- 30. <u>TERMINATION OF PROBATION:</u> At the end of one year, unless otherwise ordered by the Board, Respondent may petition the Board, in writing, and request termination from probation. Respondent may request termination of probation after six months of probation and with the Practice Monitor's recommendation. If the Board determines that Respondent has

not complied with the requirements of this Consent Agreement, the Board may either (a) continue the probation, or (b) institute proceedings for noncompliance with this Consent Agreement, which may result in the suspension, revocation, or other disciplinary or remedial action.

- 31. <u>CONTINUED APPLICATION OF TERMS</u>: If, between the effective date of this Consent Agreement and the termination of Respondent's probation by the Board, Respondent fails to renew his license while under this Consent Agreement and subsequently applies for a license, the remaining terms of this Consent Agreement, including probation and monitoring, shall be imposed if the application for licensure is granted.
- 32. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement shall not become effective unless and until adopted by the Arizona Board of Psychologist Examiners and executed on behalf of the Board. Any modification to this original document is ineffective and void unless mutually approved by the parties in writing.
- 33. <u>CONSIDERATION IN FUTURE ACTIONS:</u> Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement, or any part thereof, may be considered in any future disciplinary action against him.
- 34. <u>FINAL RESOLUTION:</u> This Consent Agreement constitutes a final resolution of these disciplinary matters but does not constitute a dismissal or resolution of other matters currently pending before the Board, if any, and does not constitute any waiver, expressed or implied, of the Board's statutory authority or jurisdiction regarding any other pending or future investigations, actions, or proceedings. Further, this Consent Agreement does not preclude any other agency, subdivision, or officer of this State from instituting other civil or criminal proceedings with respect to the conduct that is the subject of this Consent Agreement.
 - 35. <u>TIME</u>: Time is of the essence with regard to this Consent Agreement.
- 36. <u>COSTS:</u> The Respondent shall be responsible for all costs incurred as a result of his compliance with this Consent Agreement.

victorec

John DenBoer, Ph.D.

COPY mailed by US postal service

Address on Record

25

26

| 1 | this 9th day of September, 2018 to: |
|--|--|
| 2 | J. Arthur Eaves, Esq. Sanders & Parks, P.C. |
| 3 | 3030 North Third Street, Stc. 1300 |
| 4 | Phoenix, AZ 85012 |
| 5 | COPY of the foregoing emailed this day of of 2018, to: |
| 6 | Jeanne M. Galvin, AAG |
| 7 | Arizona Attorney General's Office SGD/LES |
| 8 | 2005 North Central Ave. |
| 9 | Phoenix, Arizona 85004 |
| | |
| 10 | By Honolded Denatter A |
| | By: Howtry Broadies |
| 10 | By: Howky Broadtees |
| 10 11 | By: Howby Broadcos |
| 10 11 12 | By: Howtra Broadcas |
| 10 11 12 13 | By: Howtry Broadtes |
| 10 11 12 13 14 | By: Howard Broadcas |
| 10 11 12 13 14 15 | By: Hawky Broadcas |
| 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | By: Howhy Decades |