

Board Members

Frederick S. Wechsler, Psy.D., ABPP
Chair
Janice K. Brundage, Ph.D.
Vice-Chair
Joseph C. Donaldson
Secretary
Bob Bohanske, Ph.D.
John P. DiBacco, Ph.D.
Daniel Larson
Ramona N. Mellott, Ph.D.



**State of Arizona
Board of Psychologist Examiners**

1400 West Washington, Suite 235
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Phone: (602) 542-8162 Fax: (602) 542-8279
www.psychboard.az.gov

Application Review Committee

Staff

Dr. Cindy Olvey
Executive Director

Megan Martin
Deputy Director

Heather Duracinski
Licensing Coordinator

**MINUTES OF TELEPHONIC MEETING
July 3, 2012
7:30 a.m.**

1400 West Washington
Suite #235
Phoenix, AZ 85007

1. CALL TO ORDER

The regular session of the Arizona State Board of Psychologist Examiners Application Review Committee was called to order by Dr. Wechsler at 7:30 a.m. on July 3, 2012. No Executive Sessions were held.

2. ROLL CALL

Committee Members Participating by Telephone

Frederick S. Wechsler, Psy.D., ABPP – Chair
Janice K. Brundage, Ph.D.

Staff Present

Heather Duracinski – Licensing Coordinator

3. DISCUSSION DECISION REGARDING APPROVAL OF ARC MINUTES

• Regular Session Minutes-May 30, 2012

Regular session minutes from the May 1, 2012, Application Review Committee Meeting were considered for approval. Dr. Brundage made a motion, seconded by Dr. Wechsler to approve the minutes as drafted. Motion carried 2-0.

4. DISCUSSION DECISION REGARDING APPROVAL OF PSYCHOLOGY APPLICANTS

Requesting Approval to sit for Examination (EPPP) & Licensure

Nader Babai-Siahdohoni, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Babai-Siahdohoni's re-application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Babai-Siahdohoni's re-application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Kathleen Brennan-Glynn, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Brennan-Glynn’s application and subsequent materials. Upon review of Dr. Brennan-Glynn’s application the Committee noted that she accumulated 2,835 supervised work experience hours toward licensure which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(D) “Each applicant for licensure shall obtain three thousand hours of supervised professional work experiences.” At this time Dr. Brennan-Glynn remains 165 hours deficient in her supervised work experience. Upon review of Dr. Brennan-Glynn’s Supervised Preinternship Experience Verification form from CODAC Behavioral Health Services, Inc, the Committee noted that Dr. Brennan-Glynn’s Director of Clinical Training, Dr. Giannetti, indicated on page 20, question # 10, that 67% of supervision was provided by a licensed psychologist and that 23% of supervision was provided by a licensed mental health professional. The Committee noted that if Dr. Giannetti’s calculations are correct then Dr. Brennan-Glynn only obtained 90% of supervision. Upon review of Dr. Brennan-Glynn’s Supervised Psychology Internship or Training Experience Verification form, the Committee noted that Dr. Pereyra did not submit a corrected form indicating that Dr. Brennan-Glynn’s supervised psychology internship was not APA approved. Upon review of Dr. Brennan-Glynn’s Supervised Postdoctoral Professional Psychology Experience Verification form from Conmed Healthcare Management Inc., Pima County Sheriff’s Department/Pima County Adult Detention Center, her supervisor, Dr. Kruger, indicated that Dr. Brennan-Glynn received a total of 294 hours experience. Upon review of Dr. Brennan-Glynn’s Supervised Postdoctoral Professional Psychology Experience Verification form from Conmed Healthcare management Corporations at Pima County Adult Detention Complex and Pima County Sherriff Department, her supervisor, Dr. Stropko, indicated Dr. Brennan-Glynn received a total of 140 hours of experience. Subsequent calculations indicated that Dr. Brennan-Glynn received a total of 434 hours of supervised postdoctoral professional psychology experience. The Committee noted that on page 7 of Dr. Brennan-Glynn’s application she indicated that she has completed a total of 359 hours of supervised postdoctoral professional psychology experience. Additionally, the Committee noted that Dr. Brennan-Glynn answered question number 29 on page 7 of the application incorrectly. The Committee is requesting clarification regarding the percentage of supervision Dr. Brennan-Glynn received during her supervised preinternship experience, the total amount of supervised postdoctoral experience hours she obtained and that Dr. Pereyra submit a corrected supervised psychology internship or experience verification form indicating that Dr. Brennan-Glynn’s internship was not APA approved. Additionally, the Committee requested that Dr. Brenna-Glynn correct question number 29 and return page 7 of the application to the Board office.

