

Board Members

Cheryl L. Karp, Ph.D.
Chair
Frederick S. Wechsler, Psy.D., ABPP
Vice-Chair
Megan Hunter-Williams
Secretary
Bob Bohanske, Ph.D.
Janice K. Brundage, Ph.D.
John P. DiBacco, Ph.D.
Joseph C. Donaldson
Daniel Larson
Ramona N. Mellott, Ph.D.



**State of Arizona
Board of Psychologist Examiners**

1400 West Washington, Suite 235
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Phone: (602) 542-8162 Fax: (602) 542-8279
www.psychboard.az.gov

Application Review Committee

MINUTES OF TELEPHONIC MEETING

April 26, 2011

7:30 a.m.

1400 West Washington
Suite #235
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Staff

Dr. Cindy Olvey
Executive Director

Meghan Hinckley
Deputy Director

Heather Duracinski
Administrative Assistant

1. CALL TO ORDER

The regular session of the Arizona State Board of Psychologist Examiners Application Review Committee was called to order by Dr. Mellott at 7:34 a.m. on April 26, 2011. No Executive Sessions were held.

2. ROLL CALL

Committee Members Participating by Telephone

Ramona N. Mellott, Ph.D. – Chairperson
Bob Bohanske, Ph.D.

Staff Present

Meghan B. Hinckley – Deputy Director

Attorney General

Jeanne Galvin, Esq.

3. DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING APPLICANTS

Requesting Approval for Examination

Rebecca Lahann, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Lahann's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that Dr. Lahann received her doctorate from Capella University which may not fulfill the residency requirements of A.R.S. § 32-2071(K)(3). The Committee recommended issuing Dr. Lahann a Request for Additional Information and Documentation regarding proof that her doctoral degree from Capella University fulfilled the residency requirements of A.R.S. § 32-2071(K)(3).

Dr. Bohanske made a motion, seconded by Dr. Mellott, to issue Dr. Rebecca Lahann a Request for Additional Information and Documentation regarding the discrepancies in their applications. The motion carried (2-0).

Requesting Approval for Examination & Licensure

Stacey Anderson-Taouil, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Anderson-Taouil's re-application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Anderson-Taouil application forward to the full Board for review and approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon receipt of a passing score and the pro-rated licensure fee.

Allison Cuoco, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Cuoco's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that Dr. Cuoco's reference from Dr. Hoesch did not fulfill the requirements A.A.C. R4-26-203(A)(27)(b), an applicant must submit the "Name, position, and address of at least two references who . . . are familiar with the psychologists work experience in the field of psychology or in a postdoctoral program within three years immediately before the date of the application." Dr. Hoesch claimed a collegial relationship with Dr. Cuoco from 2006-2007. The Committee recommended issuing Dr. Cuoco a Request for Additional Information and Documentation requesting the name of a reference that was familiar with Dr. Cuoco's work in psychology from a more recent period of time.

Shauna Sukey Haley, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Sukey Haley's application and subsequent submissions. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Sukey Haley's application forward to the full Board for review and approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon receipt of a passing score and the pro-rated licensure fee.

Mario Lippy, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Lippy's application. Upon review, the Committee requested that staff research the licensure status of Dr. Lippy's pre-internship supervisor failed to complete some calculations of his form. In addition, the Committee requested that staff verify the licensure of one of his references and add it to his application. The Committee noted that, aside from administrative issues for staff to complete, the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Lippy's application forward to the full Board for review and approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon receipt of a passing score and the pro-rated licensure fee.

Chad Mosher, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Mosher's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Mosher's application forward to the full Board for review and approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon receipt of a passing score and the pro-rated licensure fee.

Caleb Pearson, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Pearson's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Pearson's application forward to the full Board for review and approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon receipt of a passing score and the pro-rated licensure fee.

Marden Petrie, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Petrie's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. In addition, the Committee noted for the record that the applicants Supervised Pre-Internship Professional Experience did not fulfill requirements of Arizona laws and therefore were not included in the compilation of supervision hours. Only Dr. Petrie's Supervised Internship and Postdoctoral experiences were used to fulfill the 3000 hours supervision requirements. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Petrie's application forward to the full Board for review and approval to take the EPPP and licensure upon receipt of a passing score and the pro-rated licensure fee.

Catherine Sierra-Rosa, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Sierra-Rosa's application. Upon review, the Committee noted Dr. Sierra-Rosa's Supervised Internship Experience did not have a second licensed psychologist on staff for her supervisions, which does not fulfill the requirements of A.R.S. § 32-2071(F)(7). It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Sierra-Rosa's application forward to the full Board for review and possible denial.

Tatiana Ward, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Ward's application. Upon review, the Committee noted Dr. Ward's doctoral degree in developmental psychology may not be considered and "applied psychology degree" as defined in A.R.S. § 32-2071(A) as her degree was research based. In addition, the Committee noted that Dr. Ward's Supervised Internship Experience and Postdoctoral Experiences may not fulfill the requirements of A.R.S. § 32-2071(F)(1), (2) and (10) and A.R.S. § 32-2071(G)(1-5). It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Sierra-Rosa's application forward to the full Board for review and possible denial.

Dr. Bohanske made a motion, seconded by Dr. Mellott, to forward the applications of Stacey Anderson-Taouil, Psy.D., Shauna Sukey Haley, Psy.D., Mario Lippy, Psy.D., Chad Mosher, Ph.D., Caleb Pearson, Psy.D., and Marden Petrie, Psy.D. to the full Board for review and approval to sit for the EPPP and licensure upon the receipt of a passing score on the exam. The motion carried (2-0).

