

Board Members

Bob Bohanske, Ph.D.
Chair
John P. DiBacco, Ph.D.
Vice-Chair
Joseph C. Donaldson
Secretary
Paul Beljan, Psy.D. ABPdN, ABN
Janice K. Brundage, Ph.D.
Ramona Mellott, Ph.D.
Rob Robichaud
Tamara Shreeve, MPA
Frederick S. Wechsler, Ph.D., Psy.D., ABPP



**State of Arizona
Board of Psychologist Examiners**

1400 West Washington, Suite 240
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Phone: (602) 542-8162 Fax: (602) 542-8279
www.psychboard.az.gov

Application Review Committee

Staff

Dr. Cindy Olvey
Executive Director
Lynanne Chapman
Deputy Director
Heather Duracinski
Licensing Coordinator

**MINUTES OF TELEPHONIC MEETING
December 3, 2013
7:30 a.m.**

1400 West Washington
Suite #240
Phoenix, AZ 85007

1. CALL TO ORDER

The regular session of the Arizona State Board of Psychologist Examiners Application Review Committee was called to order by Chairman Wechsler at 7:30 a.m. on December 3, 2013. No Executive Sessions were held.

2. ROLL CALL

Committee Members Participating by Telephone

Frederick S. Wechsler, Ph.D., Psy.D., ABPP – Chair
Paul Beljan, Psy.D., ABPdN, ABN

Staff Present

Cindy Olvey – Executive Director
Heather Duracinski – Licensing Coordinator

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES – October 25, 2013, Regular Session Minutes

Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Beljan, to approve the October 25, 2013, Regular Session Minutes with noted corrections. The motion carried 2-0.

4. DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING APPROVAL OF PSYCHOLOGY APPLICANTS

Requesting Approval to sit for Examination (EPPP) Only

Kathleen Bree, Psy.D. – Dr. Beljan recused from reviewing this agenda item. Due to lack of a quorum Dr. Bree's application was forwarded to the full Board for review.

Requesting Approval to sit for Examination (EPPP) & Licensure

Lori Aman, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Aman's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and

fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Aman's application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Tyler Davis, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Davis' application and subsequent submission. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Davis' application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Brandy Gardner, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Gardner's reapplication. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Gardner's reapplication to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Alicia Goodman, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Goodman's application and subsequent submission. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Goodman's application to the full Board for further review of her postdoctoral experience as it may not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(G)(5).

Daniel Gross, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Gross' application. Upon review of his Postdoctoral Professional Psychology Experience Verification form from Hanbleceya Treatment Center, the Committee noted that his supervisor, Karlyn Pleasants, Psy.D., indicated that Dr. Gross worked 40 hours per week for 36 weeks. Dr. Pleasants' subsequent calculations indicate that Dr. Gross received a total of 68 hours of individual face-to-face supervision per week which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(G)(5). At this time Dr. Gross remains 4 hours deficient in his individual face-to-face supervision.

Krista Hanson, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Hanson's application and subsequent submission. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Hanson's application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Krista Hernandez, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Hernandez's application and subsequent submission. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Hernandez's application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Steven Knighten, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Knighten's application. Upon review of his Supervised Preinternship Experience Verification form from 12 & 12 Inc., his Department of Psychology and Counseling, Chair and Professor, Kenny Paris, Ph.D., indicated that Dr. Knighten worked 40 hours per week. Dr. Paris' subsequent calculations indicate that Dr. Knighten received 1 hour of individual face-to-face supervision per week which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(E)(4)(c). Additionally, the Committee noted that his supervisor answered no to question #9 on the preinternship verification form as well as indicating that none of Dr. Knighten's supervision was provided by a licensed psychologist. Furthermore the Committee noted that Dr. Knighten's experience at 12 & 12 Inc. was part of his master's program which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(E).

Brian McDonald, Psy.D. – Dr. Beljan recused from reviewing this agenda item. Due to lack of a quorum Dr. McDonald’s application was forwarded to the full Board for review.

Danielle Murray, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Murray’s application and subsequent submission. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Murray’s application to the full Board for further review of her supervised preinternship experience as it may not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(E).