India Collins, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Collins’ application and subsequent materials. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Collons’ application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Stuart Friedman, Psy.D. – Dr. Wechsler recused himself from this item, and therefore the matter was moved by the Committee to the full Board for review and approval due to lack of a quorum.

Timothy Greenhill, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Greenhill’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Greenhill’s application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Nancy Hagener, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Hagener’s re-application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Hagener’s re-application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Amanda Herges, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Herges’ application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Herges’ application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Carol Jernigan, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Jernigan’s re-application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Jernigan’s re-application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Mary Mika, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Mika’s application and subsequent materials. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Mika’s application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Christine Pereira, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Pereira’s re-application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Pereira’s re-application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Elizabeth Powell, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Powell’s application and subsequent materials. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Powell’s application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Alexander Renelt, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Renelt’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Renelt’s application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Jennifer Tickal, Psy.D.
Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Tickal’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Tickal’s application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Brundage, to forward the applications of Nader Babai-Siahdohoni, Ph.D., Inida Collins, Psy.D., Timothy Greenhill, Psy.D., Nancy Hagener, Psy.D., Amanda Herges, Psy.D., Carol Jernigan, Psy.D., Mary Mika, Psy.D., Christine Pereira, Psy.D., Elizabeth Powell, Psy.D., Alexander Renelt, Ph.D. and Jennifer Tickal, Psy.D., to the full Board for review and approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee, and to issue a SRAID letter to Kathleen Brennan-Glynn, Ph.D., regarding the deficiencies discussed in her application and to forward Stuart Friedman, Psy.D. to the full Board for lack of a quorum. The motion carried (2-0).

Requesting Approval of Licensure by Waiver

Becky Jandrey, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Jandrey's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Jandrey's application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Jason Metz, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Metz's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Metz's application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Yoendry Torres, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Torres' application and subsequent materials. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Torres' application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Jay Uomoto, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Uomoto's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Uomoto's application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Brundage, to forward the applications of Becky Jandrey, Ph.D., Jason Metz, Psy.D., Yoendry Torres, Psy.D. and Jay Uomoto, Ph.D. to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee. The motion carried (2-0).

Requesting Approval of Supervised Professional Experience Hours and Licensure

Polly Van Rensburg, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Van Rensburg's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that on Dr. Van Rensburg's Postdoctoral Professional Psychology Experience Verification form from Scottsdale Unified School District, her supervisor, Dr. Cohen, indicated that Dr. Van Rensburg completed a total of 2,000+ postdoctoral experience hours. Upon reviewing Dr. Cohen's subsequent calculations, it was noted that Dr. Van Rensburg worked 40 +/- hours per week for 73 weeks. The Committee noted that if Dr. Van Rensburg obtained 40 hours of experience for 73 weeks, as indicated on the form, then her total number of experience hours would be 2,920 hours. Additionally, Dr. Cohen indicated that Dr. Van Rensburg obtained 119 hours of individual, face-to-face supervision which does not meet the statutory requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(G)(5) "Regular face-to-face, individual supervision is conducted on a contemporaneous basis, with a minimum of one hour of

face-to-face, individual supervision for each twenty hours of supervised professional experience.” For example, if Dr. Van Rensburg worked 40 hours per week for 73 weeks then she would need 146 hours of individual face-to-face supervision to meet the statutory requirement. At this time the Committee is requesting clarification regarding Dr. Van Rensburg total hours of postdoctoral experience and her individual, face-to-face supervision hours.

Christina Vasquez, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Vasquez’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Vasquez’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Dr. Brundage made a motion, seconded by Dr. Wechsler, to forward the application of Christina Vasquez, Ph.D. to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee and to issue a RAID letter to Polly Van Rensburg, Ph.D. regarding the deficiencies discussed in her application. The motion carried (2-0).

5. DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING APPROVAL OF BEHAVIOR ANALYST APPLICANTS

Requesting Approval of Behavior Analyst Licensure by Experience:

Alexis Boglio, MA., BCBA – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Boglio’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that Ms. Boglio failed to complete question number 26 on page 4 of the application. The Committee is requesting Ms. Boglio complete question number 26 and return page 4 to the Board office.

Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Brundage, to issue Alexis Boglio, MA, BCBA, regarding the deficiency discussed in her application. The motion carried (2-0).

6. NEW AGENDA ITEMS FOR FUTURE MEETINGS

There were no new items for future meetings.

7. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Committee, Dr. Brundage made a motion, seconded by Dr. Wechsler, to adjourn the meeting at 8:28 a.m.

Frederick S. Wechsler, Psy.D., ABPP - Chair
Application Review Committee