Board Members

Bob Bohanske, Ph.D.
Chair
John P. DiBacco, Ph.D.
Vice-Chair
Joseph C. Donaldson
Secretary
Paul Beljan, Psy.D. ABPdN, ABN
Janice K. Brundage, Ph.D.
Ramona N. Mellott, Ph.D.
Rob Robichaud
Tamara Shreeve, MPA
Frederick S. Wechsler, Ph.D., Psy.D, ABPP



State of Arizona Board of Psychologist Examiners

1400 West Washington, Suite 240 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Phone: (602) 542-8162 Fax: (602) 542-8279 www.psychboard.az.gov

Application Review Committee

MINUTES OF TELEPHONIC MEETING April 29, 2014 7:30 a.m.

1400 West Washington Suite #240 Phoenix, AZ 85007

1. CALL TO ORDER

The regular session of the Arizona State Board of Psychologist Examiners Application Review Committee was called to order by Chairman Wechsler at 7:30 a.m. on April 29, 2014. No Executive Sessions were held.

2. ROLL CALL

Committee Members Participating by Telephone

Frederick S. Wechsler, Ph.D., Psy.D., ABPP – Chair Janice K. Brundage, Ph.D.

Staff Present

Cindy Olvey – Executive Director Lynanne Chapman – Deputy Director Heather Duracinski – Licensing Coordinator

3. DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING APPROVAL OF PSYCHOLOGY APPLICANTS

Requesting Approval to sit for Examination (EPPP) Only

<u>Haley Oliver, Psy.D.</u> – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Oliver's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Oliver's application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP.

Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Brundage, to forward the application of Haley Oliver, Psy.D. to the full Board for review and approval to take the EPPP. The motion carried 2-0.

Dr. Cindy Olvey Executive Director

Lynanne Chapman Deputy Director

Heather Duracinski Licensing Coordinator

Requesting Approval to sit for Examination (EPPP) & Licensure

ClaireMarie Clark, Ph.D. - Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Clark's application and subsequent submissions. Upon review of Dr. Clark's Postdoctoral Professional Psychology Experience form from West Valley Family Development Center, the Committee noted that her supervisor, Valerie Kemper, Psy.D., indicated that Dr. Clark received a total of 2,000 hours of experience. Dr. Kemper's subsequent calculations indicate that Dr. Clark worked 40 hours a week for 52 weeks and received 101 hours of individual face-to-face supervision and obtained 1,012 hours of direct client contact which may not meet the requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(G)(5). At this time, the Committee is requesting clarification as to the number of hours Dr. Clark received of individual, face-to-face supervision and the number of hours she obtained of direct client contact for only those hours of postdoctoral experience hours she intends to use toward licensure. Additionally, the Committee is requesting that Dr. Clark submit documentation of her supervision as proof of the hours she obtained. Furthermore, the Committee determined that if Dr. Clark worked 40 hours per week for 52 weeks the required number of individual face-to-face supervision is 104 hours. The Committee is requesting additional information that she received one hour of individual face-to-face supervision for each twenty hours of experience during her postdoctoral experience as Dr. Kemper indicated she received 101 hours.

<u>Gustavo Franza, Psy.D.</u> – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Franza's reapplication. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Franza's reapplication to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

<u>Stuart Friedman, Psy.D.</u> – Dr. Wechsler recused from reviewing Dr. Friedman's reapplication. Due to lack of a quorum, Dr. Friedman's reapplication was moved to the full Board for review.

Rachael Grantham, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Grantham's application. Upon review of her application, the Committee noted that she answered question #29 b, on page 7 of the application incorrectly. Question #29 b specifically asks to specify the number of hours by category. The Committee is requesting that Dr. Grantham specify the number of hours she wishes to use toward licensure. Upon review of Dr. Grantham's Summary of Pre-Internship Supervised Professional Experiences, the Committee noted that Dr. Grantham indicated that she worked at Hacienda Health Care from December 2009 – May 2010. The Committee noted that her Supervised Preinternship Experience Verification forms do not include preinternship hours for Hacienda. At this time, the Committee is requesting clarification as to whether Dr. Grantham completed a preinternship experience with Hacienda. If she did complete a preinternship experience with Hacienda, the Committee requested that she confirm whether she intends to submit those hours for review.

