25

26

27

28

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGIST EXAMINERS FOR THE STATE OF ARIZONA

In the Matter of:

Scott Padilla, Psy.D.,

Holder of License No. PSY-004881 For the Practice of Psychology In the State of Arizona. Case Nos. 18-34, 20-41, 21-04

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND CONSENT AGREEMENT FOR SURRENDER OF PSYCHOLOGIST LICENSE

In the interest of a prompt and judicious settlement of the above-captioned matter before the Arizona Board of Psychologist Examiners ("Board") and consistent with public interest, statutory requirements and responsibilities of the Board and pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2061 *et seq.*, and A.R.S. § 41-1092.07(F)(5), Scott Padilla, Psy.D. ("Respondent"), holder of License No. PSY-004881 and the Board enter into this Consent Agreement for Voluntary Surrender of Psychologist License ("Consent Agreement") as the final disposition of these matters.

JURISDICTION

- 1. The Board is authorized to regulate the practice of psychology in Arizona pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2061, *et. seq.*, and the rules promulgated thereunder, found in Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C." or "rules") at R4-26-101, *et seq.*, to regulate and control the licensing of psychologists in the State of Arizona.
- 2. Respondent is the holder of license number PSY-004881 for the practice of psychology in the State of Arizona.
- 3. The Board has personal and subject matter jurisdiction over Respondent pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2061, *et seq.*, and the rules of A.A.C. R4-26-101, *et seq.*

RECITALS

Respondent understands and agrees that:

1. The Board and Respondent enter into this Consent Agreement to promptly and

judiciously resolve this matter, consistent with the public interest and the statutory requirements of the Board.

- 2. Respondent has the right to consult with an attorney prior to entering into this Consent Agreement.
- 3. Respondent has a right to a public hearing concerning this case. He further acknowledges that at such formal hearing he could present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. Respondent irrevocably waives his right to such a hearing.
- 4. Respondent irrevocably waives any right to rehearing or review or to any judicial review or any other appeal to this matter.
- 5. Respondent acknowledges and agrees that the acceptance of this Consent Agreement is solely to settle this Board matter and does not preclude the Board from instituting other proceedings as may be appropriate now or in the future.
- 6. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement does not constitute a dismissal or resolution of any other matters currently pending before the Board, if any, and does not constitute any waiver, express or implied, of the Board's statutory authority or jurisdiction regarding any other pending or future investigation, action or proceeding.
- 7. Furthermore, and notwithstanding any language in this Consent Agreement, this Consent Agreement does not preclude in any way any other state agency or officer or political subdivision of this state from instituting proceedings, investigating claims, or taking legal action as may be appropriate now or in the future relating to this matter or other matters concerning Respondent, including violations of the Arizona Consumer Protection Act. Respondent acknowledges that, other than with respect to the Board, this Consent Agreement makes no representations, implied or otherwise, about the views or intended actions of any other state agency or officer or political subdivision of the state relating to this matter or other matters concerning Respondent.

- 8. This Consent Agreement shall be subject to the approval by the Board and shall be effective only when approved by the Board and signed by the Board's Executive Director. In the event that the Board does not approve this Consent Agreement, it is withdrawn and shall be of no evidentiary value and shall not be relied upon nor introduced in any action by any party, except the parties agree that should the Board reject this Consent Agreement and this case proceeds to hearing, Respondent shall assert no claim that the Board was prejudiced by its review and discussion of this document or any records relating thereto.
- 9. The Consent Agreement, once approved by the Board and signed by the Respondent and the Executive Director, shall constitute a public record, which may be disseminated as a formal action of the Board and shall be reported to the National Practitioner Data Bank.
- 10. Although Respondent does not agree that all the Findings of Fact set forth in this Consent Agreement are supported by the evidence, Respondent acknowledges that it is the Board's position that, if this matter proceeded to formal hearing, the Board could establish sufficient evidence to support a conclusion that certain of Respondent's conduct constituted unprofessional conduct.
- 11. Respondent voluntarily enters into this Consent Agreement for the purpose of avoiding the expense, uncertainty, and prolonged time involved in further administrative proceedings. The issues contained herein are resolved by settlement and not actually litigated. Any allegations and findings herein may not be used for *res judicata* or collateral estoppel effect in any subsequent civil proceedings for any claims of professional liability or negligence by or on behalf of Complainant(s).
- 12. Nothing stated herein shall or can be construed as an admission of any fact or allegation by Respondent.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Respondent practiced psychology in Virginia from January of 2013 through June of 2018.
- 2. Respondent was first licensed in Arizona on August 11, 2017. He has maintained a private practice in Tucson, Arizona.

