Board Members

Cheryl L. Karp, Ph.D.
Chairman
Frederick S. Wechsler, Psy.D., ABPP
Vice-Chairman
Megan Hunter-Williams
Secretary
Bob Bohanske, Ph.D.
Janice K. Brundage, Ph.D.
John P. DiBacco, Ph.D.
Joseph C. Donaldson
Daniel Larson
Ramona N. Mellott, Ph.D.



State of Arizona Board of Psychologist Examiners

1400 West Washington, Suite 235 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Phone: (602) 542-8162 Fax: (602) 542-8279

www.psychboard.az.gov

Staff

Dr. Cindy Olvey Executive Director

Meghan Hinckley Deputy Director

Marcus E. Harvey Investigator

Complaint Screening Committee REGULAR SESSION MINUTES

Wednesday, January 12, 2011

Capitol Center Building 15 S. 15th Ave. 3rd Floor Conference Room Phoenix, AZ 85007

1. CALL TO ORDER

The regular session of the Arizona State Board of Psychologist Examiners Complaint Screening Committee was called to order by Mr. Larson at 8:45 a.m. on January 12, 2011. One Executive Session was held from 11:39 a.m. to 11:44 a.m.

2. ROLL CALL

Committee Members Present

Daniel Larson – Chairperson John DiBacco, Ph.D. Cheryl L. Karp, Ph.D.

Staff Present

Dr. Cindy Olvey, Executive Director Meghan Hinckley, Deputy Director Marcus Harvey, Investigator

Attorney General's Office

Jeanne Galvin, Assistant Attorney General

3. CASE DISCUSSION, DECISION

a) Connie Pyburn, Ph.D. – RFI 10-19

Dr. Karp summarized the case, including salient points of investigation and pertinent records. The complainant addressed the Committee telephonically and answered Committee members' questions. The licensee was present with her attorney, Larry Cohen, and they addressed the Committee and answered questions. After deliberation, Dr. Karp made a motion, seconded by Dr. DiBacco, and unanimously carried (3-0), to refer this case to the full Board for further review and action for a possible violation of A.R.S. §32-2061(13) (o), (r), and (dd) as it relates to the American Psychological Association's Ethical Standard 3.05.

b) Gary Martin, Ph.D. – RFI 10-28

Mr. Larson summarized the case, including salient points of investigation and pertinent records. Dr. Martin's attorney, Shelley DiGiacomo, Esq. was present and made a statement to the Committee as well as answered questions. After deliberation, Dr. Karp made a motion, seconded by Mr. Larson, to dismiss the case as there was no evidence of a violation of law or community standards of practice by the licensee. The motion carried (2-1), with Dr. DiBacco voting no.

c) Donald Durham, Ph.D. – RFI 10-29

Dr. DiBacco summarized the case, including salient points of investigation and pertinent records. The complainant was present and addressed the Committee and answered Committee members' questions. The licensee was present and addressed the Committee and answered questions. After deliberation, Dr. DiBacco made a motion, seconded by Dr. Karp, and unanimously carried (3-0), to refer this case to the full Board for further review and action for a possible violation of A.R.S. §32-2061(13) (r), and (dd) as it relates to the American Psychological Association's Ethical Standard 3.05.

d) Jean Hodgson, Ed.D. – RFI 10-35

Dr. DiBacco summarized the case, including salient points of investigation and pertinent records. The licensee was not present and Committee members proceeded to discuss the case. After deliberation, Dr. Karp made a motion, seconded by Mr. Larson, and unanimously carried (3-0), to move into Executive Session to obtain confidential legal advice from the Board's attorney.

Upon return to open session, Dr. DiBacco made a motion, seconded by Mr. Larson and unanimously carried (3-0), to refer this case to the full Board for further review and action for a possible violation of A.R.S. §32-2061(13)(aa).

4. ADJOURN

There being no further business to come before the Committee, a motion to adjourn was made by Dr. DiBacco, seconded by Dr. Karp, and unanimously carried (3-0). The meeting was adjourned at 11:46 a.m.

Daniel Larson Date	Respectfully submitte	ed,
Daniel Larson Date		
	Daniel Larson	 Date