<u>Stephen Holwerda, Psy.D.</u> – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Holwerda's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Holwerda's application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Melissa Huie, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Huie's reapplication. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move

Dr. Huie's reapplication to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

<u>Amber LaBelle, Psy.D.</u> – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. LaBelle's application and subsequent submission. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. LaBelle's application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Christopher Margeson, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Margeson's application. Upon review of his Core Program Requirements, the Committee noted that "Intro to Professional Problems" (EPS 670) and "Doctoral Practicum in Professional Practice" (EPS 740) may not meet the statutory requirements for Scientific and Professional Ethics and Standards in Psychology. The Committee is requesting that Dr. Margeson provide an explanation of how the above noted courses meet the statutory requirement. He may wish to provide his syllabi for the above mentioned courses in order to determine whether the courses meets the statutory requirement for Scientific and Professional Ethics and Standards in Psychology. Additionally, pursuant to A.R.S. §32-2071(A)(4) and Arizona Administrative Code R4-26-202(C), Dr. Margeson has the option to provide evidence that his Comprehensive Exam included ethics to meet the statutory requirement in lieu of providing his syllabi. Upon review of Dr. Margeson's Supervised Psychology Internship or Training Experience Verification form from ADHD Clinic of Flagstaff and Arizona Psychological Services, the Committee noted that his supervisor, Heather Nash, Ph.D., indicated that Dr. Margeson worked forty hours per week for 50 weeks and received a total of 122 hours of individual face-to-face supervision. Although Dr. Margeson's internship training agreement states that an intern will receive a minimum of one hour per 20 hours worked per week of individual face-to-face supervision, the Committee noted that he received more than the required amount of individual face-to-face supervision some weeks. Specifically, the Committee noted that the week of 8/27/2012 - 9/2/2012 he received 3.5 hours of individual face-to-face supervision and the week of 4/22/2013 - 4/28/2013 he received 3.75 hours of individual face-to-face supervision. At this time, the Committee is requesting clarification or confirmation from Dr. Margeson and Dr. Nash as to the amount of individual face-to-face supervision Dr. Margeson received and to the variation of individual face-to-face supervision he received per week.

Megan Orcutt, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Orcutt's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Orcutt's application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Mark Peugeot, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Peugeot's application. Upon review of his transcript from the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, the Committee noted that he received one quarter hour of ethics in "Ethics & RESP Conduct of RES" (IDO 704) and three quarter hours of ethics in "Ethics in Psychology" (MPO 527) for a total of four quarter hours which does not meet the statutory requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(A)(4)(a). At this time Dr. Peugeot is one quarter hour short in meeting the ethics requirement. Dr. Peugeot may submit evidence that ethics was embedded in another course by providing his syllabus for the course. Additionally, Pursuant to A.R.S. §32-2071(A)(4) and Arizona Administrative Code R4-26-202(C), Dr. Peugeot has the option to provide evidence that his Comprehensive Exam included ethics to meet the statutory requirement.

<u>Jessica Promisson, Psy.D.</u> – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Promisson's reapplication. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Promisson's reapplication to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

<u>Nicole Robello, Psy.D.</u> – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Robello's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Robello's application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

<u>Thelia Robinson, Psy.D.</u> – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Robinson's reapplication. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Robinson's reapplication to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

<u>Jenny Siddiqi, Psy.D.</u> – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Siddiqi's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Siddiqi's application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Najah Swartz, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Swartz's application. Upon review of her Supervised Psychology Internship or Training Experience form from Elulra Elementary School – Sunnyside School District and Internship Plan, the Committee noted that a secondary supervisor for her internship was not indicated which does not meet the statutory requirement of A.R.S. §32-2071(F)(2). At this time, the Committee is requesting clarification that Dr. Swartz's internship at Elulra Elementary School – Sunnyside School District, provided a secondary supervisor on staff during her internship.