Complaint No. 18-34

- 3. On December 13, 2018, the Board received Complaint No. 18-34 from a patient's ("Patient") ex-husband ("Complainant"), alleging that Respondent had an inappropriate relationship with Patient, that included excessive texting and lengthy phone calls during late hours.
- 4. Respondent treated Patient from July 2017 April 2018 for multiple behavioral health issues, including Borderline Personality Disorder. Respondent acknowledged that he does not have experience, nor is he equipped, in treating Borderline Personality Disorder.
- 5. According to the Patient's phone log, Patient and Respondent exchanged approximately 40 phone calls after therapy had been terminated. Several of the calls were longer than 30 minutes and occurred late at night such as 11 p.m. or 12 a.m.
- 6. Respondent does acknowledge that he crossed boundaries with Patient after services were terminated and that it was inappropriate to engage in late night communication with former Patient. Respondent represents that the purpose of these calls was intended to provide support to a former patient.
 - 7. Respondent denied having copies of his text message correspondence with Patient.
- 8. Respondent has indicated that, since the events that gave rise to the allegations in this complaint, Respondent has taken steps to implement new practices that directly address the concerns raised in this matter. In addition, Respondent indicated that he will not treat patients with Borderline Personality Disorder and will refer them out for treatment.
- 9. On August 9, 2019, the Board held an Informal Interview for Complaint No. 18-34 and voted to issue Respondent an Order for Probation (minimum of 12 months), Supervision, and

Continuing Education ("Order"). The effective date of the Order was September 20, 2019.

10. While his license was on probation, the Board received two complaints against Respondent, as described below.

Complaint No. 20-41

- 11. On March 3, 2020, the Board received Complaint No. 20-41 from L.D., who was Respondent's former patient in Virginia. Respondent treated L.D. from July 2014 through May of 2017. During therapy they developed a personal relationship that continued after the termination of therapy until November of 2018, when L.D. ceased contact with Respondent.
- 12. Initially, L.D. saw Respondent in therapy once per week for a one hour session and that quickly increased to twice per week, then three 1-hour sessions per week and then two 90-minute sessions per week.
- 13. At the start of therapy, Respondent provided L.D. with an email address and his personal cell phone number telling her that she could contact him at any time via email, text or phone call. Further, he told her that he provides this information as "free rein" for open dialogue between sessions to all of his clients free of charge.
- 14. Respondent and L.D. emailed, texted and/or spoke on the phone almost daily. The record contains over 2,200 emails exchanged with Respondent and thousands of text messages. Respondent also shared several selfies with L.D.
- 15. As time progressed, the boundaries of their therapeutic relationship became increasingly less defined and the relationship developed into a friendship and became inappropriate and unprofessional. Specific examples of boundary violations include:
 - a. During the sessions, there was physical contact between Respondent and L.D. The contact included sitting in session with Respondent's arm around L.D., rubbing L.D.'s back, Respondent touching L.D.'s hand and prolonged hugs; Respondent also gave her a neck massage and a hand massage;
 - b. In the final year of therapy, Respondent would contact L.D. nearly every day and let her know when he was leaving the office so she could come by and give him hugs. Respondent often stopped by L.D.'s home to give her a hug;

- c. There is no evidence that Respondent and L.D. engaged in any type of sexual contact.
- 16. Respondent never suggested L.D. enter a hospital nor did he hospitalize her when she made a suicide attempt.
- 17. L.D. told Respondent many times that the therapy seemed to be making her increasingly more dependent on Respondent for comfort and security. She began to experience intense anxiety about the possibility of coming to need and depend on Respondent and he continually reassured her that we would not leave her and the intensity of her feelings was normal.
- 18. Respondent failed to provide his treatment records for this patient. He maintains that he kept L.D.'s treatment records in a box in a shed in his backyard and mice and other rodents got into the box and destroyed the files.
- 19. In his written response to the Board Respondent stated that "I take full responsibility for, and deny nothing that the client has reported to you about my interactions with her during and following her treatment which occurred at may private practice in Virginia between 2014 and 2017."

Complaint No. 21-04

- 20. On October 16, 2020, the Board received Complaint No. 21-04 from Patient referenced in Complaint no. 18-34. Patient participated in individual therapy with Respondent from July 2018 August 2019. Patient in this case was the same patient at the center of case no. 18-34 filed against Respondent by Patient's ex-husband. She previously denied the inappropriate relationship with Respondent when Ex-Husband filed his complaint against Respondent (no. 18-34) because she felt the need to protect Respondent.
- 21. Patient alleges that her relationship with Respondent included a consensual sexual act and the mutual exchange of explicit photos.
- 22. Communication between the two continued after Complaint no. 18-34 was adjudicated and Respondent was placed on probation. Patient alleges Respondent told her to