Adam Perkins, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Perkins’s application and subsequent submission. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Perkins’s application to the full Board for further review of his supervised preinternship experience as it may not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(E).

Sarah Petty, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Petty’s reapplication and subsequent submission. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Petty’s reapplication to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Jessica Promisson, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Promisson’s reapplication. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Promisson’s reapplication to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

William Shunkamolah, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Shunkamolah’s application and subsequent submission. Upon review, the Committee noted that Dr. Shunkamolah received approximately 500 hours of postdoctoral experience and approximately 250 hours of direct client contact within a one month time frame. At this time, the Committee is requesting clarification regarding the amount of hours Dr. Shunkamolah received and documentation of his postdoctoral and supervision hours.

Charles Williams, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Williams’ reapplication. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Williams’ reapplication to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Beljan, to forward the applications of Lori Aman, Ph.D., Tyler Davis, Psy.D., Brandy Gardner, Psy.D., Kristen Hanson, Ph.D., Krista Hernandez, Psy.D., Sarah Petty, Psy.D., Jessica Promisson, Psy.D., and Charles Williams, Ph.D., to the full Board for review and approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee, to issue RAID letters to Daniel Gross, Psy.D., and Steven Knighten, Psy.D., regarding the deficiencies discussed in their applications, to issue a SRAID letter to William Shunkamolah, Ph.D., regarding the deficiency discussed in his application, and to move the applications of Alicia Goodman, Ph.D., Danielle Murray, Ph.D. and Adam Perkins, Ph.D., to the full Board for further review of their applications as they may not meet the statutory requirement and to move the application of Brian McDonald, Psy.D., to the full Board due to lack of a quorum. The motion carried (2-0).

Requesting Approval of Licensure by Waiver

Edward Kardell, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Kardell’s application. Upon review of his application, the Committee noted that on question #25

he listed that he attended Pace University from 1981-1994 and obtained his doctorate degree in 1994. The Committee is requesting that he provide an explanation as to why he was enrolled in his doctorate program for 13 years. Additionally, upon review of his application, the Committee noted that on question #35 B. D. & E. of the application, he indicated that he was employed at Brick Township Board of Education at 35 hours per week from 12/1984 – 2/2012, he was in private practice at 14 hours per week from 12/1984 – 2/2012, and he was employed at Arthur Brisbane Child Treatment Center at 35 hours per week from 1984 – 1994. The Committee noted that from 1984 – 1994 he worked 84 hours per week. The Committee is requesting clarification as to whether this information is correct. Furthermore, upon review of his application, the Committee noted that on question #36 he answered “no”. The Committee noted that he did not complete the core program requirement section. Additionally, the Committee noted that a letter was sent from Pace University indicating that his program was accredited by the American Psychological Association (APA) at the time of his graduation. At this time, the Committee is requesting clarification whether his program was APA accredited.

Stephanie Korff, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Korff’s application. Upon review of her Supervised Preinternship Experience Verification form from Senior Connections, her Field Placement Coordinator, Rodney Ford, Ph.D., indicated that Dr. Korff received a total of 2,370 hours from October 2003 – July 2008. His subsequent calculations indicate that Dr. Korff received 2,300 hours of Direct Patient/Client Contact hours. The Committee noted that Dr. Korff would only have had an additional 70 hours for administrative duties, such as report writing. The Committee is requesting that Dr. Korff provide an explanation as to how much time she spent in administrative duties. Additionally, the Committee noted that her supervisor did not complete the section of the preinternship form that asks how Face-To-Face supervision was distributed on a weekly basis (group vs. individual). At this time, the Committee is requesting clarification as to Dr. Korff’s total amount of preinternship experience hours she received, clarification of her Direct Patient/Client Contact hours and Face-To-Face supervision hours. Additionally, the Committee is requesting that the information regarding weekly supervision be provided. Upon review of her Postdoctoral Professional Psychology Experience Verification form from Senior Connections, the Committee noted that her supervisor, David Agnor, Ph.D., indicated that Dr. Korff worked 30 hours per week for 208 weeks and obtained 6,000 postdoctoral hours. The Committee noted that if Dr. Korff worked 30 hours per week for 208 weeks she would have obtained 6,240 hours. Additionally, Dr. Agnor indicated that Dr. Korff obtained 300 hours of individual face-to-face supervision which does not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(G)(5). At this time, Dr. Korff is 116 hours deficient in individual face-to-face supervision. Additionally, the Committee noted that both her preinternship and postdoctoral experience were completed at the same facility, Senior Connections. The Committee is requesting an explanation as to the timeline of her experiences.