Dr. Mellott then made a motion, seconded by Dr. Bohanske, to issue Dr. Allison Cuoco a Request for Additional Information and Documentation regarding the discrepancies in her application. The motion carried (2-0).

Lastly, Dr. Bohanske made a motion, seconded by Dr. Mellott, to forward the applications of Dr. Catherine Sierra-Rosa and Dr. Tatiana Ward to the full Board for review and possible denial of their application for failure to fulfill the requirements of rule and statute. The motion carried (2-0).

Requesting Approval of Licensure by Waiver

Andrea Chambers, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Chambers' application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Chambers' application forward to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Kari Coelho, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Coelho's application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Coelho's application forward to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Erica Skepnek, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Skepnek’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Coelho’s application forward to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Kirk Zimbelman, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Chambers’ application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Coelho’s application forward to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Dr. Bohanske made a motion, seconded by Dr. Mellott, to forward the applications of Andrea Chambers, Ph.D., Kari Coelho, Psy.D., Erica Skepnek, Psy.D., and Kirk Zimbelman, Ph.D. to the full Board for review and approval for licensure upon the receipt of the pro-rated license fee. The motion carried (2-0).

Requesting Approval of Supervised Professional Psychology Experience and Licensure (Upon Passing Score on EPPP)

Donell Barnett, Ph.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Barnett’s supervised experience forms. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Barnett’s application forward to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of a passing score and the pro-rated licensure fee.

Dorsey Demapan-Diaz, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Demapan-Diaz’s supervised experience forms. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Demapan-Diaz’s application forward to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of a passing score and the pro-rated licensure fee.

Kristin C. Thompson, Psy.D. – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Thompson’s supervised experience forms. Upon review, the Committee noted that while, individually, her postdoctoral supervision did not fulfill the requirements of statute, the collective experience did fulfill the requirements of Arizona law regarding supervision. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward Dr. Thompson’s application to the full Board for review of her supervised experience and whether or not it fulfills the requirements of statute.

Dr. Bohanske then made a motion, seconded by Dr. Mellott, to forward the applications of Donell Barnett, Ph.D. and Dorsey Demapan-Diaz, Psy.D. to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon the receipt of a passing score on the EPPP and the pro-rated licensure fee. In addition, the Committee requested that Dr. Kristen Thompson’s application be forwarded to the full Board for review of her postdoctoral supervision hours. The motion carried (2-0).

Requesting Approval of Licensure by Credential

Glenn E. Smith, Ph.D., ABPP – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Smith’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Smith’s application forward to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

John Wineman, Ph.D., CPQ – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Dr. Wineman’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes and rules. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Dr. Wineman’s application forward to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Dr. Bohanske made a motion, seconded by Dr. Mellott, to forward the applications of Dr. Glenn Smith and Dr. John Wineman to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee. The motion carried (2-0).

4. DISCUSSION/DECISION REGARDING APPROVAL OF BEHAVIOR ANALYST APPLICANTS

Requesting Approval of Licensure by Experience

Stephanie Genteman, M.A., BCBA – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Genteman’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that Ms. Genteman’s Supervised Training Experience may not fulfill the requirements of A.R.S. § 32-2091.03(E) as her supervisor may not be a licensed behavior analyst. It was the consensus of the Committee to issue Ms. Genteman a Request for Additional Information and Documentation regarding the licensure status of her training experience supervisor.

Sheena Trayte, M.Ed., BCBA – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Trayte’s application and her subsequent submissions. Upon review, the Committee noted that Ms. Trayte’s individual Supervised Training Experiences may not fulfill the supervision requirements. However, when combined, the overall experience fulfills the requirements of law. It was the consensus of the Committee to forward Dr. Trayte’s application to the full Board for review of her supervised experience and possible approval her application for licensure.

Dr. Bohanske made a motion, seconded by Dr. Mellott, to forward the application of Ms. Sheena Trayte to the full Board for further review and possible approval for licensure and to issue Ms. Stephanie Genteman a Request for Additional Information and Documentation regarding the issues with her application. The motion carried (2-0).

Requesting Approval of Licensure by Certification

Jessica Donnelly, M.S., BCBA – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Donnelly’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Ms. Donnelly’s application forward to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Ruby Lewis, M.Ed., BCBA – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Lewis’ application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes. It was the consensus of the Committee to move Ms. Lewis’ application forward to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Rebecca Wiskirchen, M.A., BCBA – Committee members proceeded with a substantive review of Ms. Wiskirchen’s application. Upon review, the Committee noted that the materials submitted were complete and fulfilled the requirements of statutes. It was the consensus of the Committee to

move Ms. Wiskirchen's application forward to the full Board for review and approval of licensure upon receipt of the pro-rated licensure fee.

Dr. Bohanske made a motion, seconded by Dr. Mellott, to forward the applications of Ms. Jessica Donnelly, Ms. Ruby Lewis, and Ms. Rebecca Wiskirchen to the full Board for review and approval licensure. The motion carried (2-0).

5. SCHEDULE NEXT COMMITTEE MEETING

Dr. Mellott noted that the next Committee meeting would take place on May 31, 2011 at 7:45 a.m.

6. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Committee, Dr. Bohanske made a motion, seconded by Dr. Mellott, to adjourn the meeting at 9:05 a.m.

Ramona N. Mellott, Ph.D. - Chairperson
Application Review Committee