destroy text messages between the two of them.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The conduct and circumstances alleged above constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to:
 - a. A.R.S. § 32-2061(e), gross negligence in the practice of a psychologist;
- b. A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(f), sexual intimacies or sexual intercourse with a current client or patient or a supervisee or with a former client or patient within two years after the cessation or termination of treatment.
- c. A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(g), engaging or offering to engage as a psychologist in activities not congruent with the psychologist's professional education, training and experience.
- d. A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(h), failing or refusing to maintain and retain adequate business, financial or professional records pertaining to the psychological services provided to a client or patient;
- e. A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(o), engaging in activities as a psychologist that are unprofessional by current standards of practice;
- f. A.R.S. § 32-2061(16)(dd), violating an ethical standard adopted by the Board as it pertains to sections 3.04 (Avoiding Harm) and 3.05 (Multiple Relationships) of the American Psychological Association Ethical Principles of Psychologist and Code of Conduct.

ORDER

Pursuant to A.R.S. §32-2081(S), the Board has determined that the Respondent's conduct in Complaint Nos. 18-34, 20-41, and 21-04 warrants disciplinary action. Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the parties agree to the provisions and penalties imposed as follows:

1. Upon the effective date of this Consent Agreement, Respondent's license number PSY-004881 for the practice of psychology in the State of Arizona shall be surrendered. Once the

surrender is effectuated, Respondent shall not practice psychology in the State of Arizona or hold himself out as a licensed psychologist in the State of Arizona. The effective date of this Consent Agreement is the date the Consent Agreement is accepted by the Board as evidenced by the signature of the Board's Executive Director.

- 2. Respondent has read and understands this Consent Agreement as set forth herein, and has had the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney or has waived the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement for the purpose of avoiding the expense and uncertainty of an administrative hearing.
- 3. Respondent understands that he has the right to a public administrative hearing concerning each and every allegation set forth in the above-captioned matter, at which administrative hearing he could present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. By entering into this Consent Agreement, Respondent freely and voluntarily relinquishes all rights to such administrative hearing, as well as all rights of rehearing, review, reconsideration, appeal, judicial review or any other administrative and/or judicial action, concerning the matters set forth herein. Respondent affirmatively agrees that this Consent Agreement shall be irrevocable.
- 4. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement, or any part thereof, may be considered in any future disciplinary action against him or in any future decision regarding relicensure.
- 5. The parties agree that this Consent Agreement does not constitute a dismissal or resolution of other matters currently pending before the Board, if any, and does not constitute any waiver, expressed or implied, of the Board's statutory authority or jurisdiction regarding any other pending or future investigation, action or proceeding. Respondent also understands that acceptance of the Consent Agreement does not preclude any other agency, subdivision or officer of this state from instituting other civil or criminal proceedings with respect to the conduct that is subject of this Consent Agreement. The parties agree that this Consent Agreement is a final adjudication of Complaint Nos. 18-34, 20-41, and 21-04.

1	6. Respondent understands that the foregoing Consent Agreement shall not become
2	effective unless and until adopted by the Board and executed on behalf of the Board. Any
3	modification to this original document is ineffective and void unless mutually approved by both
4	parties in writing.
5	7. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement is a public record and may
6	be publicly disseminated as a formal action of the Board and shall be reported to the National
7	Practitioner Data Bank.
8	
9	DATED this 6 day of November, 2020.
10 11	Arizona Board of Psychologist Examiners
12	Hudi House Pauxemen
13	
14	Scott Padilla, Psy.D. Heidi Herbst Paakkonen Respondent Executive Director
15	
16 17	ORIGINAL filed
18	This <u>6</u> day of <u>November</u> , 2020 to:
19	Arizona State Board of Psychologist Examiners 1740 W. Adams St., Suite 3403
20	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
21	COPY of the foregoing mailed by Certified Mail No. 9489009000276155201893
22	This <u>6</u> day of <u>November</u> , 2020 to:
23	Scott Padilla, Psy.D. Address on Record
24	Respondent
25	COPY of the foregoing mailed by USPS regular mail
26	This 6 day of November, 2020 to:
27 28	Dan Cavett, Esq. Cavett & Fulton, P.C. 6035 E. Grant Road

	T 17 05710
1	Tucson, AZ 85712 Attorney for Respondent
2	
3	COPY of the foregoing via email (jeanne.galvin@azag.gov) This 6 day of November, 2020 to:
4	Jeanne M. Galvin
5	Assistant Attorney General
6	2005 North Central Ave. SGD/LES Phoenix, Arizona 85004
7	Jeanne.galvin@azag.gov
8	Attorney for the State of Arizona
9	By: pering application
10	9059921
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	·
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	