<u>Anna Torrey, Ph.D.</u> – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Torrey's application and subsequent submission. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Torrey's application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

<u>LaShelle Zellner</u>, <u>Psy.D.</u> – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Zellner's application and subsequent submission. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Zellner's application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Brundage, to forward the applications of Gustavo Franza, Psy.D., Stephen Holwerda, Psy.D., Melissa Huie, Psy.D., Amber LaBelle, Psy.D., Megan Orcutt, Psy.D., Jessica Promisson, Psy.D., Nicole Robello, Psy.D., Thelia Robinson, Psy.D., Jenny Siddiqi, Psy.D., Anna Torrey, Ph.D., and LaShelle Zellner, Psy.D. to the full Board for review and approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee, to issue RAID letters to Rachael Grantham, Psy.D., Christopher Margeson, Ph.D., Mark Peugeot, Ph.D. and Najah Swartz, Ph.D. regarding the deficiencies noted in their applications, to issue a fourth RAID letter to ClaireMarie Clark,

Ph.D. regarding the deficiencies noted in her application and to move the application of Stuart Friedman, Psy.D., to the full Board for review due to lack of a quorum. The motion carried 2-0.

Requesting Approval of Licensure by Waiver

<u>Heather Brydges</u>, <u>Psy.D.</u> – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Brydges' application. Upon review of her Core Program Requirements, the Committee noted that she did not provide a Title and brief description of her courses for several subject areas including but not limited to, *Biological Basis of Behavior*, *Cognitive-Affective Basis of Behavior*, *The Social Basis of Behavior*, *Individual Differences* and *Treatment Modalities*. The Committee is requesting that Dr. Brydges provide the Title and brief description of her core program requirements.

<u>Isabell Nino-De-Guzman, Ph.D.</u> – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Nino-De-Guzman's application and subsequent submission. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Nino-De-Guzman's application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

<u>Rick Webster, Psy.D.</u> – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Webster's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Webster's application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Dr. Brundage made a motion, seconded by Dr. Wechsler, to forward the applications of Isabell Nino-De-Guzman, Ph.D., and Rick Webster, Psy.D. to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon payment of the pro-rated licensure fee and to issue a RAID letter to Heather Brydges, Psy.D. regarding the deficiencies noted in her application. The motion carried (2-0).

Requesting Approval of Licensure by Credential

<u>Holly Teitsma, Ph.D.</u> – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Teitsma's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Teitsma's application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

<u>Jack Teitsma</u>, <u>Psy.D.</u> – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Teitsma's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Teitsma's application to the full Board for approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon a passing score and payment of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Dr. Brundage made a motion, seconded by Dr. Wechsler, to forward the applications of Holly Teitsma, Ph.D. and Jack Teitsma, Psy.D. to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon payment of the pro-rated licensure fee. The motion carried 2-0.

4. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD PERTAINING TO APPROVAL OF BEHAVIOR ANALYST APPLICANTS

Requesting Approval of Licensure by Experience

<u>Katrien Filez, MS</u> - Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Filez's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Ms. Filez's application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

<u>Kaycee Kienast, M.Ed.</u> - Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Kienast's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Ms. Kienast's application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

<u>Rebecca Watson, M.S.</u> - Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Watson's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Ms. Watson's application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Renée Wozniak, Ph.D. - Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Wozniak's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Wozniak's application to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Dr. Wechsler made a motion, seconded by Dr. Brundage, to forward the applications of Katrien Filez, MS, Kaycee Kienast, M.Ed., Rebecca Watson, M.S. and Renée Wozniak, Ph.D. to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee. The motion carried (2-0).

5. NEW AGENDA ITEMS FOR FUTURE MEETINGS

Dr. Wechsler requested that an item regarding interns working more than 40 hours a week be placed on a future agenda of the Board.

6. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Committee, Dr. Brundage made a motion, seconded by Dr. Wechsler, to adjourn the meeting at 8:27 a.m. The motion carried 2-0.

Frederick S. Wechsler, Ph.D., Psy.D., ABPP Application Review Committee Chair