Michelle Melton, Psy.D. – Dr. Wechsler recused from reviewing this agenda item. Due to lack of a quorum Dr. Melton’s application was forwarded to the full Board for review.

Richard Wemhoff, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Wemhoff’s application. Upon review of his application, the Committee noted that his degree in Education from the University of Idaho may not fulfill the requirements of A.R.S. § 32-2071(A). At this time, the Committee is requesting clarification as to how his degree in education meets the statutory requirement. Upon review of his application, the Committee noted that he did not indicate how many hours he completed for his Research Method and Statistics courses (Research Design Ed. 501, Current research Guid. 501, Advanced Statistics Ed. 503) or for his Assessment (Psychometric Assessment Guid. 527, Psychological Tests Psy. 541) courses. At this time, the Committee is requesting that he complete how many hours he obtained for the above noted courses and whether they were Semester, Quarter or Trimester hours.

Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Beljan, to issue RAID letters to Edward Kardell, Psy.D, Stephanie Korff, Ph.D., and Richard Wemhoff, Ph.D., regarding the deficiencies discussed in their applications, and to move the application of Michelle Melton, Psy.D., to the full Board due to lack of a quorum. The motion carried (2-0).

Requesting Approval of Licensure by Credential

Laura Thompson, Ph.D., NRHSPP – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Thompson’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Thompson’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Beljan, to forward the application of Laura Thompson, Ph.D., NRHSPP, to the full Board for approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated license fee. The motion carried (2-0).

5. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD PERTAINING TO APPROVAL OF BEHAVIOR ANALYST APPLICANTS

Requesting Approval of Licensure by Experience

Umut Catron, M.Ed. - Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Umut’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Ms. Umut’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Ashley Mathie, M.Ed. - Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Mathie’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Ms. Mathie’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Cailin Ockert, M.S. - Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Ockert’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Ms. Mathie’s application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Courtney Schinbeckler, M.S. - Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Schinbeckler’s application and subsequent submission. The Committee noted that on the application you answered “yes” to question #14. The Committee reviewed the letter that was sent to the Board office from Laura Grant. The Committee had concerns regarding Ms. Grant’s letter as it appeared that the letter was written as a recommendation letter for employment. Additionally, the Committee requested that the letter be sent directly to the Board office. The Committee expressed concern as Ms. Grant did not indicate to whom the recommendation letter was addressed.

Adam Stokey, M.A. - Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Mr. Stokey's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Mr. Stokey's application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Samantha Wagner, M.Ed. - Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Wagner's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Ms. Wagner's application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Kathleen Walsh, M.Ed. - Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Walsh's application and subsequent submission. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Ms. Walsh's application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Elizabeth Wielebinski, M.Ed. - Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Wielebinski's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Ms. Wielebinski's application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Beljan, to forward the applications of Umut Catron, M.Ed., Ashley Mathie, M.Ed., Cailin Ockert, M.S., Adam Stokey, M.A., Samantha Wagner, M.Ed., Kathleen Walsh, M.Ed., and Elizabeth Wielebinski, M.Ed., to the full Board for approval of licensure upon payment of the pro-rated licensure fee and to issue a FRAID letter to Courtney Schinbeckler, M.S., regarding the deficiency discussed in her application. The motion carried (2-0).

6. NEW AGENDA ITEMS FOR FUTURE MEETINGS

Committee members requested that an item pertaining to the behavior analyst supervision form be placed on a future agenda.

Committee members requested that an item regarding timeliness of application materials be placed on a future agenda.

7. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Committee, Dr. Beljan made a motion, seconded by Dr. Wechsler, to adjourn the meeting at 8:44 a.m.

Frederick S. Wechsler, Ph.D., Psy.D., ABPP
Application Review Committee Chair